

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Marion County Commission Auditorium 601 SE 25th Avenue, Ocala, FL 34471

March 22, 2016 4:00 PM

AGENDA

- 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL
- 2. PROOF OF PUBLICATION
- 3. PRESENTATIONS
 - A. I-75 RELIEF TASK FORCE

Staff for the Florida Department of Transportation will present an overview of the I-75 Relief Task Force and provide an update on the group's activities.

4. ACTION ITEMS

- A. DRAFT FY 2016/17 2017/18 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (UPWP)

 Staff will present the initial draft of the UPWP. The UPWP serves as the TPO Staff's biannual work outline and budget. Staff is recommending approval of the FY 2016/2017-2017/2018 UPWP.
- **B. TIP AMENDMENTS**
- C. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SALARY
- 5. CONSENT AGENDA
 - A. FDOT CERTIFICATION REVIEW
 - **B.** TRAVEL FORMS
 - C. MEETING MINUTES NOVEMBER 24, 2015
 - D. Public Hearing Minutes November 24, 2015

- 6. COMMENTS BY FDOT
- 7. COMMENTS BY TPO STAFF
- 8. COMMENTS BY TPO MEMBERS
- 9. PUBLIC COMMENT (Limited to 5 minutes)
- **10.ADJOURNMENT**

If reasonable accommodations are needed for you to participate in this meeting, please call the TPO Office at (352)629-8297 forty-eight (48) hours in advance so arrangements can be made.

Pursuant to Chapter 286.0105, Florida Statutes, if a person decides to appeal any decision made by the TPO with respect to any matter considered at this meeting or hearing, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, he or she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

The next regular meeting of the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning
Organization will be held on April 22, 2016.



Task Force Purpose and Charge


Background

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has initiated the Future Corridor Planning Process to envision and plan the future of Florida's major statewide transportation corridors over the next 50 years. This process focuses on two approaches to plan for future corridors:

- Maximizing the use of existing facilities, including transforming existing facilities to serve a new function, such as
 adding tolled express lanes, truck-only lanes, or bus rapid transit systems to an existing highway, or adding
 passenger service to an existing freight rail line.
- Identifying study areas for potential new parallel facilities to provide alternatives to existing congested highways or potential new corridors for multimodal facilities in regions not well served by statewide corridors today.

This process initially is focusing on two interregional study areas: Tampa Bay to Central Florida and Tampa Bay to Northeast Florida.

In the 19-county Tampa Bay to Northeast Florida study area, FDOT completed a high-level Concept Study in 2013. This study identified long-term mobility and connectivity needs including: growing demand for moving freight; increasing delay and decreasing reliability on existing highways, including Interstate 75 (I-75); significant crash rates along portions of I-75 as well as major rural roads; limited modal options in many parts of the study area; limited options for high-speed, high-capacity travel between Tampa Bay and Jacksonville, two of Florida's largest urban areas; and limited connectivity to Rural Areas of Opportunity and other places targeted for economic development.



The Concept Study recommended that FDOT conduct a more detailed Evaluation Study to assess the feasibility of developing a multimodal transportation corridor between the

northern portion of the Tampa Bay region and I-75 between Wildwood and Lake City. The Concept Study concluded that such a corridor has the potential to provide significant relief to I-75 while also improving connectivity to growing urbanized areas and creating economic development opportunities in rural areas. Such a corridor also could have statewide impacts including relieving other existing corridors such as Interstate 4 and US 301. The Concept Study also recommended that this corridor be considered in the context of a long-term vision of improving connectivity between Tampa Bay and Northeast Florida.

Purpose and Charge

Purpose: The purpose of the I-75 Relief Task Force (Task Force) is to provide consensus recommendations on maximizing existing and developing new high-capacity transportation corridors to serve the Tampa Bay-Northeast Florida study area with initial emphasis on the region west of I-75.

To follow established best practices, this Task Force is modeled after the East Central Florida Corridor Task Force (ECFCTF), which was created by Executive Order in 2014 to develop recommendations for future transportation corridors in Brevard, Orange, and Osceola counties. More information about the ECFCTF can be found by visiting www.ecfcorridortaskforce.org.

Membership: The Task Force will include representatives from state agencies, local governments, regional planning councils, private landowners, environmental organizations, business and economic development interests and members of the public.





Task Force Purpose and Charge

Study/Focus Area: The Task Force will focus on the portion of the full Tampa Bay-Northeast Florida study area to the west of I-75 and to the north of the Suncoast Parkway (see map).

Charge: The charge of the Task Force is to:


- Adapt previously developed guiding principles for planning the future of Florida's transportation corridors as needed to ensure that they are relevant to the study area.
- Identify opportunities and constraints related to environmental resources including natural lands and surface and groundwater resources, agriculture, land use and development, property rights, economic development, quality of life, and other statewide and regional issues that should be considered in planning for future transportation corridors in the study area.
- Solicit and consider input from government agencies, property owners, agricultural interests, business and economic development interests, environmental organizations, study area residents, and other interested individuals.
- Recommend the purpose and need for high-capacity, high-speed transportation corridors in the study area with emphasis on providing relief to I-75, increasing safety, improving statewide and regional connectivity, and enhancing economic development opportunities.
- Recommend a range of alternatives for accomplishing the purpose and need, including maximizing the use of
 existing transportation facilities and developing new transportation facilities, with consideration of multiple
 modes (such as highways, passenger and freight rail, and trails) and multiple uses (such as utilities, pipelines,
 and other linear infrastructure).
- Recommend the approach that should be used to evaluate and narrow these alternatives.
- Recommend corridors to be incorporated into regional and local long-range plans and to be advanced to future phases of project development.
- Recommend a proposed implementation plan for moving forward with the recommended corridors, including potential actions by FDOT, other state agencies, local governments, and other partners.

The Task Force will deliver its final recommendations in a report to the FDOT Secretary at the conclusion of its process.





Task Force Study Area







Overview of the I-75 Relief Study and Task Force

Presented by:

Huiwei Shen

Manager, Systems Planning Office Florida Department of Transportation





Task Force Purpose

Provide consensus recommendations on *maximizing existing and developing new* high-capacity transportation corridors to serve the Tampa Bay to Northeast Florida study area with initial emphasis on the region west of I-75






Task Force Charge

- Adapt previously developed guiding principles
- Identify *opportunities and constraints* related to future transportation corridors
- Solicit and consider input from agencies and public Ongoing
- Recommend the purpose and need for transportation corridors Ongoing
- Recommend a range of alternatives
- Recommend the approach for evaluating alternatives
- Recommend corridors to be incorporated into regional and local plans and advanced to project development
- Recommend a proposed implementation plan





FDOT



Meetings Held

- Agency Coordination Meeting #1
 - December 8, 2015
- Public Information Webinar
 - January 21, 2016
- Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) Webinar
 - January 29, 2016
- Agency Coordination Meeting #2
 - March 3, 2016
- Community Open Houses
 - March 29 31, 2016





Preliminary Purpose and Need

- Two Overarching Purposes:
 - 1. *Provide relief* to I-75 and *improve mobility* in the Initial Focus Area
 - 2. *Enhance regional connectivity* between:
 - Tampa Bay
 - North Central Florida
 - Northeast Florida





- Short-term strategies:
 - Intelligent TransportationSystems (ITS)
 - Road Ranger Service during special events
 - Transportation Systems
 Management and Operations
 (TSM&O)
 - Interchange improvements











- Long-term strategies:
 - Truck-Only lane system
 - Potential for system to system connection









- Long-term strategies:
 - I-75 Express Lanes
 - Opportunity for Express Lanes from Gainesville to the Turnpike









I-75

- Truck-Only Lanes
- Express Lanes

US 301

- Truck-Only Lanes
- General Use Lanes
- Integrate into a system
- Improved connectivity
- Maximize capacity of existing system





Multimodal / Multiuse Considerations

- CSX "S line" is a major component of the national rail system
- Freight rail opportunities
- Improved passenger rail opportunities
 - Brooksville line
- Intercity bus services
- Greenways and trails
- Multiple uses
 - Existing and proposed pipelines





Automated and Connected Vehicles (AV/CV) Applications for Freight and Transit








Identifying Areas for Avoidance and Minimization

- Prioritizing the 4 C Resources for Avoidance and Minimization based on:
 - Task Force Feedback
 - Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) Coordination
 - Agency Coordination
 - Public Input



Composite Avoidance & Minimization Areas



LEGEND

Avoidance & Minimization Map

Conservation

Managed Areas



State Parks



County Parks



Conservation Easements



Outstanding Florida Waters



Florida Forever – Proposed





Wetlands



Springs



Karst Sensitive Areas



Aquatic Preserves



Military Lands



Mitigation Banks



Florida Ecological Greenways **Network Priorities**

Priority 1 – Critical Linkages



Critical Lands & Waters Identification Project Priority 1 (Highest)

Countryside



Agricultural Lands



Forested Lands



Prime or Unique Farmlands

Centers and Communities



Developments of Regional Impact



Urban, City and Town Boundaries

Other



Native American Lands




SHPO National Register



SHPO Resource Groups



Preliminary Land Suitability Map





Task Force Meeting #4

- Wednesday, April 6, 2016
 - Rohan Regional Recreation Center, The Villages, FL


Agenda Items

- Preliminary framework for enhanced and new transportation
 Corridors
- MPO perspectives on enhanced and new transportation corridor needs
- Integrating transportation with local economic development goals
- Review of corridor options and the approach for evaluating corridor options



Community Open Houses

- Central locations
 - Gainesville
 - Ocala
 - Lecanto
- Convenient after-work times
 - 5:30 p.m. 8 p.m.
- Share Task Force progress and work to date





For more information contact:

Huiwei Shen

Manager, Systems Planning Office

Florida Department of Transportation





Huiwei.Shen@dot.state.fl.us









MEMORANDUM

MARCH 16, 2016

TO: TPO MEMBERS

FROM: GREG SLAY, DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: FY 2016/17-2017/18 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (UPWP)

Attached please find the FY 2016/17-17/18 draft UPWP. As you will recall, the UPWP serves as the TPO staff's two-year work outline and budget and is effective on July 1. Our allocation for each funding source included in the UPWP is as follows:

	FY 2016/17	FY 2017/18
PL	\$705,304	\$550,000
Section 5305(d)	96,983	98,234
Section 5307	150,000	-
TD	26,119	26,119
FDOT	175,000	75,000

The FY 2016/17 PL allocation includes a \$240,000 carryforward from the previous UPWP. The FY 2017/2018 allocation is an initial estimate and will be updated early next year when the actual allocations are made available.

The UPWP covers routine activities such as traffic counts, TIP development, and public involvement as well as various studies. For the next two years, staff will be working on several plans including an update to the ITS Master Plan, Transit Development Plan and Belleview Greenway Connector Study.

Staff is requesting approval of the UPWP for submittal to FDOT. Upon approval, staff will submit to FDOT for review and bring back the final draft at your April 22nd meeting. If you have any questions, please contact our office at 629-8297.



UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

Fiscal Years 2016/2017—2017/2018

Effective Date: July 1, 2016—June 30, 2018

Adoption Date:

Prepared by the
Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization
121 SE Watula Avenue
Ocala, Florida 34471
(352) 629-8297

www.ocalamariontpo.org

FAP No. 0000-000-M
FDOT Financial Project Number: 439261-1
Code of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers:
20.205 – Highway Planning and Construction
20.505 – Federal Transit Technical Studies Grant (Metropolitan Planning)

This report was financed in part by the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Florida Department of Transportation and participating local governments.

OCALA/MARION COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION FY 2016/17 - 17/18 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Endorsing R	esolution	i
Glossary		ii
Introduction		1
Organization	n and Management	2
Status of Lo	cal and Regional Planning Activities	4
Priorities for	FY 2016/17 & 2017/18	7
Work Progra	ım	9
	1.0 Program Administration	10
	2.0 Data Collection	
	3.0 Long Range Planning	
	4.0 Short Range Planning	
	5.0 Public Transportation	
	6.0 Public Involvement	
	7.0 Special Projects	
FDOT Assist:	ance	
1 001 733130	ande	29
TABLE I:	Schedule of Selected Projects	34
TABLE II:	Agency Participation – FY 2016/17	35
TABLE III:	Funding Sources - FY 2016/17	35
TABLE IV:	Agency Participation - 2017/18	36
TABLE V:	Funding Sources - 2017/18	36
TABLE VI:	FAST Act Planning Factors	37
APPENDIX	Activity within TPO Planning Area	
711 - 211017	Section 5305(d) Forms (SF 424, GMIS, Approved Budget)	
	Certification of Restrictions of Lobbying	
	Debarment and Suspension Certification	
	•	
	,	
	·	
	<u> </u>	
	Title VI Nondiscrimination Policy Statement Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Utilization	
	Agency Comments Annual Certification	

RESOLUTION OF THE OCALA/MARION COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (TPO) ENDORSING THE UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEARS 2016/17 - 2017/18.

WHEREAS, the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization, designated by the Governor of the State of Florida as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and body responsible for the urban transportation planning process for the Ocala/Marion County area; and

WHEREAS, Title 23 CFR Section 450.108(c) and Florida Statute 339.175(9)(a)2 require each MPO to annually submit a Unified Planning Work Program; and

WHEREAS, a Unified Planning Work Program is defined as an annual transportation planning work program which identifies the planning budget and the planning activities to be undertaken by the TPO during the program year; and

WHEREAS, the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization's 2016/17 - 2017/18 Unified Planning Work Program has been prepared consistent with Chapter 3 of the MPO Program Management Handbook.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization endorsement of the Unified Planning Work Program for 2016/17 - 2017/18.

CERTIFICATE

The undersigned duly qualified and acting Chairman of the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization hereby certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization held on this 26th day of April 2016.

By:	
	Brent Malever, Chairman
Attest:	
	Greg Slay, TPO Director

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADA - Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

ATMS - Automated Traffic Management System

CAC - Citizen's Advisory Committee

CFMPOA - Central Florida Metropolitan Planning Organization Alliance

CFR - Code of Federal Regulations

CFRPM - Central Florida Regional Planning Model

CMP - Congestion Management Process

CTC - Community Transportation Coordinator

CTD - Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged

CTST - Community Traffic Safety Team

DBE - Disadvantaged Business Enterprise

DOPA - Designated Official Planning Agency

DRI - Development of Regional Impact

ETDM - Efficient Transportation Decision Making

FAST Act - Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act

FDOT - Florida Department of Transportation

FHWA - Federal Highway Administration

FSUTMS - Florida Standard Urban Transportation Modeling Structure

FTA - Federal Transit Administration

FTP - Florida Transportation Plan

GIS - Geographic Information System

GMIS - Grant Management Information System (FTA funds)

ITS - Intelligent Transportation System

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS (cont.)

JPA - Joint Participation Agreement

LOS - Level of Service

LRTP - Long Range Transportation Plan

MAP-21 - Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act

TPO - Transportation Planning Organization

MPOAC - Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council

ROW - Right of Way

PD&E - Project Development and Environmental Study

PEA - Planning Emphasis Area

PIP - Public Involvement Plan

RPC - Regional Planning Council

SIS - Strategic Intermodal System

STIP - State Transportation Improvement Program

TAC - Technical Advisory Committee

TAZ - Traffic Analysis Zone

TDLCB - Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordinating Board

TDP - Transit Development Plan

TIP - Transportation Improvement Program

TRIP - Transportation Regional Incentive Program

UPWP - Unified Planning Work Program

UA - Urbanized Area (as designated by the 2010 Census)

USC - United States Code

INTRODUCTION

The Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) has been prepared to define the tasks and anticipated funding requirements of the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) for the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2018. This document serves to define activities for the general public as well as public officials and agencies that contribute manpower and allocate funds to the transportation planning process. The UPWP provides a description of planning tasks and an estimated budget for each of the planning tasks to be undertaken by the TPO. Planning activities programmed within the UPWP meet the level of effort requirements anticipated by the TPO to meet local priorities as well as the requirements of Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The state and federal governments provide funding support through the FDOT, the FHWA (PL funds), and the FTA (Section 5305(d) funds). Public involvement for the development of the UPWP is accomplished through the regularly scheduled meetings of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), (draft only) and TPO (draft & final approval). The draft UPWP is also placed on the TPO website for public review prior to approval by the TPO.

Transportation planning in the Ocala/Marion County TPO planning area is guided by the Year 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The LRTP utilizes input from government officials, citizen's advisory boards, technical experts and the general public. This plan is used to forecast travel demands in Marion County. The current long-range transportation plan was adopted on November 24, 2015, and includes a Needs Assessment and Cost Feasible Plan. Selected projects from the Cost Feasible Plan are identified in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) project priority listing. These projects are prioritized on an annual basis.

