




An Action Plan >>> for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion

Appendix A Commitment to Zero Projects

April 23, 2024



COMMITMENT TO ZERO PROJECTS

The Commitment to Zero Action Plan includes a listing of projects to address safety challenges in the Ocala/Marion County community. Projects identified in the most current list are based on the TPO's annual formalized List of Priority Projects (LOPP) process, safety emphasis areas and submissions from local government partners. The 2024 project list was reviewed by the TPO's Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and approved by the TPO Board on April 23, 2024.

The projects identified are all deemed eligible for federal grants, and federal and/or state funding programmed annually by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

Project Ranking Methodology

The TPO's priority project ranking criteria is used to support the development of the **Commitment to Zero** project list based on the following ten categories and is summarized as follows:

- 1. Prior Year Rank:** An emphasis on prior project ranking to help support program stability and advancement toward implementation.
- 2. Project Cycle:** The status of projects in their development phase with an emphasis on the most weight given to projects that are ready for construction.
- 3. Local Funding Commitment:** Projects receive points for including a local match commitment.
- 4. Regional Connectivity and Partnerships:** Projects that involve a formal partnership between two or more jurisdictions and coordination between two or more jurisdictions.
- 5. Safety:** Points given for being located on a roadway segment with a five-year history of serious injury and fatality crashes (2018 to 2022). Additional points for projects located on the Commitment to Zero Plan High Injury Network (HIN).
- 6. Congestion Management:** Points given for being located on the most up to date Congestion Management Plan Congested Corridors.
- 7. Multimodal:** A sidewalk, trail and/or bicycle facility are given points and also receive additional points for connecting to existing multimodal facilities in Marion County.
- 8. Transportation Resilience:** Points given for being located on an existing Florida Evacuation Route or connection to an Evacuation Route.
- 9. Economic Development and Logistics:** Points given for connecting to or serving employment growth areas of Marion County, along with connecting to or being located on a facility that supports freight activity centers.
- 10. Equity:** Projects that are located in at least one or more equity-based transportation disadvantaged areas of Marion County as identified and mapped in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The equity areas include: Poverty higher than county average; Minority higher than county average; No vehicle higher than county average; Senior (over 65) higher than county average; and youth (under 16) higher than county average.

Priority Projects

Project rankings are then adjusted based on applying a safety emphasis and local government input through a strategic refinement process at TPO committee and/or board meetings. A complete summary of the LOPP ranking and scoring methodology is available on the TPO website (<https://ocalamariontpo.org/priority-project-list/>).

Commitment to Zero Project List

The following page provides the most current (2024) Commitment to Zero Safety and Operations Projects as identified by local government partners. The projects and planning studies are identified for implementation over the next six fiscal years (2025 to 2030).

The projects and planning studies are listed/ranked in order based on four primary criteria:

- Location on the Commitment to Zero High Injury Network (HIN)
- Five-year history of fatalities and serious injuries (2018-2022)
- Location in a 2045 LRTP Equity Area
- 2023 LOPP Ranking

