

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Ocala Citizens Service Center 201 SE 3rd Street, Ocala FL 34471

June 18, 2019

MINUTES

Members Present:

Nicholas Blizzard Dave Herlihy Bruce Phillips Kenneth Odom Nancy Smith

Members Not Present:

Oliver Cromwell Vickie Wyche Lonnie Smith Mickey Thomason

Others Present:

Rob Balmes Derrick Harris Elizabeth Mitchell Kristen Woodruff

Item 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chairman Dave Herlihy called the meeting to order at . Secretary Shakayla Pullings called the roll and a quorum was not present.

Item 2. Proof of Publication

Secretary Shakayla Pullings stated that the meeting had been published online on the TPO website, the City of Ocala, Belleview, and Dunnellon websites.

Item 3a. List of Priority Projects (LOPP) Ranking

Mr. Harris presented the LOPP Ranking and said that at the May TPO Board Meeting, staff received feedback regarding how to formally proceed with the ranking of the 2019 LOPP. It was determined that an internal interim ranking criteria developed by TPO staff would be used. The ranking criteria developed was grouped into six categories.

First, the ranking criteria looked at whether a project incorporated different modes of transportation into the project or was multimodal. Therefore, if a project incorporated bike lanes, sidewalks, transit options, or offered a new alternative, such as a trail, it received one point for being multimodal.

Second, the ranking criteria looked at Performance Measures. Based on the latest major transportation legislation, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act was signed into law on December 4, 2015, which required MPO/TPOs to measure the performance of projects. This was done by measuring projects on safety, system performance, pavement/bridge condition, and transit asset management. Therefore, the LOPP gave weight to any project that met one of the performance measures, and an additional point if the project met two.

Next the ranking criteria looked at where the projects were in their development. For example, if a project was in the Project Development & Environmental (PD&E) stage it received one point, and it received an additional point for each stage the project was in until construction, which would have been four points.

Mr. Harris noted that projects could only qualify for one of the phases, with one to four points possible. Another factor in the ranking criteria was funding availability. The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) had mentioned on several occasions, funding was limited.

Therefore, if a project had a lower cost associated with it, or a lower cost was still needed, the project could have received one additional point.

Item 4. Comments by FDOT

There were no comments by FDOT.

Item 5. Comments by TPO Staff

Item 6. Comments by TAC Members

Item 7. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Item 8. Adjournment

Respectfully Submitted By:

Shakayla Pullings, TPO Administrative Assistant