In December 2015, the <u>Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act</u> (FAST) was signed into law. FAST serves as the primary surface transportation legislation and is valid until September 30, 2020. The bill identifies ten factors that shall be considered as part of the review of projects and plans. Those factors are as follows:

- 1. Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
- 2. Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- 3. Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- 4. Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and for freight;
- 5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve quality of life, promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- 6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- 7. Promote efficient system management and operation; and
- 8. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.
- 9. Improve the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation.

10. Enhance travel and tourism.

Table VI, on page 35, illustrates the TPO's consideration of the eight planning factors in the transportation planning process.

ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT OF THE TPO

The TPO is the public agency responsible for developing policies and procedures to guide the transportation planning process for the Ocala urbanized area. As the body most directly responsible for the guidance of the transportation planning process, the TPO strives to ensure that the recommendations are in keeping with the goals and standards of the federal and state government, Marion County and its incorporated municipalities. The TPO board is composed of five Marion County Commissioners, four Ocala City Council members, the Mayor of Ocala, one Dunnellon City Council member and one Belleview City Commissioner. The TPO staff, the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC), the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), and the Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordinating Board (TDLCB) assist the TPO with planning support recommendations. The TPO also serves as the oversight and policy board for SunTran, the local transit system. As the TPO is the sole agency responsible for fixed-route transit, an Intergovernmental Coordination and Review agreement for public transportation is not necessary. Each committee carries out its prescribed responsibilities according to adopted bylaws.

The TPO functions include, but are not limited to, the preparation of the required tasks assigned. Its annual responsibilities are to perform the tasks of preparing the UPWP, the TIP, and the annual TPO Audit Report. As with all transportation planning delegated by the federal and state laws, the TPO is responsible for insuring adequate representation and compatibility of state, county, and municipal projects in the transportation planning process. This includes consideration of all modes of transportation with respect to the needs of the elderly and handicapped as outlined in the Americans with Disabilities Act.

The CAC is composed of members of the general public who represent the general welfare of the community. The TAC, composed of engineers, planners, and other related transportation professionals, reviews plans, programs, and projects and recommends solutions to the TPO based on technical expertise. The TDLCB identifies the local needs of the transportation disadvantaged population and investigates alternatives to meet those needs. Additional public input is provided through public meetings, public hearings, and civic meetings.


The TPO staff is responsible for collecting and disseminating all information from the transportation planning process to the general public. The work effort required to support the planning process is administered by the TPO staff in cooperation with local governments and the FDOT. Other agencies that are consulted in various phases of the planning process include the Department of Environmental Protection, the Federal Aviation Administration, and the Water Management District Offices.

The Interlocal Agreement for the Creation of the TPO was signed on May 18, 2004, by the four local governments and FDOT. The Agreement established the TPO as the official planning agency for the Ocala urbanized area and the portion of the Lady Lake Urbanized Area within Marion County. Figure 1 illustrates both urbanized areas. The planning area of the TPO covers the entire county.

Thereafter, additional JPA's were executed annually for maintaining continued federal and state match funding. In February 2013, the TPO approved an updated JPA for the administration of all planning funds (PL and Section 505(d)). The Planning Funds JPA is reviewed as part of the annual certification process to ensure consistency with FDOT and TPO policies. The JPA of March 4, 1991, with the Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged (CTD) established the Ocala/Marion County TPO as the Designated Official Planning Agency (DOPA) for transportation disadvantaged planning. This JPA also established the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordinating Board (TDLCB).

As a result of the 2010 Census, the TPO conducted its decennial review of board structure and membership once the new urbanized areas were announced in Spring 2012. It was anticipated that the new designations would bring about significant challenges due to the potential merging of all or parts of the existing Ocala, Lady Lake, Leesburg-Eustis and Orlando urbanized areas. The merge did not occur; however, a new urbanized area was designated within the existing TPO planning area. The Homosassa Springs-Beverly Hills-Citrus Springs Urbanized Area covers a significant portion of Citrus County with a small portion encompassing the City of Dunnellon and unincorporated Marion County. In May 2013, the TPO submitted to FDOT a letter reaffirming the existing board structure with no changes. Figure 1 illustrates the designated urbanized areas as well at the final smoothed urbanized areas.

Figure 1



STATUS OF LOCAL AND REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES

YEAR 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

In November 2015, the TPO adopted the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). This development of this plan was somewhat different from previous plan due to primarily to the Great Recession. Like many jurisdictions around the state, the Ocala/Marion area saw significant decreases in traffic counts. These decreases led to a reduction of Needs Assessment projects by about 30%. The plan and its supporting documentation can be viewed at www.ocalamariontpo.org.

HIGHWAY PROJECTS

The TPO has continued its involvement in numerous highway projects. A brief outline is as follows:

NW 49th Street Interchange

The NW 49th Street Interchange is one of the TPO's top priorities. The purpose of the new interchange is to provide improved access to several large-scale industrial parks including Ocala 489, site of the new Federal Express distribution center. The Interchange Justification Report (IJR) is undergoing a second round of review and the Project Development and Environmental (PD&E) Study is anticipated to begin in late 2016.

SR 40 - SR 35 to CR 314A

The first section of SR 40 from SR 35 to CR 314A is scheduled for construction in 2020. Dating back nearly 30 years, this project underwent an extensive environmental collaboration in in mid-2000s. In an effort to develop consensus approach, FDOT convened the SR 40 Task Force comprised of agency staff, environmental groups and citizens to develop a comprehensive plan for SR 40 that would allow for the expansion of SR 40 while protecting environmentally sensitive lands along the corridor. This first segment of the project will include numerous wildlife underpasses as well as 12' multiuse path that will be part of the Heart of Florida Loop.

US 41 - SW 111th Place Lane to SR 40

The US 41 project from SW 111th Place Lane to SR 40 will add two lanes to the existing section as well as a multiuse trail. The trail will provide access to the Rainbow Springs State Park for the City of Dunnellon's ever increasing population of recreational cyclists. The project is expected to begin construction in 2019.

Corridor Planning

The TPO has developed four corridor plans in the past few years with a particular focus on improving the multimodal environment. Portions of US 441 and SR 40 in and near downtown Ocala were reviewed to enhance multimodal access and traffic operations. Two intersections on US 441 (SR 40 & SR 464) are currently under design for pedestrian and operational improvements and the section of SR 40 Downtown (US 441 to NE 8th Avenue) is expected to begin design in late 2016. This design will include significant rework of the sidewalk system to better accommodate pedestrians as well a

new left turn lane at NE 1st Avenue and SR 40 to improve access to the northern area of Downtown.

There are currently two corridor plans in development. US 441 in Belleview is a 1.5 mile section with varying median types and on-street parking on a significant portion of the corridor. The plan is reviewing the potential to introduce bicycle lanes and enhanced sidewalks to better accommodate those users. The plan is also reviewing access management scenarios that will improve safety for vehicular traffic as well as add additional safety enhancements for bicyclists and pedestrians. The plan is scheduled to be completed by June 2016.

A plan for SR 40 in Silver Springs was initiated to support Marion County's establishment of a Community Redevelopment Area (CRA). Again, this study focuses on improving multimodal facilities, primarily pedestrian but includes a transit element as well. The plan also includes alternatives for a roundabout at the intersection of SR 40 and SR 35. This skewed intersection presents significant issues both for vehicles and pedestrians due to its size. This plan is also scheduled to be completed by June 2016.

REGIONAL TRAILS

Over the last two years, the TPO has made significant progress on the development of a regional trail system. The establishment of a regional trail network has been an on-going effort of the Central Florida MPO Alliance and the Greenways and Trails Foundation. The Heart of Florida Loop crosses four counties: Citrus, Marion, Lake and Volusia and links to the existing Withlacoochee State Trail on the west. Working with our partners at FDOT, approximately 50% of the Heart of Florida Loop in Marion County has been funded for construction by 2019. The figure below shows the status of all TPO regional trail projects.



PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

TPO serves as the policy and oversight board for SunTran, the area's first fixed-route bus system. Ridership has consistently grown since inception, topping 417,000 in FY 2015. In August 2012, the TPO adopted the 2022 Transit Development Plan (TDP). The TDP identified several short-term improvements including expansion of service hours, improvements to ADA compliance, and a technology improvement program. In early 2013, staff completed Phase I of a system-wide ADA compliance review. The review identified several locations that require improvements for accessibility and prioritized the improvements. The TPO conducted SunTran's first Comprehensive Operations Analysis (COA) in mid-2015. Utilizing data from the Automated Passenger Counter/Computer Aided Dispatch (APC/CAD) system and rider surveys, the COA reviewed SunTran's day-to-day operations to identify improvements in route efficiency and scheduling. The COA is expected to be complete in June 2016.

BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN ACTIVITIES

In October 2014, the TPO completed the 2035 Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan. The Plan focused primarily on connectivity of regional trails but also included identification of gaps in the existing bicycle and sidewalk network. As part of the Plan, an interactive Bicycling Suitability Map was developed. This web-based application that allows cyclists to identify preferred routes based on traffic volumes and speed. The Plan as well as the Suitability Map can be found at BikeOcalaMarion.com.

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (ITS) MASTER PLAN/AUTOMATED TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (ATMS) IMPLEMENTATION

In February 2008, the TPO completed its first county-wide ITS Plan. The ITS Plan identified priority corridors that would benefit from the implementation of ITS strategies. The Plan was a coordinated effort between the TPO staff and representatives from the Cities of Ocala and Belleview, Marion County and the FDOT. Corridors identified for ITS applications include SR 200, SR 464, US 441, SR 40 and several other major local roads. Since the completion of the Plan, TPO staff has worked with local agency staff and FDOT to implement ITS strategies on various corridors. These strategies comprise the Automated Traffic Management System (ATMS) and include modernizing traffic controllers connected via fiber-optic cables, installation of traffic cameras and establishing Traffic Management Centers (TMC) for both Marion County and the City of Ocala. In late 2015, the TPO worked with both the City of Ocala and Marion County to deploy the area's first adaptive traffic system on SR 464. Adaptive traffic systems are able to analyze traffic flows throughout a corridor and make adjustments to signal timing to provide the most efficient flows. The TPO will be looking to update the ITS Master Plan over the next two years.

PRIORITIES FOR FY 2016/17 AND FY 2017/18

TRANSIT DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The TPO is scheduled to begin an update to the Transit Development Plan (TDP) in late 2016. The TDP is required to be updated every five years as a condition to receiving FDOT Block Grant funds. The TDP will use information developed as part of the recently completed COA for existing conditions and services and look at potential areas of expansion as well as funding opportunities to fund expansion. This project will be initiated in mid-2016 and be completed by September 2017.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

MAP-21 originally required TPOs to develop performance measures for a variety of transportation modes. Guidance on implementing performance measures was originally expected to be available in 2014 but has not yet been published. Once published, FDOT will have 12 months to establish its measures and the TPO will have six months after FDOT to establish its measures. TPO staff has been actively involved in FDOT's Mobility Performance Team (MPT). The MPT was established to assist FDOT and MPOs/TPOs in implementing the performance measure requirements. Once the requirements for performance measures are published, the TPO will be in a good position to enact the program. Initial performance measures are expected to focus primarily on highway modes but may be expanded to include transit.

2045 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Activities for the 2045 LRTP over this UPWP cycle include review of model network and TAZ structure and review of potential data sources to develop 2015 base year socioeconomic. In addition, TPO staff will continue to coordinate regional model issues through FDOT's modeling coordination committee.

ITS MASTER PLAN UPDATE

As previously mentioned, TPO adopted its first ITS Master Plan in 2008. Since then, local agencies have made significant strides in deploying various ITS strategies including cameras, Bluetooth monitoring devices, Dynamic Message Signs (DMS) and adaptive signal control. The Plan update will look to review progress to date and examine future needs not only in technology but staffing requirements as well. In addition, the Plan will review current incident management practices on I-75 and recommend improvements. Based on a recent FDOT review, I-75 has at least one lane-blocking incident every nine days. The I-75 review will include coordination with both Alachua and Sumter Counties. Coordination with Lake and Sumter Counties will also be required for both US 441 and US 301. Development of the ITS Master Plan will be contingent on receiving additional FDOT funding.

PRIORITIES FOR FY 2016/17 AND FY 2017/18 - CON'T

REGIONAL TRANSIT

In 2013, the East Central Florida Task Force was convened to develop consensus recommendations for a future transportation corridor to connect Orange, Osceola and Brevard counties (http://www.ecfcorridortaskforce.org/). One of the recommendations made by the Task Force was the development of a Regional Transit Plan that included all nine counties in FDOT District 5. The Plan will identify potential regional transit services as well as potential funding sources. The CFMPOA directors will serve as the oversight project committee. The Plan is expected to begin in summer 2016 and should conclude on late 2017.

BELLEVIEW GREENWAY CONNECTOR

The 2035 Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan identified a trail connection along SE 110th Street from Lake Lillian Park in Belleview to the Cross Florida Greenway. Subsequent discussions with various agency staff and citizens reveal other potential connection that may provide better access. The Connector Study will review other potential alternatives in detail to determine the most effective route.

WORK PROGRAM

The specific elements of the Unified Planning Work Program are organized into the following tasks:

- 1.0 Administration: identifies those functions necessary for proper management of the transportation planning process on a continuing basis.
- 2.0 Data Collection: includes the collection and analysis of socioeconomic, land use, and other transportation related data on a continuing basis in order to document changes within the transportation study area.
- 3.0 Long Range Planning: includes work related to the development and maintenance of the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) as well as the Efficient Transportation Decision Making Process (ETDM) and items related to Census 2010.
- 4.0 Short Range Planning: includes development of the annual Transportation Improvement Program and Priority Project process, reviews of impacts to the transportation system from new development and annual Enhancement Project process.
- 5.0 Public Transportation: includes all work items related to SunTran and the Transportation Disadvantaged Program.
- 6.0 Public Involvement: describes the activities used to encourage public participation in the transportation planning process.
- 7.0 Special Projects: identifies any short-term projects or studies undertaken by the TPO.

Each task provides an overview of the activities to be completed and the funding sources and costs associated with those activities. Cost categories are as follows:

Personnel: Salaries and fringe benefits for TPO staff. Fringe includes retirement, FICA,

health insurance, workers compensation and executive benefits.

Travel: Costs for travel.

Direct Expenses:

Office: Supplies, Telecomm/IT services, copier, postage, equipment, etc.

Facility: *Utilities, maintenance, insurance* Administrative: *Training, legal, clinic, audit, etc.*

Consultant: Costs for consultant services.

UPWP TASK 1.0 -ADMINISTRATION

The Program Administration task includes the administrative activities necessary to carry out the transportation planning process.

OBJECTIVE

To guide and effectively manage the tasks identified in the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) and maintain an effective and efficient continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process.

PREVIOUS WORK

Completion of UPWP (April 2016)

Attended MPOAC meetings

Provided staff support to TPO and committees

Completed annual audit (April 15, 16)

Provided training to elected officials and staff in the following areas:

FDOT Public Involvement National Transit Database MPOAC Institute (May 2015)

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

STAFF SUPPORT

- 1.1 Provide staff support and administration to the TPO and its committees by developing meeting schedules, providing technical assistance, providing minutes and other tasks as directed. Support includes travel and associated office expenses (i.e. office supplies, telecomm/IT expenses, utilities, etc.).
- 1.2 Develop FY 2018/19 19/20 Unified Planning Work Program. (May 2018)
- 1.3 Continue participation in the Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council (MPOAC).
- 1.4 Monitor legislative actions on the federal, state, and local level that affect the transportation system in Marion County.
- 1.5 Purchase computer and other office equipment as needed.
- 1.6 Other activities as directed by the TPO or its subcommittees.

AUDIT

1.7 Employ a qualified auditor (contracted) to perform the TPO audit in accordance with federal requirements and Chapter 215.97, Florida Statutes (Florida Single Audit Act).

AUDIT (CON'T)

1.8 Receive copy of all audit reports relating to revenues and expenditures.

TRAINING

1.9 Continue to provide training opportunities to TPO Board and staff members in various policy, technical and administrative areas.

END PRODUCT

Effective and efficient management of the local 3-C planning process including active participation by public agencies and citizens. All required management documents.

FY 2018/19 - 19/20 UPWP. (May 2018)

Additional training in mission critical areas.