2024 Commitment to Zero Project List

High Injury Network (Y/N)	Fatalities, Serious Injuries (2018-2022)	2045 Equity Area (Y/N)	2023 LOPP Rank	Project Name/Limits	Description	Current TPO TIP/FDOT Tentative Work Program Phase(s)	Current TPO TIP/Tentative FDOT Work Program Funding	Proposed Phase(s) Fiscal Years 2025 to 2030	Funding Requested Fiscal Years 2025 to 2030
Projects									
Yes	26	Yes		CR 464 (SE Maricamp Rd) from SR 35 (Baseline) to SE 108th Terrace RD	Safety and Access Management Improvements				
Yes	15	Yes		SW 27th Avenue from SW 42nd St to SR 200	Safety project planning			PE, CST	
Yes	9	Yes		CR 42 from CR 25 to Lake County Line	Curve correction, paved shoulder addition, intersection improvements			PE, CST	\$18,500,000
Yes	8	Yes	6	SR 40 Intersection at SW 27th Avenue	Intersection operational and safety improvements	PE, CST	\$1,595,576		
Yes	10	Yes		NE 25th Avenue from NE 14th St to NE 35th St	Safety project planning			PE, CST	
Yes	7	Yes	3	US 441 (Pine Avenue) at SR 464 (SE 17th)	Intersection/Turn lane improvements	PE, CST	\$3,388,554		
Yes	2	Yes	10	SW 40th/SW 38th Realignment at SR 40	Intersection operational and safety improvements			ROW, CST	TBD
Yes	2	No	7	SR 200 at SW 60th Avenue	Intersection improvements	PE, CST	\$723,118		
Yes	0	Yes	5	CR 42 at CR 25 Intersection Improvements	Intersection operational and safety improvements	PE, CST	\$583,730		
Yes	0	Yes	11	West Pennsylvania Avenue at US 41 redesign and intersection improvements	Intersection operational and safety improvements			Planning, DES, CST	TBD
Yes	0	No	2	SR 40 at SR 35 intersection	Construction of a roundabout at the intersection			PE, ROW, CST	\$18,600,000
No	2	Yes	4	NE 8th Avenue from SR 40 to SR 492	Construction of roundabouts on NE 8th Avenue	CST	\$4,452,800		
No	2	Yes	9	SR 35 at CR 25A, Foss Road, Robinson Road	Intersection operational and safety improvements			Design, ROW, CST	TBD
No	2	Yes		CR 484 at Marion Oaks Boulevard	Intersection turn lane additions, signal modifications	CST	\$490,705		
No	1	No	13	SW 66th Avenue at CR 475A	Construction of a roundabout at the intersection			Design, ROW, CST	\$500,000
No	0	Yes		CR 484 at SW 135th Street Road	Intersection turn lane construction	CST	\$381,542		
No	0	No	12	CR 475 at SE 80th Street	Intersection improvements			Design, ROW, CST	\$500,000
Planning Studies									
City of Ocala				Citywide Speed Management/Traffic Calming	Develop a speed management/traffic calming policy for the City of Ocala				
City of Ocala				SW 27th Avenue at SW 19th Avenue Rd./Easy St.	Conduct intersection safety study				



An Action Plan >>> for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion

Appendix B Crash Assessment

November 2022






Table of Contents

Crash Assessment Overview	1
Introduction	2
Data Source	2
Crash Trends	2
Seasonality.....	3
Annual Crashes.....	3
Annual Crash Rates	4
Monthly Crashes.....	6
Daily Crashes	6
Hourly.....	7
Crash Types	7
Relation to Intersection	8
Roadway and Locational Trends	8
Equity Assessment	13
Environmental Trends	15
Lighting.....	15
Road Surface Condition	16
Weather Condition	17
Behavioral Trends.....	18
Confirmed Alcohol Use.....	18
Confirmed Drug Use	19
Confirmed Distraction.....	20
Passenger Restraints.....	21
Age of Involved Parties.....	22
Gender of Involved Parties.....	23
Vulnerable Road Users	24
Seasonality.....	24
Relation to Intersection	26
Environmental Trends	27
Behavior	30

Crash Assessment Overview

The Commitment to Zero crash assessment reviewed fatal and serious (incapacitating) injury (KSI) crashes that occurred on the streets of Marion County during the 2015 to 2020 timeframe. The following are highlights from the assessment. Additional information and details on fatal and serious injury crashes are included in this document.

- During the 6-year assessment period from 2015 to 2020 there were 469 fatal crashes and 1,828 serious injury crashes, totaling 509 deaths and 2,371 serious injuries.
- While annual KSI crashes have decreased since peaking in 2018, the number of KSI crashes has been trending upward during the assessment period.
 - The number of fatal crashes has continued to increase throughout the assessment period.
- Approximately 59% of the KSI crashes occurred during daylight conditions, with 35% occurring during dark (with and without street lighting) conditions, and 7% during dawn/dusk conditions.
 - Approximately 51% of the Fatal crashes occurred during Dark conditions, with 9% of fatal crashes occurring in dark conditions with street lighting and 42% of fatal crashes occurring in dark with no street lighting conditions.
- The crash data shows that 13% of the KSI crashes involved confirmed alcohol use; 35% of the fatal crashes involved confirmed alcohol use.
- The crash data shows the 10% of the KSI crashes involved confirmed drug use; 38% of the fatal crashes involved confirmed drug use.
- 55% of the KSI crashes and 46% of the fatal crashes occurred on Arterial roadways; by comparison, arterial roadways are approximately 8% of the transportation system's centerline miles and carry approximately 37% of the traffic volumes.
- Speed is a well-documented factor in the severity of crashes, 74% of KSI crashes occurred on roadways with a posted speed limit of 45 mph or greater, 81% of fatal crashes occurred on roadways with a posted speed limit of 45 mph or greater.
- 50% of the KSI crashes occurred on state-maintained roadways.
- The crash types associated with the most KSI crashes include Angle/Left Turn crashes (25%), Rear End crashes (19%), Run Off Road crashes (17%), and Bike/Pedestrian crashes (11%).
 - The crash types associated with the most fatal crashes include Run Off Road crashes (23%), Bike/Pedestrian crashes (20%), and Angle/Left Turn crashes (17%).




Introduction

Commitment to Zero is built around a Safe Systems framework. In Safe Systems, a “safety culture” is adopted, whereby the goal of any roadway initiative, whether education, engineering, or any other intervention, is to eliminate the possibility of death. Under Safe Systems, the entirety of the transportation network is designed and studied in a way that inevitable mistakes by roadway users – motorists, walkers, bicyclists, and motorcyclists – do not result in death.

Data Source

Crash data were retrieved from Signal Four Analytics, a collaborative statewide crash analytical tool developed by the University of Florida Geoplan Center, for the period between 2015 and 2020. Signal Four receives its crash data via the Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (FHSMV) and enhances this data using citation data retrieved from the Florida Court Clerks & Comptrollers (FCCC). After retrieving these data, Signal Four then performs quality control as needed.



Crash Trends

To better understand which interventions will have the highest safety benefit, an analysis of five-year crash data was undertaken to identify crash trends within Ocala / Marion County. An additional sixth year, 2015, was included to account for the unusual circumstances in 2020 stemming from the initial onset of the Covid-19 pandemic. Because Commitment to Zero focuses on eliminating deaths and serious injuries, only crashes where someone was killed or severely injured (KSI) were reviewed. Certain trends were further identified for KSI crashes involving people riding bikes or walking, who make up a disproportionate share of total KSI crashes.





Seasonality

Crashes were reviewed by year, month, day of the week, and hour of the day.


Annual Crashes

On an annual basis, KSI crashes have been progressively increasing. In 2018 there was a noted spike in serious injury crashes. Although total KSI crashes were lower in 2020, the proportion of fatal crashes to serious injury crashes was higher than in any other reviewed year. Fatal crashes have increased throughout the 6-year assessment period, with 2020 having approximately 49% more fatal crashes compared to 2015.




Annual Crash Rates

How does vehicle miles travel (VMT) impact KSI crash statistics? Looking at crash rates normalized by VMT, it is possible to observe the relationship between increased and decreased driving impact KSI crashes. Evaluating the number of fatalities and serious injuries against the amount of traffic provides a baseline for how traffic might impact KSI crashes. The figure below shows the rate of KSIs per million VMT from 2011 through 2020. While the KSI rate decreased in 2020, the 10-year trend has been increasing.





The following figure shows annual VMTs for Marion County for the years 2002 through 2020. As shown VMTs were relatively stable through the mid-2000s, began to decrease during the recession beginning in 2008, and then started rising again starting in 2014.






The rate of fatalities per million VMT is shown in the following figures. The first figure shows the fatality rate from 2002 through 2020, as shown the fatality rate has decreased during the 19-year period but starting in 2014 the fatal crash rate has increased. The next figure shows the fatality rate for the years 2011 through 2020, and how that rate has continued to increase during the past decade.




Monthly Crashes

On a monthly basis, total KSI crashes are generally stable month over month. The highest period is between September and December, with September having the highest number of deadly crashes and October having the highest number of crashes resulting in serious injuries.




Daily Crashes

By day of the week, Thursdays and Fridays had the highest frequency of KSI crashes. Saturdays had the highest number of crashes resulting in death with 91; combined the two weekend days (Saturday and Sunday) had approximately 36% of the fatal crashes.