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Ocala/Marion County TPO

	FY	′ 2016/17	FY	2017/18
FHWA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	143,464	\$	138,651
Travel:	\$	7,000	\$	5,500
Direct Expenses:				
Office:	\$	44,152	\$	40,774
Facility:	\$	25,500	\$	25,874
Administrative:	\$	11,871	\$	11,000
Consultant:		-		-
Subtotal:	\$	231,988	\$	221,799
FTA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	7,179	\$	13,792
TD:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	1,734	\$	1,418
Local:	\$	897	\$	1,724
Total:	\$	241,798	\$	238,733

UPWP TASK 2.0 - DATA COLLECTION/SAFETY

The Data Collection task includes the data gathering activities from a number of sources including the City of Ocala, Marion County, the Florida Department of Transportation, and local law enforcement. The data is used in numerous ways including level-of-service determinations, identification of high-hazard intersections and GIS-based applications.

OBJECTIVE

To monitor changes in traffic characteristics within the TPO planning boundary. To compare existing conditions with projections to anticipate planning needs and activities. Provide data to appropriate agencies to improve overall safety of the transportation system.

PREVIOUS WORK

Maintenance of historical traffic and public transportation related data, such as: traffic counts, accident data, roadway inventories, level of service, financial information, and service area.

Annual update of Road Segment Inventory Database.

Published annual Transportation Information Guidebook. (October 2014, November 2015) Participated in Community Traffic Safety Team and FDOT Transportation System Management and Operations (TSM&O) Group.

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

DATA COLLECTION

- 2.1 Coordinate collection of traffic counts, 15 minute interval counts at selected count stations within the planning area.
- 2.2 Update the Road Segment Inventory Database (RSID) as necessary.
- 2.3 Publish annual Transportation Information Guidebook.
- 2.4 Continue to collect necessary data for Congestion Management System (CMS).
- 2.5 Annually review the traffic count program to ensure adequate coverage and to eliminate unnecessary or redundant count locations.
- 2.6 Work with local government staff to develop travel-time reports from Bluetooth sensors along local roadways.

SAFETY

- 2.7 Analyze crash trends and statistics to identify high-hazard locations and prioritize potential improvements.
- 2.8 Continue participation on the Marion County Community Traffic Safety Team and D5 Transportation Systems Management & Operations (TSM&O) working group.

END PRODUCT

Continuing refinement of local database of traffic and socioeconomic characteristics. Reports developed from databases as required to monitor changes in system activity. Maintenance of RSID for use by local governments in traffic study reviews. Publish Transportation Information Guidebook. (May 2017, May 2018)

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Ocala/Marion County TPO

	FY	2016/17	FY	2017/18
FHWA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	58,552	\$	64,194
Consultant:	\$	20,000	\$	-
Subtotal:	\$	78,552	\$	64,194
FTA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	8,116	\$	11,158
Local:	\$	1,015	\$	1,395
Total:	\$	87,683	\$	76,746

UPWP TASK 3.0 - LONG RANGE PLANNING

The Long Range Planning task includes the activities related to the long-term implementation of various transportation projects. The Task also includes coordination efforts with our regional partners to address transportation on a regional level.

OBJECTIVES

Maintain a Long Range Transportation Plan and a computer simulation model (FSUTMS) for forecasting travel patterns.

Evaluate alternative transportation modes and systems.

Improve traffic operations through the use of ITS technologies.

Coordinate planning efforts with regional partners.

PREVIOUS WORK

2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Adopted 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan. (November 2015)

Completed public involvement program. (November 2015)

Completed Goals, Objectives and Performance Measures (September 2015)

Completed Needs Assessment (August 2015)

Competed Financial Resources (August 2015)

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

Continued ITS Implementation Plan (SR 464).

Continued work with local governments to identify and prioritize ITS corridors.

Reviewed transit-related ITS improvements.

Worked with FDOT on the update of the Regional ITS Architecture.

ON-GOING ACTIVITIES

Continued participation in the development of various transportation projects.

REGIONAL COORDINATION

Continued participation in Central Florida MPO Alliance (CFMPOA)

- Adopted legislative priorities
- Completed regional highway priorities (July 2015)
- Completed regional trail priorities (July 2015)

Coordinated Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP) projects with the Heart of Florida Transportation Alliance (February 2015)

Participated in the I-75 Relief Task Force

REGIONAL TRAILS

Worked with FDOT, FDEP, US Fish & Wildlife and Marion County to assess potential environmental impacts of the Land Bridge Gap and Santos Gap paved trails.

Assisted Marion County with the development of the Design/Build projects for Land Bridge Gap and Santos Gap paved trails.

Worked with Citrus County on the development of the Withlacoochee State Trail to Dunnellon Trail Gap.

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

2045 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

3.1 Begin development of 2045 LRTP.

FY 2016/17:

- Review/update traffic model network (June 2017)
- ➤ Through the District model coordinating committee, work with FDOT and neighboring MPOs/TPOs to ensure regional issues for both highway and transit are addressed.

FY 2017/18

Initiate development of base year (2015) socioeconomic data

AIR CONFORMITY

- 3.2 Monitor Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) development of Air Conformity standards.
- 3.3 Monitor local ozone tracking stations located at the YMCA and Marion County Sheriff's Impound Yard. Data available online at http://www.dep.state.fl.us/air/air quality/county/Marion.htm
- 3.4 Develop plan to address conformity issues should planning area be designated as non-attainment.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- 3.5 Continue participation in the Mobility Performance Measures Team.
- 3.6 Investigate potential data sources for mobility data.
- 3.7 Develop performance measures based on local needs/preferences and define targets. (Fall 2017 dependent on FHWA Final Rule timeline)

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (ITS)

- 3.8 Continue work with City of Ocala and Marion County to further implement projects from ITS Master Plan.
- 3.9 Review potential ITS applications for SunTran.
- 3.10 Initiate update of ITS Master Plan. See Task 7.0 Special Projects for further details.

REGIONAL COORDINATION

- 3.11 Continue participation in Central Florida MPO Alliance.
 - > Establish legislative priorities.
 - > Establish regional priorities for highway, transit and regional trails.
 - Develop Regional Transit Plan
- 3.12 Continue membership in the Heart of Florida Transportation Alliance.
 - Review and update Regional Transportation Plan as necessary.
 - Work with Gainesville MTPO to address I-75 congestion issues.

REGIONAL TRAILS

- 3.13 Continue development of the Heart of Florida Loop and other regional trails.
 - Assist Marion County in the development of the Pruitt and Silver Springs Trail segments.
 - Work with the Hernando-Citrus MPO to expedite the development of the Withlacoochee State Trail connection to the Dunnellon Trail.
 - Work with state and local agencies in the development of the Silver Springs Bikeway.

END PRODUCT

2045 LRTP (November 2020)

Further implementation of ITS Master Plan.

Regionally coordinated transportation planning process.

Regionally coordinated long range transportation plan.

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Ocala/Marion County TPO

	FY	2016/17	FY	2017/18
FHWA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	51,471	\$	64,816
Consultant:				
LRTP:	\$	20,000	\$	18,625
MetroPlan:	\$	5,000	\$	5,000
Other:	\$	27,541		
Subtotal:	\$	104,012	\$	64,816
FTA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	18,245	\$	12,761
Consultant:		-		-
Subtotal:	\$	18,245	\$	12,761
Local:	\$	2,281	\$	1,595
Total:	\$	124,537	\$	79,172

UPWP TASK 4.0 - SHORT RANGE PLANNING

The Short Range Planning task includes the activities related to the actual implementation of various transportation projects identified as part of the 2035 LRTP and other TPO plans. The Task also includes annual maintenance of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) as well as legislative activities.

OBJECTIVES

To identify and address short term transportation needs.

Review development activity to monitor its effect on the local transportation system.

PREVIOUS WORK

Completion of Annual Priority Project process.

Published annual listing of Federal Projects Obligated.

Continued work on Interactive TIP System. Available at http://marion.dtstiptool.com/

Developed Annual TIP.

Worked with local governments on various planning issues.

Adopted annual legislative priorities.

Assisted local governments in developing FDOT's 4P applications for off-system projects.

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

- 4.1 Finalize FY 2022 and FY 2023 Priority Projects. (August 2016, 2017)
- 4.2 Update TIP bi-annually. (June, October)
- 4.3 Review local government comprehensive plans for consistency with TPO TIP and LRTP.
- 4.4 Publish annual listing of federally-funded obligated projects. (December)
- 4.5 Continue refinement of Interactive TIP system.
- 4.6 Amend TIP as necessary.

MONITOR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM IMPACTS

- 4.7 Monitor and review traffic operation needs through collection and analysis of peak hour traffic data.
- 4.8 Monitor and review DRI and other site specific impacts in development review process.

- 4.9 Conduct site impact analysis for new development projects as requested by local governments.
- 4.10 Advise local governments and boards on decisions which may impact corridors identified for improvement or identified as physically or policy constrained.
- 4.11 Coordinate TPO actions with local government comprehensive plan development efforts to encourage alternative modes of transportation.
- 4.12 Assist local governments in the implementation of the transportation provisions of SB 360, especially as they relate to the Mobility Plan.

FAST TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TA) PROGRAM

- 4.13 Assist local governments in FAST TA application process.
- 4.14 Monitor progress of programmed TA projects and assist in their implementation when necessary.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITIES

- 4.15 Develop annual legislative priorities. (January)
- 4.16 Monitor legislative activities on both the federal and state level to determine impact on transportation issues.
- 4.17 Assist MPOAC with legislative activities. (\$1,000 Local funds)

END PRODUCT

Annual Transportation Improvement Plan (June 2017, 2018)
Annual Listing of Federal Projects (December 2017, 2018)

Annual Legislative Priorities (January 2017, 2018)

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Ocala/Marion County TPO

	FY	2016/17	FY	2017/18
FHWA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	69,786	\$	70,664
Consultant:				
Interactive TIP:	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
Other:	\$	35,000		
Subtotal:	\$	114,786	\$	80,664
FTA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	18,245	\$	18,337
Consultant:		-		-
Subtotal:	\$	18,245	\$	18,337
Local:	\$	2,281	\$	2,292
Total:	\$	135,312	\$	101,292

UPWP TASK 5.0 - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

The Public Transportation task reflects the activities related to the local public transportation system which includes services provided locally by SunTran and Marion Transit Services (MTS). SunTran is the fixed-route service operating primarily in the urban area and is a joint effort of Marion County and the City of Ocala. The TPO serves as the policy and oversight board for SunTran. MTS provides paratransit services throughout the county as well as Americans with Disabilities (ADA) service within the fixed-route area for SunTran. MTS is also the designated Community Transportation Coordinator (CTC) through the Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged (CTD).

OBJECTIVES

To ensure the efficient and effective provision of public transportation by providing technical assistance and staff support to the local Community Transportation Coordinator and the SunTran system.

PREVIOUS WORK

SUNTRAN

Annual review of routes and schedules.

Completed annual National Transit Database (NTD) Report. (February 2015, 2016)

Annual update of Transit Development Plan (TDP).

TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED

Selection of Community Transportation Coordinator. (November 2015)

Completion of Annual Operating Report.

Annual review/update of Transportation Disadvantaged Service Plan (TDSP).

Annual review of Community Transportation Coordinator.

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

SUNTRAN

- 5.1 Review congested route segments/intersections for potential ITS applications to improve service.
- 5.2 Periodically review routes and schedules to determine effectiveness.
- 5.3 Complete annual NTD Report (February).

SUNTRAN (CON'T)

- 5.4 Update SunTran website on a regular basis.
- 5.5 Annually update TDP.
- 5.6 Continue work with the District 5 reThink commuter assistance program.
- 5.7 Develop shelter and bench program for fixed-route service area.
- 5.8 Integrate data from Avail Technologies (i.e. passenger counts, real-time location, arrival/departure times, etc.) into both the SunTran website and smartphone application.

TRANSPORTATION DISADVANTAGED

- 5.9 Provide staff support and administration to Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordinating Board (TDLCB).
- 5.10 Conduct grant administration including quarterly operational reports and financial statements.
- 5.11 Continue to facilitate coordination between the TDLCB and the CTC, Marion Transit Service (MTS).
- 5.12 Review CTC's annual report and perform evaluation. (December)
- 5.13 Review of Memorandum of Agreement and approval of fare structure prior to submission to Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged.
- 5.14 Continue coordination with the Commission for Transportation Disadvantaged.
- 5.15 Annually update TDSP.
- 5.16 Conduct CTC selection process. (June 2015)

END PRODUCT

Effective and efficient coordinated public transportation system

Completion of CTC review (annual)

Completion of NTD report (annual)

Completion of TDP and TDSP updates (annual)

Selection of CTC

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Ocala/Marion County TPO

	FY	2016/17	FY	2017/18
FHWA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	20,591	\$	22,964
Consultant:	\$	15,000	\$	-
Subtotal:	\$	35,591	\$	22,964
FTA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	6,738	\$	15,420
Consultant:		-		-
Subtotal:	\$	6,738	\$	15,420
Local:	\$	842	\$	1,927
TD:	\$	24,385	\$	04.701
TPO Staff:	Ф	24,365	Ф	24,701
Consultant:	\$	- 24 205	φ	- 24 701
Subtotal:	P	24,385	\$	24,701
Total:	\$	66,715	\$	63,084

UPWP TASK 6.0 - PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The Public Transportation task identifies the activities that keep the public involved in the transportation planning process. Activities include meeting notices as well as presenting information to various civic groups and local agencies on a regular basis.

OBJECTIVES

TPO

Ensure adequate opportunity for public input on the transportation planning process as well as specific projects.

FDOT - WORK PROGRAM PUBLIC HEARING

To consider annual changes to the Five Year Work Program pursuant to Section 339.135(4) (C), Florida Statutes.

PREVIOUS WORK

TPO

Continual update of TPO website.

Completed update of TPO Public Involvement Plan. (August 2014)

FDOT

Conducted public hearings for Work Program. (December 2014, 2015)

Presented Tentative Work Program to TPO. (January 2014, November 2015)

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

TPO

- 6.1 Develop and disseminate public information as necessary to inform the public of transportation planning activities. Conduct presentations on transportation related topics to civic and governmental agencies as requested.
- 6.2 Provide a clearinghouse for transportation related activities for all levels of government and public involved in improving the local transportation system.
- 6.3 Complete monthly updates to the TPO Web Page <u>www.ocalamariontpo.org</u>.
- 6.4 Continue to monitor and track all public information requests.
- 6.5 Update TPO website on a regular basis.

TPO (CON'T)

- 6.6 Develop and publish an annual report on TPO activities.
- 6.7 Periodically review and update Public Involvement Plan (PIP) to comply with the FAST Act, Title VI and other applicable requirements.

FDOT

To develop and conduct the Department's Tentative Five Year Work Program and consider making any changes to the Program that is necessary to balance the Five Year Work Program. The Work Program Public Hearing(s) is being developed and conducted pursuant to Section 339.135(4)(C), Florida Statutes, as amended. The Public Hearing(s) will include information for Brevard, Flagler, Lake, Marion, Orange, Osceola, Seminole, Sumter and Volusia Counties. The Public Hearing(s) will include consideration of proposed projects for the Florida's Turnpike Enterprise. The Public Hearing(s) and Public Information Meetings are conducted annually. Department continuously coordinates with the MPOs/TPOs in their project priority development and project selection in the Department's Tentative Five Year Work Program. The Department will hold a Public Hearing(s) for the tentative work program in December of the 2014 and January of the 2015 calendar year. The Department will continuously coordinate with the MPOs/TPOs to provide video tapings of each Public Hearing to be broadcasted on public television. Department will continue to provide a website for the Work Program Public Hearing. The website will include a link to a webinar option for the MPOs/TPOs and citizens to access the District's Work Program Public Hearing. The website will continue to have information and maps on the Department's Tentative Five Year Work Program.

END PRODUCT

Effective program to provide the public information regarding the transportation planning process and specific projects.

Update of TPO website.

TPO Annual Report.

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Ocala/Marion County TPO

FDOT

	FY	2016/17	FY	2017/18
FHWA:				
TPO Personnel:	\$	27,193	\$	23,474
Consultant:				-
Website Update:	\$	35,000		
Subtotal:	\$	62,193	\$	23,474
FTA:				
TPO Personnel:		-		-
Consultant:		-		-
Subtotal:		-		-
Local:	\$	471	\$	-
Total:	\$	62,193	\$	23,474

UPWP TASK 7.0 - SPECIAL PROJECTS

The Special Projects task identifies the activities that are non-recurring, special studies dealing with various transportation issues.

OBJECTIVES

Conduct identified studies and/or surveys to improve the overall transportation system.

PREVIOUS WORK

Completed 2035 Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan (October 2014)

Completed SR 40-Silver Springs Existing Conditions Review (January 2015)

Completed SR 40-Downtown Corridor Plan (June 2015)

Completed SR 40-Silver Springs Corridor Plan (May 2016)

Completed US 441 - Belleview Corridor Plan (June 2016)

Completed Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Review (June 2016)

Completed Urban Cycling Plan (June 2016)

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

7.1 Update Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Master Plan (December 2017)

Since the TPO adopted its first ITS Master Plan in 2009, both the City of Ocala and Marion County have deployed various ITS strategies. In order to ensure an effective and efficient maturation of the system, the update of the Master Plan will include a review of existing processes and potential strategies The update of the ITS Master Plan will include:

- Review existing and planned ITS deployments
- Review existing organizational structures
- Review existing operational resources and manpower
- Review Regional ITS Architecture (RITSA)
- Update ITS Needs (highway & transit)
- Update Concept of Operations (CONOPS)
- Identify potential new strategies
- 7.2 Transit Development Plan (September 2017)

The TPO's both the City of Ocala and Marion County have deployed various ITS strategies. In order to ensure an effective and efficient maturation of the overall first ITS Master Plan was adopted in 2009. Since then, system, a review of existing processes and potential strategies will be conducted. The update of the ITS Master Plan will include:

- > Review existing and planned ITS deployments
- Review existing organizational structures
- Review existing operational resources and manpower
- Review Regional ITS Architecture (RITSA)
- Update ITS Needs (highway & transit)
- Update Concept of Operations (CONOPS)
- Identify potential new strategies

7.3 Belleview Greenway Connector Study

The 2035 Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan identified a future connection from the City of Belleview to the Cross Florida Greenway. The Connector Study will identify to best route to make that connection. Study will be initiated after July 1, 2017.

END PRODUCT

Updated ITS Master Plan Updated Transit Development Plan Belleview Greenway Connector Study

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Ocala/Marion County TPO

	F١	2016/17		FY	2017/18
FHWA:		_			
TPO Personnel:	\$	58,182		\$	48,464
Consultant:	\$	20,000		\$	-
Subtotal:	\$	78,182		\$	48,464
FDOT:					
Consultant:					
ITS Master Plan:	\$	175,000			
Belleview Greenway:				\$	75,000
Subtotal:	\$ 175,000			\$	75,000
FTA:					
TPO Personnel:	\$	15,292		\$	7,120
Consultant:					
TDP (Sec 5307):	\$	150,000			
Subtotal:	\$	165,292		\$	7,120
Local:	\$	1,911		\$	890
Total:	\$	420,384		\$	131,475

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE

This task outlines the assistance provided to the TPO by FDOT on a number of issues. The information included in this section is prepared by the Florida Department of Transportation and submitted to the TPO for inclusion.

GENERAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is a contributor to transportation planning and policy development in District Five. Generally, the specific recurring transportation planning activities accomplished by FDOT's District Five Planning Office can be placed in one of the following categories: Transportation Planning Activities, Data Collection Activities and Systems Planning Activities.

REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ACTIVITIES

TPO SUPPORT

Provide a TPO Liaison between the Department and each TPO; provide supporting information and documentation to support TPO planning; review and take appropriate action on Transportation Improvement Program(TIP) and TIP Amendments; review and take appropriate action on Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) and UPWP Amendments; review and take appropriate action on Long Range Transportation Plan; review and take appropriate action on Public Involvement Plan; prepare and conduct Federal and State Certification reviews; assist MPOs/TPOs in attending various committee meetings and Community Awareness Planning Meetings; assist MPOs/TPOs with Work Program System issues and reports; assist MPOs/TPOs in State and Federal Grant Programs; and support and assist with transit issues and initiatives. Review and update TPO contract agreements (Interlocal Agreement for the Creation of Metropolitan Planning Organization, Intergovernmental Coordination and Review (ICAR), and Transportation Planning Funds Joint Participation Agreement.

Assist with providing revenue forecasts (for federal and state funds) to the TPO to develop their Long Range Transportation Plans; provide support and assistance to ensure that the MPOs/TPOs comply with the state and federal policies, procedures and federal code of regulation, comply with Title VI in the planning process (UPWP, TIP, Model Validation, etc.) and comply with other certification requirements; provide supporting information and documentation to support TPO planning; assist TPO with boundary and membership issues for merging and emerging TPOs.

TRANSIT SUPPORT

Provide Transit Analyst services between the Department and the TPO; assist the TPO with transit related issues and initiatives; review and recommend appropriate action on TIP and TIP Amendments and UPWP and UPWP Amendments for transit projects. Provide a FDOT representative to the TPO's Transportation Disadvantaged Local

Coordinating Board (TDLCB) Meetings; provide technical assistance to the TDLCB; review and update TPO transit grant agreements for Section 5303 grants and transit programs; Assist the TPO with Work Program and execution of all related system issues and reports.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL SUPPORT AND REVIEW

Review and submit recommendations on Local Government Comprehensive Plans (LGCP) amendments, both proposed and adopted, and Evaluation and Appraisal Reports, Capital Improvement Elements (CIEs), and Capital Improvement Plan (CIPs) and TCEAs for appropriate land uses and transportation related impacts on those facilities of state concern. Assist MPOs/TPOs with feasibility studies and implementation planning.

STRATEGIC INTERMODAL SYSTEM PLAN

Process requests for designation changes; coordinate regional and local facilities with the SIS; coordinate the Department's transit initiative with the SIS; manage policy level public and partner involvement efforts related to the SIS; assist in technical level public and partner involvement efforts related to the SIS; assist in providing revenue forecasts.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Conduct Public Involvement activities related to Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM), Project Development and Environmental (PD&E) studies, and Work Program Public Hearings; provide tools for developing and reviewing projects at the Planning Screen Phase (used in the development of TPO long range transportation plans and SIS cost-feasible plan).

BICYCLE/PEDESTRIAN SUPPORT

Support and assist with bicycle, pedestrian and transit related issues and initiatives. Provide interagency coordination and technical support in the area of multimodal transportation planning and development. Review and provide feedback on local roadway and site plans for the inclusion of alternative transportation facilities. Review and provide feedback on alternative transportation planning documents and studies. Act as District Five steward for regional trail initiatives and projects. Coordinate multimodal transportation network infrastructure inventory and needs assessment for all facilities in District Five.

SAFETY

The District Five Intermodal System Development Safety efforts will support the following: Florida's Pedestrian and Bicycle Focused Initiative, Corridor Planning Studies for TPO projects for Completed Streets, Multimodal Corridors, Context Sensitive Solutions and Transportation Design for Livable Communities, and Improvements to accommodate transit accessibility, pedestrians and accessibility for persons with disabilities.

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT & OPERATIONS PROGRAM (TSMO)

Develop a District Five Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSM&O) Program within a team of champions made up of MPOs/TPOs, transit agencies and District staff.

DATA COLLECTION ACTIVITIES

SYSTEMS INVENTORY

Provide for the efficient transfer of road jurisdiction by the Department and local governments based on mutual agreement; functionally classify roads, including the designation of federal aid eligibility; and develop, analyze, and assign an integrated statewide network of federal, local and state systems.

MAPPING

Maintain and provide mapping information related to the SIS; maintain and update functional classification maps.

SYSTEM PLANNING ACTIVITIES

DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT (DRI) REVIEW

Conduct large scale development review through the DRI process or as requested by Regional Planning Councils. These reviews offer technical comments and may result in the development and coordination of transportation projects and funding partnerships, including agreements with developers. These projects are then coordinated with the TPO during project development, prioritization, or programming. In addition, provide review and comments for the review of master plans, sectors plans and sub-DRI developments for impacts to the state highway system.

SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT

Provide assistance for determining the need for, and feasibility of, new access points (IJRs) and modifications (IMRs) to existing access points on the SIS facilities; conduct Level-of-Service analysis that will determine current and future conditions of the State Highway System; Project Design Traffic and 18 KIP Equivalent Single Axle Loadings (ESALs) preparations.

MODELING

The District will continue to support regional transportation modeling activities and gather information on how to make improvements through improved policies, procedures and guidelines for transportation demand forecasting for the Florida

Standard Model; assist in validation of models and conduct planning studies requested by local governments and TPOs.

STRATEGIC INTERMODAL SYSTEM

Conduct Traffic/Travel Demand Assignment Studies; develop traffic projections; develop and maintain a SIS Needs Plan and SIS Cost Feasible Plan; provide input for SIS modifications and refinements; develop, coordinate and distribute SIS corridor plans.

FDOT DISTRICT 5 SPECIFIC ACTIVITES

TRAFFIC MODELING

The Department will continue to support the District Five MPOs/TPOs (Space Coast TPO, Lake~Sumter MPO, Ocala/Marion TPO, METROPLAN ORLANDO, and River-to-Sea TPO) with ongoing modeling activities applications, enhancements and technical support.

EFFICIENT TRANSPORTATION DECISION MAKING (ETDM)/SOCIOCULTURAL EFFECTS (SCE)

To assist and collaborate with Space Coast TPO, Lake-Sumter MPO, Ocala/Marion County TPO, METROPLAN ORLANDO and River-to-Sea TPO with the implementation of the Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) Process. District Five is coordinating with each of the MPOs/TPOs to determine which projects should be sent out for an ETDM review.

The District will continue assisting the TPO with their ETDM/SCE (Sociocultural Effects) tasks by helping to coordinate schedules, provide guidance, and assist in sending projects for Planning Screen reviews, including providing guidance with summary reports for those projects. The District will continue to run Programming Screens on projects prior to PD&E, as required by FHWA, FDOT will provide ETDM technical assistance and training to TPO staff as needed or requested.

DISTRICT FIVE CENTRAL FLORIDA GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS (CFGIS)

District Five continues to utilize the resources of the Central Florida GIS (CFGIS) initiative. The availability of the Data Clearinghouse allows members of the general public, while providing a users group forum for GIS users within the Central Florida region, to facilitate data sharing and information exchange. District Five has been a major funding contributor to the CFGIS initiative. Discussions have occurred with the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council (ECFRPC) to further identify the regional data needs, funding partnerships, and the roles and responsibilities of the agencies involved.

The Department is continuing to keep their GIS interactive tools up-to-date utilizing the CFGIS information portal. Some tools currently available on this portal include: TransMap, which serves transit data; the Strategic Intermodal System Implemental & Management (SISIM) tool which allows partners throughout the district to share

information concerning the implementation of operational improvements for SIS facilities; etc. Additionally District Five maintains a non-GIS specific information Traffic Data web page on this website. This would make transportation data currently being maintained by the Department more publicly available as an interactive tool to be housed on the CFGIS server.

END PRODUCT

Proper and timely response for management of technical problems and requests. State match to assist in timely and successful completion of FTA sponsored activities.

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Florida Department of Transportation

FUNDING SOURCES/USES

For FY 2014/15 & 2015/16, FDOT will soft match PL funds using toll revenue expenditures as a credit toward the non-federal share. The amount reflected above represents the amount of soft match required (both state and local) for the amount of federal PL funds requested.

TABLE I SCHEDULE OF SELECTED PROJECTS

	2016	2017	2018
UPWP TASK	JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC	JAN FEB MAR APR MAY JUN
TASK 1.0 PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION			
1.2 Develop 2018/19-19/20 UPWP			
1.7 Audit			
1.8 Receive copy of audit reports			
TASK 2.0 DATA COLLECTION			
2.1 Collection of count data			
2.3 Publish Traffic Information Guidebook			
2.4 CMS data collection			
2.5 Review of traffic count program			
2.7 Analysis of accident data			
TASK 3.0 LONG RANGE PLANNING			
3.1 2045 LRTP Update			
3.7 Develop Performance Measures			
Task 4.0 SHORT RANGE PLANNING			
4.1 Develop 2022 Priority Projects			
Develop 2023 Priority Projects			
4.2 Bi-annual TIP Update			
4.11 Process FAST ACT TA Applications			
4.13 Develop Legislative Priorities			
TASK 5.0 PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION			
5.4 Develop 2016 NTD Report			
Develop 2017 NTD Report			
5.12 Review CTC Performance		ACHERICAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	
5.13. Review CTC Fare Structure			
TASK 6.0 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT			
6.5 Develop Annual Report			
TASK 7.0 SPECIAL PROJECTS			
7.1 ITS Master Plan Update			
7.2 TDP Update			
7.3 SR 40 - Downtown Corridor Plan			

FY 2016/17 - 2017/18 UPWP Page 34

TABLE II FY 2016/17 Agency Participation

TASK	ELEMENT	PL	FDOT	FTA	Local	Total
1.0	Admin	\$231,988	\$1,734	\$7,179	\$897	\$241,798
2.0	Data/Safety	\$78,552		\$8,116	\$1,015	\$87,683
3.0	LRP	\$104,012		\$18,245	\$2,281	\$124,537
4.0	SRP	\$114,786		\$18,245	\$3,281	\$136,312
5.0	Public Trans.	\$35,591	\$24,385	\$6,738	\$842	\$67,558
6.0	Public Inv.	\$62,193		\$3,772	\$471	\$66,436
7.0	Special Proj.	\$78,182	\$175,000	\$165,292	\$1,911	\$420,384
-	FDOT Asst.**		\$165,256			\$165,256
	TOTAL	\$705,304	\$366,375	\$227,586	\$10,698	\$1,309,964

TABLE III FY 2016/17 Funding Sources

			Federal I	Planning Fur	ids (PL)		FTA S	Section 5305(d)	FTA 5307	FDOT - TD		Total		
					Deobliga	ntions*									Task
TASK	ELEMENT	Federal	State	Local	FY 14/15	FY 15/16	Federal	State	Local		State	Federal	State	Local	Total
1.0	Admin	\$231,988			\$55,000		\$7,179		\$897		\$1,734	\$239,166	\$1,734	\$897	\$241,798
2.0	Data/Safety	\$78,552			\$44,000		\$8,116		\$1,015			\$86,669		\$1,015	\$87,683
3.0	LRP	\$104,012			\$90,000		\$18,245		\$2,281			\$122,257		\$2,281	\$124,537
4.0	SRP	\$114,786		\$1,000	\$5,000		\$18,245		\$2,281			\$133,031		\$3,281	\$136,312
5.0	Public Trans.	\$35,591			\$2,500		\$6,738		\$842		\$24,385	\$42,330	\$24,385	\$842	\$67,558
6.0	Public Inv.	\$62,193			\$5,500		\$3,772		\$471			\$65,965		\$471	\$66,436
7.0	Special Proj.	\$78,182	\$175,000)	\$40,000		\$15,292		\$1,911	\$150,000		\$243,473	\$175,000	\$1,911	\$420,384
-	FDOT Asst.**	\$155,558				\$9,698					\$165,256		\$165,256		
	TOTAL	\$705,304	\$330,558	\$1,000			\$77,586	\$9,698	\$9,698		\$26,119	\$932,890	\$366,375	\$10,698	\$1,309,964

*Deobligation amounts included in Federal PL allocation

^{**}Funds shown under PL are not actually allocated to the TPO. Covers FDOT staff expenses.

TABLE IV FY 2017/18 Agency Participation

TASK	ELEMENT	PL	FDOT	FTA	Local	Total
1.0	Admin	\$221,799		\$13,792	\$1,724	\$237,315
2.0	Data/Safety	\$64,194		\$11,158	\$1,395	\$76,746
3.0	LRP	\$88,441		\$12,761	\$1,595	\$102,797
4.0	SRP	\$80,664		\$18,337	\$3,292	\$102,292
5.0	Public Trans.	\$22,964	\$26,119	\$15,420	\$1,927	\$66,430
6.0	Public Inv.	\$23,474		\$0	\$0	\$23,474
7.0	Special Proj.	\$48,464	\$75,000	\$7,120	\$890	\$131,475
-	FDOT Asst.**		\$131,128			\$131,128
	TOTAL	\$550,000	\$232,247	\$78,587	\$10,823	\$740,530

TABLE V FY 2017/18 Funding Sources

_	_													
				Planning Fu	nds (PL)		FTA	Section 5305(d)	FDOT - TD		Total		
						Prior Year								Task
TA	SK	ELEMENT	Federal	State	Local	Unencumb.*	Federal	State	Local	State	Federal	State	Local	Total
1	.0	Admin	\$221,799				\$13,792		\$1,724		\$235,591		\$1,724	\$237,315
2	.0 [Data/Safety	\$64,194				\$11,158		\$1,395		\$75,351		\$1,395	\$76,746
3	۱ 0.	LRP	\$88,441				\$12,761		\$1,595		\$101,202		\$1,595	\$102,797
4	.0	SRP	\$80,664		\$1,000		\$18,337		\$2,292		\$99,000		\$3,292	\$102,292
5	.0 F	Public Trans.	\$22,964				\$15,420		\$1,927	\$26,119	\$38,384	\$26,119	\$1,927	\$66,430
6	.0 F	Public Inv.	\$23,474				\$0		\$0		\$23,474		\$0	\$23,474
7	.0	Special Proj.	\$48,464	\$75,000			\$7,120		\$890		\$55,585	\$75,000	\$890	\$131,475
	- F	FDOT Asst.**		\$121,305				\$9,823				\$131,128		\$131,128
	1	TOTAL	\$550,000	\$196,305	\$1,000	\$0	\$78,587	\$9,823	\$9,823	\$26,119	\$628,587	\$232,247	\$10,823	\$740,530

^{*}Unencumberance amount included in Federal PL allocation

^{**}Funds shown under PL are not actually allocated to the TPO. Covers FDOT staff expenses.