Hourly

Examining KSI crashes by time of day shows a distinct peak in crash frequency through the afternoon and early evening hours between 1 pm and 6 pm. The highest number of crashes resulting in serious injuries occurred during the 4 pm hour, with 129 severe injury crashes. The 7 pm hour had the highest frequency of fatal crashes with 33. Approximately 32% of the KSI crashes occurred during the 5-hour period between 1 pm and 6 pm.




Crash Types

Crashes were placed into 11 crash type categories, shown as the figure below. Angle and Left Turn crashes made up the largest share of total KSI crashes (25%) and serious injury crashes (27%). Run Off Road accounted for the largest share (23%) of crashes that resulted in a death.



Relation to Intersection


Crashes were categorized based on the crash locations relationship to an intersection. 63.3% of KSI crashes occurred at non-intersection locations, these locations include driveways, side street locations, and the areas between formal intersections. The proportion of fatal crashes at non-intersection locations was higher compared to intersection crashes, with 23.9% of the non-intersection KSI crashes resulting in a death compared to 14.5% of the intersection related crashes.




Roadway and Locational Trends

A review of roadway data was completed to better understand the types of roads where KSI crashes are occurring with the highest frequency.



Most crashes are documented as occurring within unincorporated Marion County and the City of Ocala. Together, the lane miles of roads within these areas make up for about 98% of total miles, matching their total share of about 98% of KSI crashes.




Most crashes occur on State and County maintained roads. These roads carry the highest volume of traffic at the highest speeds, two factors that contribute significantly to the probability and severity of a crash.




The following maps show the location and frequencies of KSI and Fatal crashes. As shown, the highest concentration of KSI crashes is in the most urban areas of the county, specifically within and near the City of Ocala. Fatal crashes are a little more dispersed throughout the county.







Arterial roadways make up about 8% of total centerline miles in Marion County, carry approximately 37% of the traffic, yet have 55% of total KSI crashes and 46% of total fatal crashes. Collector streets, which make up about 15% of total centerline miles and carry about 20% of the traffic, have about 26% of the total KSI crashes. Conversely, local streets, which make up 77% of total centerline miles and 20% of the traffic, have 12% of total KSI crashes – including 12% of serious injury crashes and 12% of fatal crashes. This is due in part to the much lower volume and speed encountered on local roads compared to their arterial and collector counterparts.



Most KSI crashes occurred on 2-lane roadways, with 48% of the KSI crashes. 4-lane roadways had 37% of the KSI crashes and 31% of the fatal crashes.




Roads with a posted speed limit of 45 MPH or 55 MPH made up about two-thirds of total KSI crashes. Additionally, roads with a posted speed limit of 55 MPH made up 44% of fatal crashes, despite only making up 36% of total KSI crashes.







Equity Assessment

Demographic and socioeconomic factors including age, race/ethnicity, household poverty, and zero-vehicle households were obtained and analyzed at the Census block group level. The composite equity score identifies concentrations of these factors relative to the County as a whole. Block groups with one or more demographic/socioeconomic indicators were identified; crashes that occurred within these areas were also identified.

A low equity score has a lower concentration of demographic/socioeconomic indicators that are often associated with increased barriers to mobility. Locations with a High and Very High equity score represent locations that have higher percentages indicators. Approximately 65.3% of the KSI crashes occurred in medium equity indicator locations, 16.5% in high equity indicator locations, and 18.2% in very high equity indicator locations.






Equity Areas  1 Disadvantaged Populations  3 Disadvantaged Populations
 2 Disadvantaged Populations

Environmental Trends

Lighting


Dark lighting conditions were a significant indicator that a crash would result in death when compared to total KSI and serious injury crashes.

Total KSI




Daylight Dark Dawn / Dusk / Other

Fatal



Daylight Dark Dawn / Dusk / Other

Serious Injury




Daylight Dark Dawn / Dusk / Other

Road Surface Condition


Most KSI crashes occurred during dry road surface conditions. There was no distinct trend to indicate that road surface conditions are a contributing factor between crash severities.

Total KSI




■ Dry ■ Wet ■ Other

Fatal



■ Dry ■ Wet ■ Other

Serious Injury




■ Dry ■ Wet ■ Other

Weather Condition


Most KSI crashes occurred during clear weather conditions. There was no distinct trend to indicate that weather conditions are a contributing factor between crash severities.