TABLE VI FAST ACT PLANNING FACTORS*

	UPWP Tasks						
FAST ACT Planning Factors	Admin	Data Collection	LRP	SRP	Public Trans.	Public Invlv.	Special Projects
Support Economic Vitality	Х		Х	Х	Х		Х
- предоставления в пред							
Increase Safety		Х	Χ	Х		Х	Х
Increase Security		X	Х	Х		Х	Х
Increase Accessibility			Х	Х		Х	Х
Protect Environment			X	Х	Х		Х
Enhance Connectivity			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Promote Efficient Operation	Х	X	X	Х	Х		Х
Emphasize System Preservation	Х	X	Х	Х		Х	Х
Improve Resiliency/Reliability	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х
Enhance Travel/Tourism			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

^{*}See page 1 for details

FY 2016/17 - 2017/18 UPWP Page 37


Appendix I
Activities within TPO Planning Area

			Activities within TPO Planning Area	Responsible		Completion
Activity	Limits	Length	Description	Agency	Website	Date
Current/Upcoming Activities						
I-75 Relief Task Force	-	-	Established by FDOT to review long-term solutions for I-75	FDOT	I-75 Relief.com	Oct-16
NW 49th Street Interchange PD&E	NW 44th Ave to NW 35th Street	1.5 mi.	New interchange at I-75 and NW 49th Street	FDOT		TBD
Transit Development Plan	Countywide		Update of 2012 Transit Development Plan	TPO		Sep 2017
ITS Master Plan Update	Countywide		Update of 2009 ITS Master Plan	TPO		Dec 2017
Recently Completed Activities	th.		Di illi i	FROT		
SR 40 - Downtown Corridor Plan	US 441 to NE 8 th Avenue	.7 mi	Plan will develop concept-level projects to improve pedestrian access on SR 40 in Downtown Ocala consistent with the City of Ocala's Vision 2035 plan.	FDOT		June 2015
2040 Long Range Transportation Plan	n Countywide	-		TPO	OcalaMarionTPO.org	Nov 2015
NE 25 th Avenue PD&E Study	SR 492 to NE 35th Street	1.5 mi	Study will review potential operational and capacity improvements as well as feasibility of a grade separation over the CSX rail line.	FDOT	OcalaRoadwayStudies.com	Feb 2016
NE 36 th Avenue PD&E Study	SR 492 to NE 35th Street	1.5 mi	Study will review potential operational and capacity improvements as well as feasibility of a grade separation over the CSX rail line.	FDOT	OcalaRoadwayStudies.com	Feb 2016
SR 40 - Silver Springs Corridor Plan	NE 49 th Court Rd to NE 60 th Ct	1.5 mi	Plan will identify improvements to enhance multi-modal access consistent with Marion County CRA plan for the area and renovations to Silver Springs State Park.	TPO	-	May 2016
US 441 - Belleview Corridor Plan	SE 100th St to SE Baseline Rd	1.5	Plan will identify potential multimodal and traffic operations improvements on US 441 through Belleview to enhance the pedestrian environment and improve safety and mobility for residents.	TPO		May 2016
Urban Cycling Plan	Countywide	-			OcalaMarionTPO.org	June 2016
Bicycle Pedestrain Safety Study	Countywide	-	Review high-hazard bicycle and pedestrain crash areas.		OcalaMarionTPO.org	June 2016

View Burden Statement

OMB Number: 4040-0004 Expiration Date: 8/31/2016

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424							
* 1. Type of Submission: Preapplication Application Changed/Corrected Application	New	* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s): * Other (Specify):					
* 3. Date Received:	4. Applicant Identifier:						
N/A							
5a. Federal Entity Identifier:		5b. Federal Award Identifier:					
N/A							
State Use Only:							
6. Date Received by State:	7. State Application	Identifier: 1001					
8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:							
*a.LegalName: Ocala/Marion Co	ounty Transportation F	Planning Organization					
* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Nu	mber (EIN/TIN):	* c. Organizational DUNS:					
59-60000392		0559474280000					
d. Address:							
* Street1: 121 SE Watula	ı Ave						
Street2:							
* City: Ocala							
County/Parish:							
* State:		FL: Florida	<u> </u>				
* Country:		USA: UNITED STATES					
* Zip / Postal Code: 34471-0000		OM. ONLIND BINIED					
e. Organizational Unit:							
Department Name:		Division Name:					
f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:							
Prefix:	* First Name	e. Greg					
Middle Name:							
* Last Name: Slay							
Suffix:	-						
Title: Director							
Organizational Affiliation:							
* Talanhana Niverb		Faulkinston					
* Telephone Number: 352-629-8297		Fax Number:					
* Email: gslay@ocalamariontpo.	org						



Application for Federal Assistance SF-424						
16. Congressional Districts Of:						
* a. Applicant 3, 5, 11 * b. Program/Project 3, 5, 11						
Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.						
Add Attachment Delete Attachment View Attachment						
17. Proposed Project:						
* a. Start Date: 07/01/2016 * b. End Date: 06/30/2017						
18. Estimated Funding (\$):						
* a. Federal 78,588.00						
* b. Applicant						
* c. State 9,823.00						
* d. Local 9,823.00						
* e. Other						
* f. Program Income						
*g. TOTAL 98,234.00						
* 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?						
a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on						
b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.						
C. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.						
* 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)						
Yes No						
If "Yes", provide explanation and attach						
Add Attachment Delete Attachment View Attachment						
21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001) ** I AGREE ** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.						
Authorized Representative:						
Prefix: ★ First Name: Greg						
Middle Name:						
* Last Name: Slay						
Suffix:						
* Title: Director						
* Telephone Number: 352-629-8297 Fax Number:						
*Email: gslay@ocalamariontpo.org						
* Signature of Authorized Representative:						

FTA FISCAL YEAR 2016 CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2016 CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES FOR FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

(Signature pages alternative to providing Certifications and Assurances in TrAMS)

Name of Applicant: Ocala/Marion County TPO

The Applicant agrees to comply with applicable provisions of Categories 01 – 23. $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ OR

The Applicant agrees to comply with applicable provisions of the Categories it has selected:

<u>Category</u>	<u>Description</u>	
01.	Required Certifications and Assurances for Each Applicant.	
02.	Lobbying.	
03.	Procurement and Procurement Systems.	
04.	Private Sector Protections.	
05.	Rolling Stock Reviews and Bus Testing.	
06.	Demand Responsive Service.	
07.	Intelligent Transportation Systems.	
08.	Interest and Financing Costs and Acquisition of Capital Assets by Lease.	
09.	Transit Asset Management Plan and Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan.	
10.	Alcohol and Controlled Substances Testing.	
11.	Fixed Guideway Capital Investment Grants Program (New Starts, Small Starts, and Core Capacity Improvement).	
12.	State of Good Repair Program.	
13.	Grants for Buses and Bus Facilities and Low or No Emission Vehicle Deployment Grant Programs.	
14.	Urbanized Area Formula Grants Programs and Passenger Ferry Grant Program.	
15.	Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Programs.	
16.	Rural Areas and Appalachian Development Programs.	
17.	Tribal Transit Programs (Public Transportation on Indian Reservations Programs).	
18.	State Safety Oversight Grant Program.	
19.	Public Transportation Emergency Relief Program.	
20.	Expedited Project Delivery Pilot Program.	
21.	Infrastructure Finance Programs.	
22.	Paul S. Sarbanes Transit in Parks Program.	
23.	Hiring Preferences	

FTA FISCAL YEAR 2016 CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES

FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR 2016 FTA CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES SIGNATURE PAGE

(Required of all Applicants for federal assistance to be awarded by FTA and all FTA Grantees with an active Capital or Formula Award)

AFFIRMATION OF APPLICANT

Name of the Applicant: Ocala/Marion Count	y TPO
Name and Relationship of the Authorized Representative:	Brent Malever, Chairman
BY SIGNING BELOW, on behalf of the Applicant, I declare the Certifications and Assurances and bind its compliance. Thus, is requirements, follow applicable federal guidance, and comply the foregoing page applicable to each application its Authorized Administration (FTA) in federal fiscal year 2016, irrespective Applicant's behalf continues to represent it.	it agrees to comply with all federal laws, regulations, and with the Certifications and Assurances as indicated on ed Representative makes to the Federal Transit
FTA intends that the Certifications and Assurances the Applic apply to each Award for which it now seeks, or may later seek fiscal year 2016.	
The Applicant affirms the truthfulness and accuracy of the Cerstatements submitted with this document and any other submis Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986, 31 U.S.C. § 3801 <i>et seq.</i> , a Civil Remedies," 49 CFR part 31, apply to any certification, a provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 1001 apply to any certification, assupublic transportation program authorized by 49 U.S.C. chapter	ssion made to FTA, and acknowledges that the Program and implementing U.S. DOT regulations, "Program Fraud ssurance or submission made to FTA. The criminal rance, or submission made in connection with a federal
In signing this document, I declare under penalties of perjury to ther statements made by me on behalf of the Applicant are tro	
Signature	Date:
Name Brent Malever Authorized Representative of Applicant	
AFFIRMATION OF APPL	ICANT'S ATTORNEY
For (Name of Applicant): Ocala/Marion Coun	ty TPO
As the undersigned Attorney for the above named Applicant, I state, local, or tribal government law, as applicable, to make an indicated on the foregoing pages. I further affirm that, in my o legally made and constitute legal and binding obligations on it	nd comply with the Certifications and Assurances as pinion, the Certifications and Assurances have been
I further affirm that, to the best of my knowledge, there is no leadversely affect the validity of these Certifications and Assura	
Signature	Date:
Name Patrick G. Gilligan	
Attorney for Applicant	

Each Applicant for federal assistance to be awarded by FTA and each FTA Recipient with an active Capital or Formula Project or Award must provide an Affirmation of Applicant's Attorney pertaining to the Applicant's legal capacity. The Applicant may enter its electronic signature in lieu of the Attorney's signature within FTA's electronic award and management system, provided the Applicant has on file and uploaded to FTA's electronic award and management system this hard-copy Affirmation, signed by the attorney and dated this federal fiscal year.

Section 5305(d) - FY 2017 Grant Management Information System Codes FTA Funds Only - 80% of Total

	·		
Technical Classificatio	ns:		
44 44 00	Duaguage Cumpant and Administration	Ф	7 470
41.11.00 41.12.00	Program Support and Administration	\$	7,179
	General Development and Comprehensive Planning	\$	10 045
41.13.00	Long Range Transportation Planning	Ф	18,245
44 42 04	(including Major Investment Studies and EIS)	¢.	0 116
41.13.01	System Level	\$	8,116
41.13.02	Project Level	\$	6,738
41.14.00	Short Range Transportation Planning	\$ \$ \$	14,596
41.15.00	Transportation Improvement Program	\$	3,649
41.16.00	Special Projects	Þ	15,292
41.16.01	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)		
41.16.02	Clean Air Planning		
41.16.06	Financial Planning		
41.16.07	Management Systems		
41.16.08	Livable Communities	•	0.770
41.17.00	Other Activities (Public Involvement)	\$	3,772
	Total FTA Funds	\$	77,586
Accounting Classificat	ions:		
41.20.01	Personnel	\$	34,854
41.20.02	Fringe Benefits	\$	41,712
41.20.03	Travel	\$	693
41.20.04	Equipment	·	
41.20.05	Supplies		
41.20.06	Contractual		
41.20.07	Other	\$	327
41.20.08	Indirect Costs	·	
	Total FTA Funds	\$	77,586
Fund Allocations:			
T GITO AIROGATIONS.			
41.30.01	MPO Activities	\$	77,586
41.30.02	Transit Operator Activities	•	,,,,,,
41.30.03	State and/or Local Agency Activities		
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	77,586
			,
	Total FTA Funds	\$	77,586

Section 5305(d) - FY 2017 Grant Management Information System Codes All Funds

Technical Classifications:			
41.11.00	Program Support and Administration	\$	8,973
41.12.00	General Development and Comprehensive Planning	Ψ	0,575
41.13.00	Long Range Transportation Planning	\$	22,806
41.10.00	(including Major Investment Studies and EIS)	Ψ	22,000
41.13.01	System Level	\$	10,145
41.13.02	Project Level		8,423
41.14.00	Short Range Transportation Planning	\$ \$	18,245
41.15.00	Transportation Improvement Program		4,561
41.16.00	Special Projects	\$ \$	19,114
41.16.01	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	*	
41.16.02	Clean Air Planning		
41.16.06	Financial Planning		
41.16.07	Management Systems		
41.16.08	Livable Communities		
41.17.00	Other Activities (Public Involvement)	\$	4,715
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	96,983
Accounting Classifications	5:		
41.20.01	Personnel	\$	43,568
41.20.02	Fringe Benefits	\$	52,140
41.20.03	Travel	\$	866
41.20.04	Equipment		
41.20.05	Supplies		
41.20.06	Contractual		
41.20.07	Other	\$	409
41.20.08	Indirect Costs		
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	96,983
Fund Allocations:			
44.00.04	AADO A A W	•	
41.30.01	MPO Activities	\$	96,983
41.30.02	Transit Operator Activities		
41.30.03	State and/or Local Agency Activities		
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	96,983
	Federal Share (80%)	\$	77,586
	Local Share (20%)	\$	19,398
Accounting			
<u>Classification</u>	FPC Description	Amount	
			00.000
91.37.08.8P-2	02 Technical Studies - Planning	\$	96,983

Section 5305(d) - FY 2018 Grant Management Information System Codes FTA Funds Only - 80% of Total Ocala/Marion County TPO

Technical Classifications:			
41.11.00	Program Support and Administration	\$	13,792
41.12.00	General Development and Comprehensive Planning	·	·
41.13.00	Long Range Transportation Planning	\$	12,761
	(including Major Investment Studies and EIS)	·	•
41.13.01	System Level	\$	11,158
41.13.02	Project Level		15,420
41.14.00	Short Range Transportation Planning	\$ \$	14,669
41.15.00	Transportation Improvement Program	\$	3,667
41.16.00	Special Projects	\$ \$	7,120
41.16.01	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	·	,
41.16.02	Clean Air Planning		
41.16.06	Financial Planning		
41.16.07	Management Systems		
41.16.08	Livable Communities		
41.17.00	Other Activities (Public Involvement)	\$	-
	,	•	
	Total FTA Funds	\$	78,588
Accounting Classifications:			
41.20.01	Personnel	\$	34,839
41.20.02	Fringe Benefits	\$	76,579
41.20.03	Travel	\$	160
41.20.04	Equipment		
41.20.05	Supplies		
41.20.06	Contractual	\$	1,542
41.20.07	Other	\$	306
41.20.08	Indirect Costs		
	Total FTA Funds	\$	113,427
Fund Allocations:			
41.30.01	MPO Activities	\$	78,587
41.30.02	Transit Operator Activities		
41.30.03	State and/or Local Agency Activities		
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	78,587
	Total FTA Funds	\$	113,427

Section 5305(d)3 - FY 2018 Grant Management Information System Codes All Funds Ocala/Marion County TPO

Technical Classifications:			
41.11.00	Program Support and Administration	\$	17,240
41.12.00	General Development and Comprehensive Planning	•	,
41.13.00	Long Range Transportation Planning	\$	15,952
	(including Major Investment Studies and EIS)		·
41.13.01	System Level	\$	13,947
41.13.02	Project Level	\$	19,275
41.14.00	Short Range Transportation Planning	\$	18,337
41.15.00	Transportation Improvement Program	\$ \$ \$	4,584
41.16.00	Special Projects	\$	8,900
41.16.01	Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)		
41.16.02	Clean Air Planning		
41.16.06	Financial Planning		
41.16.07	Management Systems		
41.16.08	Livable Communities	Φ.	
41.17.00	Other Activities (Public Involvement)	\$	-
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	98,234
Accounting Classifications:			
41.20.01	Personnel	\$	43,548
41.20.02	Fringe Benefits	\$ \$	95,724
41.20.03	Travel	\$	200
41.20.04	Equipment		
41.20.05	Supplies		
41.20.06	Contractual	\$	1,927
41.20.07	Other	\$	383
41.20.08	Indirect Costs		
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	141,782
Fund Allocations:			
		_	
41.30.01	MPO Activities	\$	98,234
41.30.02	Transit Operator Activities		
41.30.03	State and/or Local Agency Activities		
	Total Net Project Cost	\$	98,234
	Federal Share (80%)	\$	78,587
	Local Share (20%)	\$	19,648
Accounting			
Classification	FPC <u>Description</u>	Amount	
91.37.08.8P-2	02 Technical Studies - Planning	\$	98,234

CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

<u>Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans and Cooperative Agreements</u>

The undersigned certifies, to the best of her or his knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal Contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress, in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352, Title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000.00 and not more than \$100,000.00 for each such failure.

Execut	ed this 26 th day of April 2016
By:	
۵,۰	Brent Malever, Chairman

DEBARMENT AND SUSPENSION CERTIFICATION

As required by U.S. DOT regulations on Government-wide Debarment and Suspension (Non-procurement) at 49 CFR 29.510:

- (1) The Ocala/Marion County TPO certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:
 - (a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by a Federal department or agency;
 - (b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, state or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or state antitrust statutes; or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;
 - (c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, state or local) with commission of any of the offenses listed in paragraph (2) of this certification; and
 - (d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this certification had one or more public transactions (Federal, state or local) terminated for cause or default.
- (2) The Ocala/Marion County TPO also certifies that if, later, it becomes aware of any information contradicting the statements of paragraphs (a) through (d) above, it will promptly provide that information to FTA.

Execu	ted this 26 th day of April 2016
Ву:	
	Brent Malever, Chairman

TITLE VI NONDISCRIMINATION POLICY STATEMENT

The Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) assures the Florida Department of Transportation that no person shall on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, family or religious status, as provided by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 and the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992 be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination or retaliation under any program or activity.

The TPO further agrees to the following responsibilities with respect to its programs and activities:

- 1. Designate a Title VI Liaison that has a responsible position within the organization and access to the Recipient's Chief Executive Officer.
- 2. Issue a policy statement signed by the Chief Executive Officer, which expresses its commitment to the nondiscrimination provisions of Title VI. The policy statement shall be circulated throughout the Recipient's organization and to the general public. Such information shall be published where appropriate in languages other than English.
- 3. Insert the clauses of *Appendix A* of this agreement in every contract subject to the Acts and the Regulations
- 4. Develop a complaint process and attempt to resolve complaints of discrimination against sub-recipients. Complaints against the Recipient shall immediately be forwarded to the FDOT District Title VI Coordinator.
- 5. Participate in training offered on Title VI and other nondiscrimination requirements.
- 6. If reviewed by FDOT or USDOT, take affirmative action to correct any deficiencies found within a reasonable time period, not to exceed ninety (90) calendar days.
- 7. Have a process to collect racial and ethnic data on persons impacted by your agency's programs.

THIS ASSURANCE is given in consideration of and for the purpose of obtaining any and all federal funds, grants, loans, contracts, properties, discounts or other federal financial assistance under all programs and activities and is binding. The person whose signature appears below is authorized to sign this assurance on behalf of the Recipient.

Execut	ted this 26th day of April 2016
By:	
,	Brent Malever, Chairman

APPENDIX A

During the performance of this contract, the contractor, for itself, its assignees and successors in interest (hereinafter referred to as the "Contractor") agrees as follows:

- (1.) Compliance with Regulations: The Contractor shall comply with the Regulations relative to nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted programs of the U.S. Department of Transportation (hereinafter, "USDOT") Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 21, as they may be amended from time to time, (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations), which are herein incorporated by reference and made a part of this Agreement.
- (2.) Nondiscrimination: The Contractor, with regard to the work performed during the contract, shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, religion or family status in the selection and retention of subcontractors, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment. The Contractor shall not participate either directly or indirectly in the discrimination prohibited by section 21.5 of the Regulations, including employment practices when the contract covers a program set forth in Appendix B of the Regulations.
- (3.) Solicitations for Subcontractors, including Procurements of Materials and Equipment: In all solicitations made by the Contractor, either by competitive bidding or negotiation for work to be performed under a subcontract, including procurements of materials or leases of equipment; each potential subcontractor or supplier shall be notified by the Contractor of the Contractor's obligations under this contract and the Regulations relative to nondiscrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, disability, religion or family status.
- (4.)Information and Reports: The Contractor shall provide all information and reports required by the Regulations or directives issued pursuant thereto, and shall permit access to its books, records, accounts, other sources of information, and its facilities as may be determined by the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration to be pertinent to ascertain compliance with such Regulations, orders and instructions. Where any information required of a Contractor is in the exclusive possession of another who fails or refuses to furnish this information the Contractor shall so certify to the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration as appropriate, and shall set forth what efforts it has made to obtain the information.
- (5.) Sanctions for Noncompliance: In the event of the Contractor's noncompliance with the nondiscrimination provisions of this contract, the Florida Department of Transportation shall impose such contract sanctions as it or the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal
- (6.) Motor Carrier Safety Administration may determine to be appropriate, including, but not limited to:
 - a. withholding of payments to the Contractor under the contract until the Contractor complies, and/or
 - b. cancellation, termination or suspension of the contract, in whole or in part.

Incorporation of Provisions: The Contractor shall include the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (6) in every subcontract, including procurements of materials and leases of equipment, unless exempt by the Regulations, or directives issued pursuant thereto. The Contractor shall take such action with respect to any subcontract or procurement as the Florida Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Federal Aviation Administration, and/or the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration may direct as a means of enforcing such provisions including sanctions for noncompliance. In the event a Contractor becomes involved in, or is threatened with, litigation with a sub-contractor or supplier as a result of such direction, the Contractor may request the Florida Department of Transportation to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the Florida Department of Transportation, and, in addition, the Contractor may request the United States to enter into such litigation to protect the interests of the United States.

DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE UTILIZATION

It is the policy of the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization that disadvantaged businesses, as defined by 49 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 26, shall have an opportunity to participate in the performance of MPO contracts in a nondiscriminatory environment. The objectives of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program are to ensure non-discrimination in the award and administration of contracts, ensure firms fully meet eligibility standards, help remove barriers to participation, create a level playing field, assist in development of a firm so it can compete successfully outside of the program, provide flexibility, and ensure narrow tailoring of the program.

The Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization and its consultants shall take all necessary and reasonable steps to ensure that disadvantaged businesses have an opportunity to compete for and perform the contract work of the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization in a non-discriminatory environment.

The Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization shall require its consultants to not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, handicap/disability, or income status in the award and performance of its contracts. This policy covers in part the applicable federal regulations and the applicable statutory references contained therein for the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program Plan, Chapters 337 and 339, Florida Statutes, and Rule Chapter 14-78, Florida Administrative Code.

Execute	ed this 26 th day of April 2016
By:	
-	Brent Maleyer Chairman

FY 2016/17 - 2017/18 TPO Budget Overview

TPO Expenses	PL	Sed	c 5305(d)	FY 2016 ec 5307* SunTran)	/17	TD	FDOT	TOTAL	PL	Se	ec 5305(d)	FY 201 Sec 5307* (SunTran)	7/1	8 TD	FDOT	TOTAL
Personnel	\$ 427,240	\$	95,708	\$ 170,874	\$	24,385	-	\$ 718,207	\$ 431,976	\$	95,724	\$ 198,807	\$	24,701	-	\$ 751,208
Travel	\$ 7,000	\$	866	-	\$	1,412	-	\$ 9,278	\$ 5,500	\$	2,010	-	\$	1,096	-	\$ 8,606
Office Expenses	\$ 44,152		-	-		-	-	\$ 44,152	\$ 40,774		-	-		-	-	\$ 40,774
Facility Expenses	\$ 25,500		-	-		-	-	\$ 25,500	\$ 25,874		-	-			-	\$ 25,874
Admin. Expenses	\$ 13,871	\$	409	-	\$	322	-	\$ 14,602	\$ 12,156		500	-	\$	322	-	\$ 12,978
Consultant	\$ 187,541		-	\$ 150,000		-	\$ 175,000	\$ 512,541	\$ 33,625		-	-		-	\$75,000	\$ 108,625
Total:	\$ 705,304	\$	96,983	\$ 320,874	\$	26,119	\$ 175,000	\$ 1,324,280	\$ 549,905	\$	98,234	\$ 198,807	\$	26,119	\$ 75,000	\$ 948,065

^{*}Personnel expenses for Sec 5307 are not included in the UPWP since they are for operational oversight of SunTran (i.e. grant management, NTD development, etc.), not planning functions.



March 16, 2016

TO: TPO Board Members

FROM: Kenneth Odom, Transportation Planner

RE: 'ROLL-FORWARD' FY 2015/2016-2019/2020 TIP AMENDMENT

In order to ensure that the Ocala/Marion County TIP reflects the most current project information, it is necessary to periodically amend the document. Amendments to the TIP are typically required:

- To add or delete a project;
- To change the state or federal funding allocation of a project;
- To change the year of anticipated funding of a project phase;
- To change the scope of work of a project;
- To change the source of federal or state funds.

The FDOT has requested that the Ocala/Marion TPO add project FM# 439098-1 to the current version of the 'Roll-Forward' TIP. This is a rail safety project that will upgrade the infrastructure at the 'at-grade' crossing on SW 99th Place north of the City of Dunnellon.

Add FM# 439098-1: RRU \$172K

If you have any questions prior to the upcoming meeting, please contact our office at 629-8297.

4390981 **SW 99TH PLACE (DUNNELLON) Non-SIS**

> Work Summary: RAIL SAFETY PROJECT From: XING #622596-H

> > To:

FDOT Lead Agency:

> Objective 1.51: Page 2-6 LRTP #:

Fund 2018/19 Phase Source 2015/16 2016/17 2017/18 2019/20 **Total** CST RHP 172,581 0 0 0 0 172,581 172,581 0 0 0 0 172,581 Total

No Map Available

Prior Cost < 2015/16: 0 Future Cost > 2019/20: 0 **Total Project Cost:** 172,581

Project Description: At-grade rail crossing improvements. Flagging, parts, labor and related costs to upgrade signalization.



MEMORANDUM

MARCH 16, 2016

TO: TPO MEMBERS

FROM: GREG SLAY, DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SALARY

Late last year, the City of Ocala approved a salary study that included all TPO positions. For employees with 8 or more years in their current position, their salary was moved to the midpoint of their respective salary grade. This impacted three TPO positions including my own. The salary increases were done in two phases, 50% effective January 1, 2016 and the remaining 50% effective October 1, 2016. I have made the adjustments to the two employees but felt the TPO Board should approve my adjustment.

I also reviewed the existing salaries of my counterparts within District 5. Below is a chart showing the new salary grade for my position and the salaries of the other directors in the District. The new salary grade is consistent with my previous grade.

Salary Grade 56	Minimum \$ 81,700	Maximum \$ 136,400	
Salary Comparison			
District 5 TPOs	Years in Position	Salary	
Metroplan	18	\$ 165,000	
Space Coast	25	\$ 105,000	32 hrs/wk
Lake~Sumter	10	\$ 99,840	
River to Sea	4	\$ 95,000	
Ocala/Marion	18	\$ 88,670	

Based on the comparison of the midpoint of the proposed salary grade and the other director salaries, I am recommending my salary be set at \$105,000. My salary would \$96,835 effective January 1, 2016 and increase to \$105,000 effective October 1, 2016.

I appreciate your consideration on this matter. If you have any questions, please contact my office at (352)629-8297.

JOINT CERTIFICATION STATEMENT ON THE METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCESS

Pursuant to the requirements of 23 U.S.C. 134(k)(5), 23 CFR 450.334(a), the Department and the TPO have performed a review of the certification status of the metropolitan transportation planning process for the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization with respect to the requirements of:

- 1. 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 5303;
- 2. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 C.F.R. Part 21;
- 3. 49 U.S.C. 5332 prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- 4. Section 1101(b) of SAFETEA-LU (Public Law 109-59) and 49 C.F.R. Part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
- 5. 23 C.F.R. Part 230 regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
- 6. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990, (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and the regulations found in 49 C.F.R. Parts 27, 37, and 38;
- 7. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101) prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- 8. Section 324 of 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination on the basis of gender; and
- 9. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 C.F.R. Part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Included in this certification package is a summary of noteworthy achievements by the TPO, attachments associated with these achievements, and (if applicable) a list of any recommendations and/or corrective actions. The contents of this Joint Certification Package have been reviewed by the TPO and accurately reflect the results of the joint certification review meeting held on January 14th, 2016.

Based on a joint review and evaluation, the Florida Department of Transportation and the Ocala/Marion TPO recommend that the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Process for the Ocala/Marion County TPO be certified.

Ocala/Marion TPO Chairman (or Designee)	Date
3-05	1(27/16
District Secretary (or Designee)	Date

2016 MODIFIED JOINT CERTIFICATION REVIEW

Florida Department of Transportation, District Five and the Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization

Attendees: Mary Schoelzel (FDOT), Kellie Smith (FDOT), Brian Stanger (FDOT), Greg Slay (Ocala/Marion County TPO)

The Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) has the responsibility for ensuring that the major transportation issues in their planning areas are addressed and that the requirements in state and federal law governing the metropolitan transportation planning process are met. Certification reviews are the tool used to determine whether the MPO/TPO is fulfilling this responsibility. They are conducted on an annual basis by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and every four (4) year cycle by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) for MPOs/TPOs in Transportation Management Area (TMA) areas [23 U.S.C. 143]. The only exception is "conditional certification" issued for MPOs/TPOs by FHWA. The Ocala/Marion County Transportation Planning Organization is not in a TMA Area and therefore does not have a Federal Highway Administration Certification done every 4 years but they are still required to have the State Standard Certification Review annually.

The 2016 State Modified Joint Certification Review process for the Ocala/Marion County TPO started with a meeting between FDOT District Five Staff and the Ocala/Marion County TPO Staff held on January 14, 2016. The discussions were organized around a set of questions developed by FDOT Staff to review all of the transportation planning processes and requirements mandated by Federal and State Law. The Ocala/Marion County TPO staff provided responses to the questions. The findings, summary of noteworthy achievements, and recommendations presented in this Modified Joint Certification Review are drawn from the responses to the questions and the review meeting.

FINDINGS

<u>General</u>

The Ocala/Marion County TPO is to be commended for their continued coordination efforts with the Florida Department of Transportation, local governmental agencies, regional planning agencies, and other agencies to support the initiatives needed for transportation demands within Marion County. The TPO Staff worked very thoroughly in the past year to plan and prioritize projects of importance within their TPO boundary area. They continue to move forward with working, planning and developing regional projects. These projects include roadway, freight, safety, pedestrian, sidewalk/trails, transit, and bicycle transportation facilities.

The TPO staff completed and submitted their 2016-2020 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The TPO successfully completed and submitted their List of Priority Projects. The TPO adopted their 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan November 24, 2015.

The Ocala/Marion County TPO has been incorporating the MAP-21 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act) performance measures into their planning documents.

Summary of Noteworthy Achievements

Transit

The TPO initiated the first Comprehensive Operations Analysis (COA) for SunTran. The COA reviewed on-time performance, schedule adherence and ridership levels. COA could result in changes to the current schedule as well as potential route changes. This will also be adapted into performance measures for MAP-21.

Accountability

The TPO has been submitting quarterly invoices and reports. They have continued to work with the Department in adapting to the changing invoicing requirements. The TPO's invoices and reports have been very thorough and have included the correct documentation which helps expedite the processing and payments. The TPO makes a considered effort to make sure the funds spent are allowable, necessary and reasonable. The Department appreciates the continued cooperation from the TPO.

Planning Fund Carryover Balances

The Ocala/Marion County TPO is to be commended for their continued work in utilizing their Planning Funds. It is evident that there is good planning effort made to make sure that these funds are spent in the most efficient manner. These funds are intended to be used for planning activities within a reasonable time frame and are subject to redistribution or loss if certain requirements are not met.