Total KSI




- Clear
- Cloudy
- Inclement or Other Weather

Fatal



- Clear
- Cloudy
- Inclement or Other Weather

Serious Injury



- Clear
- Cloudy
- Inclement or Other Weather


Behavioral Trends

Studying contributing behavior is another way to better understand how crashes occurred, and thus how they can be prevented from reoccurring. The reliability of behavioral trend data is limited to cases where the behavior could be confirmed by a reporting officer. Actual occurrences of these behavioral attributes may be higher, but unable to be confirmed at the time of the crash.

Confirmed Alcohol Use


Crashes that resulted in a death were more likely to involve alcohol use when compared to total KSI crashes and serious injury crashes.

Total KSI




Yes No

Fatal



Yes No

Serious Injury




Yes No

Confirmed Drug Use


Crashes that resulted in a death were more likely, and serious injury crashes were less likely, to involve drug use when compared to total KSI crashes.

Total KSI




Yes No

Fatal



Yes No

Serious Injury




Yes No

Confirmed Distraction


According to the crash report data, distraction isn't a significant indicator in the severity of a KSI crash.

Total




■ Yes ■ No

Fatal



■ Yes ■ No

Serious Injury




■ Yes ■ No

Passenger Restraints


The lack of a passenger restraint, or seatbelt, is a significant indicator that a crash results in a death.

Total KSI




Yes No

Fatal



Yes No


Serious Injury




Yes No

Age of Involved Parties

A review of the age of involved parties was completed, showing that people between the age of 20 and 39 are more likely than others to be involved in a KSI crash. Two cases were excluded where the age of the involved party was unknown.




Upon a review of ACS 2019 data, seen in figure below, the disproportionate share of total crashes compared to total population for the 20 to 29 and 30 to 39 age groups becomes more apparent.



Source: ACS 2019

Gender of Involved Parties

A review of the gender of involved parties was completed, showing that approximately 60% of the fatal and serious injuries were to males; nearly 68% of the fatalities were males. According to the U.S. Census Bureau 2019 American Community Survey (ACS) data, approximately 48% of Marion County’s population is male, this highlights a disproportionate involvement of males in KSI crashes.






Vulnerable Road Users

People walking or riding a bike, collectively referred to as vulnerable road users, are at unique risk for death or serious injury when compared to people driving. In Marion County, vulnerable road users made up a disproportionate 11% of total KSI crashes, 20% of total crashes resulting in death, and 9% of serious injury crashes, despite making up a comparatively small number of total road users. For this reason, KSI crashes involving vulnerable users are further broken down to identify trends that may be unique from overall KSI crashes.

Seasonality


Annual Crashes

KSI crashes have been steadily increasing since 2015, with 2016 serving as the year with the highest number of crashes causing death and 2020 as the year with the highest number of serious injury crashes.




Monthly Crashes

Crashes involving vulnerable road users tend to peak in the Fall and Winter months, with October having the highest number of serious injury crashes and December and January having the highest number of fatal crashes.




Daily Crashes

KSI crashes involving vulnerable road users are, on average, highest on Monday and Thursday. Monday was the day with the highest average number of serious injury crashes, and Thursday with the highest number of fatal crashes.




Crashes By Hour

Crashes involving vulnerable road users are low all morning and most of the afternoon, sharply peaking between 4:00 p.m. and beginning to drop off around 10:00 p.m., closely correlating with lighting conditions. This pattern is distinct from total KSI crashes involving all road users, which do not peak as sharply or as late in the day.



Relation to Intersection

Similar to total KSI crashes involving all road users, most crashes involving vulnerable road users occur outside of intersections. However, vulnerable user crashes were more likely to result in death in non-intersection crashes than total KSI non-intersection crashes.




Environmental Trends

Lighting


Compared to total KSI crashes involving vulnerable road users, crashes occurring during dark lighting conditions were more likely to result in a death. Serious injury crashes followed a similar trend to total KSI crashes.

Total Vulnerable KSI




Daylight Dark Dawn / Dusk / Other

Fatal



Daylight Dark Dawn / Dusk / Other

Serious Injury




Daylight Dark Dawn / Dusk / Other


Road Surface Condition

Most KSI crashes involving vulnerable road users occurred under dry road surface conditions. There was no distinct trend to indicate that road surface condition is a contributing factor between crash severities.