Public Involvement

The TPO continues their public outreach efforts. Their website: www.ocalafl.org/tpo is user friendly and provides the public with the TPO's planning documents, updates on their meetings and other project related information that the TPO is involved with. Their Public Involvement Plan outlines the process and the tools that are utilized in order to achieve their objectives of incorporating regional and community priorities, encourage participation and utilizes the information to better help the public to understand their role and responsibilities in transportation decision making. The Public Involvement Plan can be found at the following link: http://www.ocalafl.org/uploadedFiles/TPO Services/2011%20PIP.pdf The TPO also had extensive public involvement in the development of their Long Range Transportation Plan. The TPO looked at different ways to involve all demographics within Marion County. This included a website (www.planocalamarion.com), an e-town hall meeting with over 500 participants, area community meetings, surveys, stakeholder interviews, grassroots outreach, committee meetings and TPO board meetings.

Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Deployment

The TPO staff have continued their efforts of partnering with the City of Ocala and Marion County staff on the deployment of numerous ITS technologies on various corridors within the County. These projects are the next stage in the evolution on ITS deployment and management identified in the TPO's ITS Master Plan. Coordination with the Department should be continued to ensure successful projects.

4P Process

The TPO is to be commended for their coordination and communication with their local municipalities and FDOT during the development of their priority list and project applications. The TPO's continued effort allowed approximately \$21 Million of projects from their off-system regional and trail priority projects lists to be programmed. The TPO worked with the local municipalities to make sure their projects were ready to be programmed and helped the local agencies navigate the various requirements.

Regional Trails (Sun Trail)

The TPO has continued the implementation of their 2035 Bicycle/Pedestrian Master Plan. The Plan identified numerous trail opportunities within Ocala and Marion County. These projects include short trials to connect people to places of interest as well as major trails that will connect Marion County with other Central Florida trail projects, like the Heart of Florida Loop (part of the Sun Trail network). These are paved, multipurpose trails that can be utilized by various types of users. The TPO staff has continued their coordination efforts of recreational trail concepts with the Department of Environmental Protection, the St. Johns Water Management District, the US Forest Service, FDOT, the local municipalities and the various user groups. Some of these trails will be major components to the completion of the Heart of Florida Loop. The TPO has worked to prioritize and submit applications for these trail and sidewalk needs.

Regional Coordination

The Ocala/Marion County TPO along with District Five's other Metropolitan Planning Organizations continue to work together at the CFMPO Alliance to develop regional priority lists for the Strategic Intermodal System, Close the Gaps initiative, Coast to Coast, and the Regional Trails. The Department continues to use these regional lists to program projects during the Tentative Work Program Development Cycle.

RECOMMENDATIONS/ACTIONS

- 1. The Ocala/Marion County TPO should be commended for keeping the Board Members, Technical Advisory Committee, and the Citizen's Advisory Committee informed and up to date on transportation initiatives of the Department and projects in their area.
- The Ocala/Marion County TPO has done an exceptional job communicating with their FDOT Liaison and should continue to work with their Liaison concerning any issues or requests.
- 3. The Ocala/Marion County TPO should be commend for the responsiveness to the Department's request.

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT FIVE AND

Ocala/Marion County TPO 2016 MODIFIED JOINT CERTIFICATION REVIEW

Based upon a review of Chapter Seven (7) of the Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Administrative Manual, below are the general areas recommended as focus items for this year's Modified Joint Certification Review process. According to the Certification requirements, a limited or modified review can occur for three years after a full review. On the fourth year, there must be a standard, full review of the 10 areas of law specified in 23 C.F.R. 450.334. The timeline for these two processes (Standard Joint Review and Modified Joint Review) are the same. The only difference is the depth of the review. Based upon Chapter Seven (7), and associated laws/rules (noted in the Chapter), the following are the District Five general questions/discussion areas in accordance with 23 C.F.R. 450.334:

The metropolitan planning requirements identified in 23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 5303;

- (1) As noted in the 2016 Modified Joint Certification Review, the following is the status of the various Agreements and the Adopted Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The TPO and the Department are responsible for making sure that these Agreements are reviewed and renewed if needed each year. Please review the list of agreements below and advise us if your records agree with these findings. If they do not, please provide correct dates.
 - (a) Interlocal Agreement for the Creation of Metropolitan Planning Organization (FDOT Form 525-010-01).

Renew: In process of updating

(b) Transportation Planning Funds Joint Participating Agreement (FDOT Form 525-010-02).

Updated: February 2013 Renew: July 1, 2016

The Department is currently revising this agreement. The new agreement will need to be signed and executed by July 1, 2016.

(c) Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination (ICAR) Joint Participation Agreement (FDOT Form 525-010-03).

Updated: No action needed Renew: No action needed

The Department has reviewed the current executed agreement and the document being utilized is the latest revised version. At the current time there is no need for an update.

(d) Public Transportation Joint Participation Agreement (FDOT Form 725-030-06). 5303 Transit Related Task Elements for the UPWP.

Updated: June 15, 2012 Renew: September 30, 2017

There is a new contract done every year for the Transit Related Task Elements for the UPWP.

(e) Long Range Transportation Plan.

Updated: November 23, 2015 Renew: November 23, 2020

Planning Area

Did the TPO work with the local municipalities to get a quality/completed Florida Department of Transportation Project Application turned in on time to be processed during last year's tentative work program development cycle period (including Project Location Map, Right of Way Certification (if applicable), Scope, Schedule and Cost Estimates) to use the Surface Transportation Program Federal Funding?

Yes <u>v</u> or No ____

Comments:

Our local governments submitted several 4P applications for different types of projects. The Department provided timely and worthwhile assistance on the continually changing federal requirements.

2. Did the Department communicate with the TPO in a timely manner with the projects that were programmed for the tentative five year work program gaming cycle?

Yes <u>v</u> or No____

Comments:

Our liaison, Kellie Smith, worked closely with both TPO staff and local agency staff to provide timely and accurate information. She was especially helpful assisting local agency staff navigate the ever-changing LAP requirements. She also effectively coordinated the various reviews with Department staff and the local agencies.

3. Is the TPO aware of the transition that any studies managed by the TPO are now being done in their Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)?

Yes<u> V</u> or No____

Comments:

We are aware of the transition. Currently, we have two corridor studies and three small planning studies that have been included in our FY 15/16 UPWP. Each is scheduled to be completed by the end of the fiscal year.

	agencies concerning local, state projects and other issues?
	Yes <u> </u>
	Comments:
	Our liaison has done an excellent job ensuring that we are aware of the progress of the various projects within Marion County and any issues that may arise. She has been able to assist in resolving issues and making sure projects are progressing as they should.
Region	nal Coordination
1.	During the coordinating process with the CFMPO Alliance for the Strategic Intermodal System, Coast to Coast Trails, and the Regional Transit projects; was the TPO satisfied with the outcome of projects programmed this work program development cycle?
	Yes <u>v</u> or No
	Comments:
	We greatly appreciate the Department's continued support of the Alliance's priority process. Having had a section of a SIS facility (SR 40) as well as an important link in the Heart of Florida Loop funded for construction as part of this work program cycle, we are extremely satisfied with the outcome.
2.	Does the TPO feel that the Partnering Meetings are beneficial and helpful to the Local Agencies?
	Yes <u> V</u> or No
	Comments:
	The Partnering Meetings have provided a good forum for the local agencies and the Department to discuss issues and to improve coordination on the various projects. Based on past experience, the need for the coordination tends to decrease over time. We may want to consider reducing the number of meetings for 2016.
3.	Is the TPO satisfied with the Quarterly Statewide Video Conferences and are the right agenda items being discussed?
	Yes <u> V</u> or No
	Comments:
	The video conferences have provided significant information for various topics

Has the Department been including the TPO in the discussions and meetings with the local

4.

and have proven cost-effective by reducing travel expenses.

1.	Was the TPO satisfied with the regional coordination efforts for the LRTP?					
	Yes <u>√</u> or No					
	Comments:					
2.	Were various topics and coordination addressed through the LRTP Coordinating Meetings and the MPO Quarterly Meetings, as well as the travel demand modeling effort led by the Department?					
	Yes <u>v</u> or No					
	Comments:					
	There are still lingering concerns over the travel demand modeling development but we feel the District Modeling Coordinator is making strides to improve the process and ensure a better product in future iterations. We have met with the consultant to review our concerns in detail and have been pleased with the efforts put forth so far.					
3.	Does the TPO check to make sure that the local agencies projects on their priority list are consistent with the Long Range Transportation Plan and the respective local agency Comprehensive Plans?					
	Yes <u>√</u> or No					
	Comments:					
	and the second of the second o					

Regular meetings with the local agencies and staff as well as their participation in the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) assure necessary coordination. There will be some comprehensive plan amendments necessary after the adoption of the 2040 LRTP and they are being coordinated with the appropriate local government.

Environment

Now a requirement in the Environmental Screening Tool (EST), the Preliminary Environmental Discussion (PED) must be completed with each Planning Screen review to provide the Environmental Technical Advisor Team (ETAT) reviewers with context to aid them in providing actionable comments. After reviewing the standardized EST GIS Analysis and considering information supplied by local knowledge, planning studies, and other evaluation in the project area, the TPO completes the PED to include in the Planning Screen package before launching the review.

Does the TPO have an understanding of the data layers that should be considered to identify the general environmental setting, fatal flaws and key resources?

		Yes <u>√</u>	or	No
	Comments:			
2.	Linkage (PEL) r	equirements du	uring the Planni	g out the Federal Planning and Environmental ng Screen so that planning-level evaluations can ment (PD&E) Study Phase?
		Yes <u> </u>	or	No
	Comments:			
<u>Trans</u>	portation Improv	vement Progran	m (TIP)	
1.				th programming and processing TIP Amendments, and 4P projects processing?
		Yes <u>√</u>	or	No
	Comments:			
	liaison	•		ending any of our various documents. Our onse and accurate guidance on required
<u>Public</u>	c Involvement			
1.	Has the TPO re	ceived any Pub	lic Involvement	complaints within the past year?
		Yes	or	No <u>√</u>
	If yes, please e	xplain:		
Title \	VI and Related No	ondiscriminatic	on Requirement	<u>s</u>
1.	Has the TPO re	ceived any Title	e VI/Title VIII co	mplaints within the past year?
		Yes	or	No <u> </u>
	If yes, please e	xplain:		
2.	Does the TPO Participation S	-	rticipation thro	ugh the use of the Bid Opportunity List and DBE

Page 5 of 7

2016 Modified Joint Certification

Yes <u>√</u>	or	No
Comments:		

It should be noted the TPO did not procure any goods or services over the past year that would necessitate DBE participation.

Transit

1. What projects or issues have you assisted your transit agency with over the past year?

In early 2015, we initiated the first Comprehensive Operations Analysis (COA) for SunTran. The COA reviewed a variety of operational characteristics including ontime performance, schedule adherence and ridership levels. The consultant delivered a draft report in October. We have reviewed the initial draft and provided comments. We anticipate completion of the COA in early 2016. In is anticipated the COA will result in changes to the currents schedule as well as potential route changes.

In addition, we completed our initial response to the 2016 FTA Triennial Review. The Triennial Review covers 17 program areas related to the operation of SunTran. The review with FTA and their consultant is scheduled for June/July 2016.

2. What percentage of your Section 5303(d) funds have gone towards funding transit projects or initiatives?

Based on FY 14/15 expenditures, about 26% goes to activity related to transit projects.

Freight Planning

1. Please describe what resource(s) of data (maps, numerical, analysis, etc) you envision would assist an TPO with decision making, understanding and translating freight movement in relation to your network of roads on your Priority List.

We have been working with the D5 Freight Coordinator in his development of the freight tool to assist in freight-related issues. The tool will include truck counts, commodity flows and other data that will enable us to make more informed decisions as they related to our Project Priority List.

Other

1. Are there any noteworthy achievements or accomplishments that you would like to note during this year's certification?

The adoption of our 2040 LRTP was our most significant accomplishment this past year. The update included an extensive public involvement program that included numerous public meetings and approximately 400 participants. We

also held out first telephone town hall meeting. At its peak, there were over 500 participants and about 150 at the close.

We continued making good progress on developing the regional trail network in our area. With the Department's assistance, we have two segments that are ready to go out for design/build and should commence construction in mid- to late 2016. The Department has been a valued partner in the trails, especially on environmental assessments.

2.	Has the TPO been involved in the discussions for the new agreement and invoicing process that					
	will being on July 1, 2016?					

Yes<u>√</u> or

Comments:

We are working with our liaison to ensure a smooth transition into the new invoicing process.

No____

300-000-06 COMPTROLLER 02/13

CONTRACTOR TRAVEL FORM

Contract	ontractor Greg Slay Contract or PO #			***************************************	·····	Contact	Person	Shakayla Jacob	<u>s</u>
Compan	y Ocala/Marion County TPO		121 SE Wat	ula Avenue		Telepho	ne No.	(352) 629-8297	
Residence (City) Ocala, Florida					E-Mail A	ddress	sjacobs@ocalafl.org		
DATE	TRAVEL PERFORMED FROM POINT OF ORIGIN TO DESTINATION	PURPOSE OR REASON FOR TRAVEL (NAME OF CONFERENCE OR CONVENTION)			PER DIEM/ ACTUAL LODGING	MAP MILEAGE	VICINITY MILEAGE		TAL EXPENSES TYPE
1/27/2016	Ocala to Tallahassee	Metropolitan Planning	8:00 pm		\$199.00	00		\$28.28	
		Organization Advisory Council	'						
1/27/2016	Tallahassee to Ocala		8:30 pm						
I hereby cert	SIGNATURES I hereby certify or affirm that the above expenses were actually incurred by me as necessary traveling expenses in the			COLUMN TOTAL	COLUMN TOTAL	TOTAL MILES		COLUMN TOTAL	SUMMARY TOTAL
performance of my official duties, attendance at a conference or convention was directly related to my official duties of agency or contract/PO; any meals or lodging included in a registration fee have been deducted from this travel claim; a that this claim is true and correct in every material matter and conforms in every respect with the requirements of Section 112.061, Florida Statutes, Chapter 69I-42 F.A.C., Department of Banking and Finance Bureau of Auditing Handbook, Department of Transportation Disbursement Handbook and the terms of the contract.			vel claim; and nts of Section		\$199.00	X @ 5	B 0.445	\$28.28	\$227.28
Deparament	or trainsportation bisbarsement trainsport	and the terms of the contract.		JUSTIFICATIO	N/EXPLANA	TION			
CONTRAC	TOR:	DATE:							
JOB TITLE									
Pursuant to S knowledge the purpose(s) s	ne above consultant was on official business	ns of the Contract, I hereby certify or affirm that to th s for the State of Florida and the travel was perform	e best of my ed for the						
CONTRACTOR'S SUPERVISOR: DATE:				OTHER PERS	ONNEL IN PA	RTY			
TITLE:									



MPOAC The Florida Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council

Mayor Susan Haynie Chairperson

Joint Meeting of the Governing Board and Staff Director's Advisory Committee

Date: Thursday, January 28, 2016

Time: 12:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m.

Location: Florida Department of Revenue, 2450 Shumard Oak Blvd,

Taliahassee, FL 32311

Mayor Susan Haynie and Peter Buchwald, Presiding

- 1. Call to Order & Pledge of Allegiance
- 2. Approval of Minutes: October 29, 2015 Meetings
- 3. Public Comments (non-agenda items)
- 4. National Association of Regional Councils presentation
- 5. Executive Director's Report
 - A. Budget Report
 - **B.** Florida Legislative Update
 - C. Call for MPOAC UPWP projects
- 6. Agency Reports
 - A. Florida Department of Transportation
 - **B. Federal Highway Administration**
- 7. Business Items & Presentations
 - A. Election of Officers
 - **B. MPOAC Strategic Plan Update**
 - C. Report and Recommendations from the MPOAC Technical and Policy Subcommittee Meeting
 - D. MPO/TPO Board Meeting Dates
- 8. Communications
- 9. Member Comments
- 10. Adjournment



Courtyard Tallahassee Capital

1018 Apalachee Parkway Tallahassee, FI 32301 T 850.222.8822

Greg Slay

Room: 203

Ne

Room Type: GENR

Ne NE 11111

Number of Guests: 1

Metro Planning Organiz

Rate: \$199.00

Clerk: LDA

Arrive: 27Jan16

Time: 10:42PM

Depart: 28Jan16

Time: 08:49AM

Folio Number: 82261

Date	Description	Charges	Credits
27Jan16 28Jan16	Room Charge Visa Card #: VIXXXXXXXXXXX5804/XXXX Amount: 199.00 Auth: 027454 Signature on File This card was electronically swiped on 27Jan16	199.00	199.00
	Balance:	0.00	

As a Rewards Member, you could have earned points toward your free dream vacation today. Start earning points and elite status, plus enjoy exclusive member offers. Enroll today at the front desk.