Total Vulnerable User KSI



Fatal



Serious Injury






Weather Condition


Most KSI crashes involving vulnerable road users occurred during clear weather conditions. There was no distinct trend to indicate that weather conditions are a contributing factor between crash severities.

Total Vulnerable User KSI




■ Clear ■ Cloudy ■ Inclement or Other Weather

Fatal



■ Clear
■ Cloudy
■ Inclement or Other Weather

Serious Injury




■ Clear
■ Cloudy
■ Inclement or Other Weather

Behavior

Confirmed Alcohol Use


Similar to total KSI crashes involving all road users, confirmed alcohol use was a definitive indicator that a crash would result in a death.

Total KSI




Yes No

Fatal



Yes No

Serious Injury




Yes No

Confirmed Drug Use


Similar to total KSI crashes involving all road users, confirmed drug use was a definitive indicator that a crash would result in a death.

Total KSI




■ Yes ■ No

Fatal



■ Yes ■ No

Serious Injury




■ Yes ■ No



Age of Involved Parties

A review of the age of involved parties was undertaken, showing that people between the age of 30 and 59 are more likely to be involved in a nonmotorized KSI crash, which is comparatively older than those involved in total KSI crashes of any mode. Two cases were excluded where the age of the involved party was unknown.






An Action Plan >>> for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion

Appendix C High Injury Network

November 2022




High Injury Network

While Commitment to Zero follows the Safe System approach that focuses on system-wide improvements and the notion that KSI crashes can occur anywhere and we should work to eliminate KSI crashes everywhere, it recognizes that there are streets where KSI crashes are more prevalent. As part of Commitment to Zero's data-driven approach, the streets with some of the highest frequencies of KSI crashes have been identified to create the High Injury Network (HIN). One purpose of the HIN is to help understand where KSI crashes are occurring by identifying high-risk street segments, but the HIN can also be used to gain a better understanding of characteristics that contribute to KSI crashes, and it can be used to help focus safety efforts and prioritize improvements on the streets that historically have the highest frequencies of KSI crashes.

Development of the HIN focused on identifying street segments that support multiple modes, users, and engage with the community; I-75 was not included in the HIN development process since it only accommodates vehicular traffic through limited points of access. Using 2015 – 2020 crash data, the street segments with the highest frequencies of KSI crashes were identified and added to the HIN. The result of the HIN identification process was 38 street segments, these segments, which make-up approximately 2.9% of the total (centerline) street network, accounted for 40.7% of the total KSI crashes (32.4% of fatal crashes and 42.9% of serious injury crashes). The following are some additional observations of the HIN:

- 25 (65.8%) of the 38 segments are in the Urban portions of the county.
- 31 (81.6%) segments have posted speeds of 45 mph or greater, with 17 (44.7%) have posted speeds of 55 mph.
- 26 (68.4%) segments have four or more travel lanes,
- 32 (84.2%) segments are classified as Arterial roadways
- 25 (65.8%) segments don't have roadway lighting and 4 segments have significant lighting gaps
- 12 (31.6%) segments have complete sidewalks along both sides of the street
- 7 (18.4%) segments have a dedicated bicycle facility (i.e., bike lane or path)
- 20 (52.6%) segments are located near a school or park
- 27 (71.1%) segments are streets that are maintained by FDOT
- 30.3% of the HIN KSI crashes were Angle/Left Turn crashes
- 12.5% of the HIN KSI crashes were pedestrian/bicycle crashes (9.9% pedestrian crashes)
- 7.6% of the HIN KSI crashes were run-off-road crashes

The figure and table on the following pages show and list the HIN segments. Additional information on each of the HIN segments is provided on the pages following the figure and table.



- High Injury Network
- Municipalities
- Environmental Land

Figure 1: High Injury Network



Table 1: High Injury Network Segments

ID	Segment	Length (Miles)	SI Crashes	K Crashes	KSI Crashes	Maintaining Jurisdiction
1	SR 200/College Rd, I-75 to S Pine Ave	3.511	62	5	67	FDOT
2	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, 25 th Ave to NE 35 th Ave	3.432	50	6	56	FDOT
3	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, Pine Ave to 25 th Ave	2.248	46	8	54	FDOT
4	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 17 th St to SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd	1.064	47	4	51	FDOT
5	SR 200/College Rd, SE 60 th Ave to I-75	3.044	39	11	50	FDOT
6	SR 40, NW 113 th Cir to I-75	7.414	39	6	45	FDOT
7	SR 464/SE 17 th St, S Pine Ave to SE 25 th Ave	2.234	42	3	45	FDOT
8	SE Hwy 42, S Hwy 25 to County Line	17.523	24	12	36	Marion County
9	US 441, NE 35 th St to N of 77 th St	3.153	29	5	34	FDOT
10	SR 464/Maircamp Rd, SE 58 th Ave to Emerald Rd	4.145	30	3	33	FDOT
11	US 27/Blitchton Rd, W of NW 60 th Ave to NW 34 th Ave	2.718	25	7	32	FDOT
12	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, I-75 to NW Martin L King Ave	1.941	30	2	32	FDOT
13	SR 464/Maircamp Rd, SE 25 th Ave to SE 58 th Ave	3.742	26	5	31	FDOT
14	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 32 nd St to SE 17 th St	1.214	27	3	30	FDOT
15	SR 200/College Rd, SE Hwy 484 to SW 80 th Ave	2.838	23	5	28	FDOT
16	SR 464/SW 17 th St, SR 200/College Rd to S Pine Ave	1.228	26	1	27	FDOT
17	SR 326/NE 70 th St, US 441 to NE 36 th Avenue Rd	4.823	20	6	26	FDOT
18	US 27/301/441/N Pine Ave, SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd to NW 10 th St	0.698	25	1	26	FDOT
19	SE Hwy 42, US 441 to S Hwy 25	3.814	17	8	25	Marion County
20	SE Hwy 484/SE 132 nd Street Rd, SE 36 th Ave to US 301	2.572	17	7	24	Marion County
21	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 92 nd Place Rd to SE 52 nd St	3.664	17	7	24	FDOT
22	US 301, S of 151 st St to SE 132 Street Rd	2.076	16	7	23	FDOT
23	US 441, Marion/Sumter County Line to SE Hwy 42	2.025	19	4	23	FDOT
24	SR 40, S Hwy 314A to 196 th Ter	4.265	15	7	22	FDOT
25	NE 35 th St, US 441 to NE 36 th Ave	3.650	20	2	22	Marion County
26	US 27/301/441/SE Abshier Blvd, SE 62 nd Ave to SE 92 nd Place Rd	3.135	16	5	21	FDOT
27	SR 200/College Rd, SW 80 th Ave to SW 60 th Ave	3.075	18	3	21	FDOT
28	US 41/Williams St, Marion/Citrus County Line to SR 40	4.825	17	3	20	FDOT
29	SW Hwy 484, SW 104 th Ave to SR 200/College Rd	4.174	15	3	18	Marion County
30	SW 27 th Ave, SW 42 nd St to SR 200/College Rd	1.382	17	0	17	Ocala
31	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 52 nd St to SE 32 nd St	2.050	11	5	16	FDOT
32	NE 25 th Ave, NE 14 th St to NE 35 th St	1.601	15	1	16	Ocala
33	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, NE 35 th Ave to E Hwy 326	1.516	11	2	13	FDOT
34	20 th St/Jacksonville Rd/Hwy 200A and NE 24 th St, US 441/301/N Pine Ave to NE 10 th Ct	1.079	9	3	12	Marion County/Ocala
35	US 441, NW 214 th Ln to NW 230 th St	2.132	9	2	11	FDOT
36	NE 28 th St, US 441/301/N Pine Ave to Jacksonville Rd	1.131	8	2	10	Ocala
37	SW 32 nd St, SW 7 th Ave to SE Lake Weir Ave	1.537	10	0	10	Ocala
38	NW 7 th St, NW Old Blitchton Rd to NW 6 th Ter	0.734	8	0	8	Ocala




1. SR 200/College Rd, I-75 to S Pine Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	3.511	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45	6	22,000 – 42,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
67	5	5	62	73