Marriott and A Woman's Nation join forces to increase appreciation for hotel housekeepers whose care and hard work often go unnoticed.

Want your final hotel bill by email? Just ask the Front Desk! See "Internet Privacy Statement" on Marriott.com.



TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Marion County Commission Auditorium 601 SE 25th Avenue, Ocala, FL 34471

November 24, 2015

MINUTES

Members Present:

Commissioner Earl Arnett, Chairman Commissioner Kathy Bryant Councilwoman Penny Fleeger Councilman James Hilty, Sr. Commissioner Stan McClain Commissioner David Moore Councilman Jay Musleh Commissioner Carl Zalak

Members Not Present:

Commissioner Michael Goldman Mayor Kent Guinn Councilman Brent Malever Councilman John McLeod Councilwoman Mary Sue Rich

Others Present:

Greg Slay, TPO Director
John Voges, TPO Staff
Kayleen Hamilton, TPO Staff
Kellie Smith, FDOT
Mounir Bouyounes, Marion County Administration
Bruce Phillips, City of Belleview Public Works
Kevin Smith, Marion County Planning
Wally Blain, Tindale-Oliver and Associates
Darren Park, City of Ocala Public Works
Oscar Tovar, City of Ocala Engineering
Tracy Straub, Marion County Engineering
Tony Chau, City of Ocala Traffic Engineering

Others Present (continued):

Eddie Esch, City of Dunnellon Administration
Mr. Ryan Wetherell, Kimley Horn and Associates
Tamara Fleischhaker, Ocala Chamber and Economic Partnership
Darlene Weesner, Shady Greenway Conservation Alliance
Michelle Shearer, Shady Greenway Conservation Alliance
Doug Shearer
John Rudnianyn
Joe Hanratty

Item 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chairman Arnett called the meeting to order at 4:10 PM. Secretary Kayleen Hamilton called the roll of members. A quorum was not present; however, a quorum was established later with the arrival of Commissioner Bryant, Councilwoman Fleeger, and Councilman Musleh.

Item 2. Proof of Publication

Secretary Kayleen Hamilton stated the meeting was posted on the Marion County, Ocala, and Dunnellon websites and on the TPO website and Facebook page.

Item 3a. SR 40/Silver Springs Corridor Plan Presentation

Mr. Slay introduced Mr. Ryan Wetherell from Kimley-Horn and Associates to provide an update on the SR 40/Silver Springs Corridor Plan study. Mr. Wetherell reported that the study looked at enhancing multimodal features and access in the study area. There were no level of service or safety concerns on the corridor, but there were some operations and access management issues.

A kick-off had been held on June 24 and a public meeting to present the plan alternatives was upcoming. Mr. Wetherell reviewed alternatives for the intersection on SR 40 at SR 35, which included roundabouts and pedestrian features. Mr. Wetherell said that a roundabout would impact Silver Springs Park but was consistent with the park's Master Plan. The study had come up with a double roundabout configuration that would slow traffic and allow pedestrians to cross a limited amount of traffic at a time. Two locations had been identified where a pedestrian bridge could be incorporated, and Mr. Wetherell said that because SR 40 was a gateway facility, the bridge could be used for signage. Medians that could serve as pedestrian shelters were also recommended, and Mr. Wetherell provided examples of two median options.

The intersection of SR 40 at NE 24th Street was identified for realignment to facilitate better operations. The realignment would use existing land and not impact any businesses. Mr. Zalak mentioned that as currently aligned, NE 24th Street provided easy access to

Walmart, and Mr. Slay said that the turning movements would still be available to drivers; the realignment would just slow the speed at which the right turn was taken.

Mr. Moore stated that he was opposed to roundabouts, citing issues with the roundabouts installed on Fort King Street. Mr. Wetherell advised that the roundabouts recommended for SR 40 would be bigger and so operate a little differently.

Mr. Wetherell reported that the study looked at another alternative that eliminated the intersection at NE 24th Street and SR 40. The reason for this was to concentrate traffic at the signalized intersections. Walmart would still be accessible from NE 24th Street, but through traffic would be moved to NE 25th Street. Mr. Wetherell also discussed some aesthetic features for the roundabouts to make the roundabouts attractive and sign the area.

The existing conditions on the sides of roadway consisted of a grass strip that required maintenance and narrow sidewalks. The study proposed eliminating the grass strip, widening the sidewalks, and installing grass medians in the road. Mr. McClain asked the width of the sidewalk, and Mr. Wetherell said it could be six feet when the grass strip was taken out. Mr. Wetherell added that they were trying to limit impacts to adjacent properties.

Mr. Wetherell reviewed the costs for the alternatives and said that the costs would be refined during the design phase. A public meeting was scheduled for December, after which the corridor plan would be finalized and implementation and future phases would be coordinated with partner agencies.

Mr. McClain asked about the average speed, and Mr. Wetherell said that they were trying to reduce the speed to 35-miles-per-hour. The proposed alternate was designed to provide a natural slowing of traffic and make drivers coming in on the four lane highway recognize that they were arriving somewhere. Ms. Bryant asked about adding signage to indicate the bypasses in order to reduce some of the through traffic, and Mr. Slay said that the amount of truck traffic on the section was fairly standard, suggesting that trucks were already taking advantage of the CR 326 bypass. Mr. Slay added that the average travel speed on the section was 50-55 miles-per-hour, and the concept of the corridor plan was to work within the right-of-way to slow traffic. Ms. Bryant expressed concern about the impact medians would have on businesses, and Mr. Slay said that one option that had been identified was scaled down versions of landscaped medians.

Mr. Arnett questioned whether roundabouts would back up traffic, and Mr. Wetherell said that roundabouts were free-flowing and would allow drivers to continually move through them. Mr. Zalak commented that a lot of what could be done relied on working with the Department of Environmental Protection for the water park land, and Mr. Slay confirmed that improvements were contingent on that. Mr. Zalak said that he preferred the single roundabout, and Mr. Wetherell advised that the single roundabout alternative had not made it through stakeholder review. Mr. Slay added that park management had not supported the alternative. Mr. Zalak asked for additional information on the single roundabout.

Mr. Zalak stated that something needed to be done to address the drainage retention area on the west side of the roundabout and asked staff to work with FDOT on landscaping.

Mr. Moore asked about the water park, and Mr. Slay said that alternatives were options if the water park property became available. Mr. Moore asked about examples of similarly sized roundabouts, and Mr. Wetherell said he would send additional information. Mr. Slay added that there was a traffic analysis of the large and the double roundabout alternatives. Mr. Musleh commented that the Cayman Islands had roundabouts and that they were great traffic smoothers. Mr. Musleh added that they were an innovative way to not have a traffic signal.

Mr. McClain asked about utilities, and Mr. Slay said he thought Ocala Electric Utilities provided the service in the area. Mr. Slay added that there were discussions about putting the utilities underground, which would make a tremendous difference to the look of the corridor.

Item 3b. Traffic Counts and Trends Manual

The Traffic Counts and Trends Manual was deferred.

Item 4a. Roll Forward Transportation Improvement Program

Mr. Odom presented the Roll Forward Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The roll forward version of the TIP was required because of the difference in the federal and state fiscal year cycles. The TIP was consistent with MAP-21 legislation, served as the funding method for the Long Range Transportation Plan, and had to be financially feasible. The TIP included road maintenance and construction, aviation, transit, pedestrian, and trail projects. Mr. Odom reviewed highlights from the Roll Forward TIP.

Mr. Moore asked about the land acquisition at the Ocala International Airport, and Mr. Odom said that staff was working to get additional information.

Mr. Zalak made a motion to approve the Roll Forward TIP as presented, and Mr. Moore seconded.

Ms. Darlene Weesner of 655 SW 80th Street, Ocala, expressed support of a multiuse trail down going down SE 110th Street from Belleview. Mr. Slay said that SE 110th Street was one of the routes being considered for the Belleview to Greenway Trail. Ms. Weesner said that she had a map showing trail connections all around the state and a map of the Greenway and forest lands. There was a gap in the Santos area that Ms. Weesner said she had worked on getting filled.

A vote was called and the motion was unanimously approved.

Item 4b. Legislative Priorities

Mr. Slay advised that the 2016 legislative priorities were largely unchanged from the previous year.

Mr. Zalak made a motion to approve the legislative priorities as presented and Ms. Bryant seconded. The motion was unanimously approved.

Item 4c. Election of Chairman and Vice-chairman

Mr. Zalak nominated Mr. Malever for chairman. Mr. McClain seconded and the nomination was unanimously approved.

Mr. Zalak nominated Mr. Moore for vice-chairman and Mr. McClain seconded. The nomination was unanimously approved.

<u>Item 4d. Appointment of Representatives to the Central Florida MPO Alliance and MPO Advisory Council</u>

Mr. Slay reviewed the current delegates for the Central Florida MPO Alliance and the MPO Advisory Council. Mr. McClain commented that with the decision that Ocala/Marion County wanted to be included in the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council, the Central Florida MPO Alliance would be an important organization for the TPO.

Mr. McClain nominated Mr. Zalak, Ms. Bryant, and Mr. Hilty to serve as representatives to the Central Florida MPO Alliance and for Mr. Guinn to serve as alternate. Mr. Moore seconded and the nominations were unanimously approved.

Mr. McClain nominated Mr. Zalak to serve as representative to the MPO Advisory Council and Mr. Moore to act as alternate. Mr. Hilty seconded and nomination was unanimously approved.

Item 5. Consent Agenda

Mr. Slay advised that a handout of staff travel had been provided for inclusion on the consent agenda.

Ms. Bryant moved approval of the consent agenda with the addition of staff travel. Mr. Moore seconded and the motion was unanimously approved.

Item 6. Comments by FDOT

Ms. Smith advised that there would be an I-75 Corridor Relief meeting held in Ocala on December 7.

Item 7. Comments by TPO Staff

Mr. Slay stated that there would be no board meeting in December.

Item 8. Comments by TPO Members

There were no further comments from the TPO board.

Item 9. Public Comment

Ms. Michelle Shearer of 2301 SE 85th Street, Ocala, commented that she thought the SW 95th Street interchange would have been taken out of the TIP. Mr. Slay said that technically the project was still funded; a request had been issued to move that funding.

Item 10. Adjournment

Chairman Arnett adjourned the meeting at 5:12 PM.
Respectfully Submitted By:
Kayleen Hamilton, TPO Administrative Assistant



TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Marion County Commission Auditorium 601 SE 25th Avenue, Ocala, FL 34471

November 24, 2015

PUBLIC HEARING MINUTES

Members Present:

Commissioner Earl Arnett, Chairman Commissioner Kathy Bryant Councilwoman Penny Fleeger Councilman James Hilty, Sr. Commissioner Stan McClain Commissioner David Moore Commissioner Carl Zalak

Members Not Present:

Commissioner Michael Goldman Mayor Kent Guinn Councilman Brent Malever Councilman John McLeod Councilwoman Mary Sue Rich

Others Present:

Greg Slay, TPO Director
John Voges, TPO Staff
Kayleen Hamilton, TPO Staff
Kellie Smith, FDOT
Mounir Bouyounes, Marion County Administration
Bruce Phillips, City of Belleview Public Works
Kevin Smith, Marion County Planning
Wally Blain, Tindale-Oliver and Associates
Darren Park, City of Ocala Public Works
Oscar Tovar, City of Ocala Engineering
Tracy Straub, Marion County Engineering
Tony Chau, City of Ocala Traffic Engineering

Others Present (continued):

Eddie Esch, City of Dunnellon Administration
Tamara Fleischhaker, Ocala Chamber and Economic Partnership
Darlene Weesner, Shady Greenway Conservation Alliance
Michelle Shearer, Shady Greenway Conservation Alliance
Doug Shearer
John Rudnianyn
Joe Hanratty

Item 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chairman Arnett called the public hearing to order at 5:16 PM. Secretary Kayleen Hamilton called the roll of members. A quorum was present.

Item 2. Proof of Publication

Secretary Kayleen Hamilton stated the meeting was posted on the Marion County, Ocala, and Dunnellon websites and on the TPO website and Facebook page.

Item 3. Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan

Mr. Slay introduced the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The comment period on the final draft of the LRTP had opened in September, and there had been no substantial changes to the plan since its presentation to the board at that time. Mr. Slay advised that the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) had recommended approval of the plan as presented while the Citizens Advisory Committee recommended taking the SW 95th Street interchange out of the cost feasible plan.

Mr. Wally Blain from Tindale Oliver and Associates provided an overview of the LRTP schedule. Phase one had been a vision and big picture look with the board, committees, in grassroots meetings, and with stakeholders. Informational presentations had also taken place, and Mr. Blain reported that over 300 people had been involved in setting the framework for the plan. A vision statement for the plan had been developed along with goals and objectives to support the vision.

The LRTP included a needs assessment. Mr. Blain explained that there were many projects, including highway, transit, and trail needs, that had been identified. Mr. Blain reviewed available revenue from federal and state funding sources. Work had also been done to determine estimated fuel and impact fee revenues. A local option sales tax had also been discussed but was not included as part of the cost feasible plan. With the needs assessment and the available revenues, the cost feasible plan was developed.

The cost feasible plan included highway projects. Local construction projects were listed by impact fee district. Mr. Blain noted that the CAC had recommended removing the SW

95th Street interchange from the cost feasible plan. Gas tax revenue was all allocation to operations and maintenance projects. Transit projects were included in the cost feasible plan, and the cost feasible bicycle/pedestrian projects included the TPO's trail priorities.

Mr. Blain advised that a telephone town hall meeting had taken place during which about 100 people stayed on the phone through the entire call. Polling questions had been asked during the call. About 96% of the respondents reported that this was their first time participating in LRTP activities. Questions were received from twenty-one people, including queries about the LRTP, road maintenance, sidewalks, safety, and transit. Public comment was also taken during community meetings and a project website.

The TAC was recommending approval of the LRTP as presented. The CAC recommended adoption of the cost feasible plan with the SW 95th Street interchange.

rudnianyn - request that 95th st interchange included in cost feasible plan. greatest amount of growth in mc is in s part of county. saw tremendous amount of impact from 42nd/31st flyover from sss to sr 200. 484 and 200 interchanges close to being congested. going to have to have interchange there. almost all row is in place. appreciate 49th, will take traffic off 27. will need 95th.

Ms. Darlene Weesner of 655 SW 80th Street, Ocala, stated that the Shady Historic and Scenic Trails Association (SHASTA) had been following transportation and comprehensive plans for the last thirty years. Ms. Weesner provided copies of public comment forms for the LRTP. Ms. Weesner stated that the SW 95th Street interchange must never happen and needed to be removed from the plan. Ms. Weesner said she was also surprised to see an eight-lane configuration for I-75 through Ocala, commenting that she thought Florida wasn't going to do any more eight-lane highways. Ms. Weesner thought that some of the plan was not based on real future scenarios and added that SHASTA had consistently supported the protection of natural resources and opposed projects that would create urban sprawl in carst and recharge areas. Ms. Weesner stated that the springs were already stressed and that every road was a waterway to the aquifer. Ms. Weesner said that trails were not so much of a problem. Ms. Weesner urged the board to remove the SW 95th Street interchange and to adjust the trails through the Greenway to four- to six-foot trails.

Ms. Michelle Shearer of 2301 SE 85th Street, Ocala, said that the CAC was recommended removal of the SW 95th Street interchange because when the plan development had started, they were told that, because of predicted growth, they needed to decide what they wanted to save. Ms. Shearer commented that the State had said that the interchange justification report was not acceptable and that I-75 was not for local traffic. Ms. Shearer said that people wanting to get out of Silver Springs Shores were closer to US 301 than SE 95th Street and that Silver Springs Shores was growing so that people did not need to go into town as often. Ms. Shearer added that the SW 95th Street interchange needed to be removed because of schools and that four-laning of CR 475A needed to be removed, as well. Ms. Shearer said she had participated in the telephone town hall meeting and did not hear anyone say that they wanted the SW 95th Street interchange. Ms. Shearer also mentioned that the local equestrians would like as much as possible that the paved trails intersect where there are already trail intersections.

Mr. Zalak made a motion to approve the TAC recommendation of the Long Range Transportation Plan. Mr. McClain seconded and the motion was unanimously approved.

Mr. Slay thanked TPO staff and Tindale-Oliver and Associates for their work on the LRTP.

Item 4. Public Comment

There was no further public comment.

Item 10. Adjournment

Chairman Arnett adjourned the hearing at 5:55 PM.
Respectfully Submitted By:
Kayleen Hamilton, TPO Administrative Assistant