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	23	34.3%	0	0%	23	37.1%
Angle/Left Turn	21	31.3%	1	20%	20	32.3%
Unknown	8	11.9%	0	0%	8	12.9%
Other	7	10.4%	2	40%	5	8.1%
Head On	3	4.5%	0	0%	3	4.8%
Off Road	2	3%	1	20%	1	1.6%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1	1.5%	0	0%	1	1.6%
Sideswipe	1	1.5%	0	0%	1	1.6%
Rollover	1	1.5%	1	20%	0	0%
Total	67	100%	5	100%	62	100%

High Injury Network



COMMITMENT TO ZERO



An Action Plan  for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion




Image Source: Google Streetview




2. SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, 25th Ave to NE 36th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	3.432	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45	4	24,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
54	5	5	49	52

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	19	35.2%	1	20%	18	36.7%
Rear End	13	24.1%	0	0%	13	26.5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	11	20.4%	3	60%	8	16.3%
Other	6	11.1%	0	0%	6	12.2%
Off Road	2	3.7%	1	20%	1	2%
Rollover	2	3.7%	0	0%	2	4.1%
Sideswipe	1	1.9%	0	0%	1	2%
Total	54	100%	5	100%	49	100%





Image Source: Google Streetview




3. SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, Pine Ave to 25th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.248	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
30 - 40	4	27,000 - 31,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
54	8	9	46	58

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	19	35.2%	4	50%	15	32.6%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	10	18.5%	1	12.5%	9	19.6%
Rear End	9	16.7%	0	0%	9	19.6%
Other	7	13%	1	12.5%	6	13%
Off Road	4	7.4%	1	12.5%	3	6.5%
Unknown	2	3.7%	0	0%	2	4.3%
Head On	1	1.9%	0	0%	1	2.2%
Sideswipe	1	1.9%	0	0%	1	2.2%
Right Turn	1	1.9%	1	12.5%	0	0%
Total	54	100%	8	100%	46	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


4. US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 17th St to SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	1.064	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35	6	26,000 - 34,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
51	4	4	47	55

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
Angle/Left Turn	23	45.1%	1	25%	22	46.8%
Rear End	12	23.5%	0	0%	12	25.5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	7	13.7%	2	50%	5	10.6%
Other	4	7.8%	1	25%	3	6.4%
Off Road	2	3.9%	0	0%	2	4.3%
Head On	1	2%	0	0%	1	2.1%
Right Turn	1	2%	0	0%	1	2.1%
Unknown	1	2%	0	0%	1	2.1%
Total	51	100%	4	100%	47	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




5. SR 200/College Rd, SE 60th Ave to I-75

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	3.044	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45 - 50	6	41,000 - 49,900
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	Yes	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
49	11	11	38	52

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
Rear End	24	49%	0	0%	24	63.2%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	11	22.4%	7	63.6%	4	10.5%
Angle/Left Turn	5	10.2%	1	9.1%	4	10.5%
Other	4	8.2%	1	9.1%	3	7.9%
Sideswipe	2	4.1%	0	0%	2	5.3%
Rollover	2	4.1%	1	9.1%	1	2.6%
Unknown	1	2%	1	9.1%	0	0%
Total	49	100%	11	100%	38	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


6. SR 40, NW 113th Cir to I-75

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	7.414	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
50	4	21,700 - 30,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	Yes	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
45	6	6	39	46

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	13	28.9%	1	16.7%	12	30.8%
Rear End	13	28.9%	2	33.3%	11	28.2%
Other	7	15.6%	2	33.3%	5	12.8%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	5	11.1%	1	16.7%	4	10.3%
Rollover	2	4.4%	0	0%	2	5.1%
Head On	1	2.2%	0	0%	1	2.6%
Unknown	1	2.2%	0	0%	1	2.6%
Off Road	1	2.2%	0	0%	1	2.6%
Sideswipe	1	2.2%	0	0%	1	2.6%
Right Turn	1	2.2%	0	0%	1	2.6%
Total	45	100%	6	100%	39	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




7. SR 464/SE 17th St, S Pine Ave to SE 25th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.234	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
40 - 50	4	29,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes (Gaps)


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
45	3	4	42	55

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	18	40%	0	0%	18	42.9%
Rear End	16	35.6%	1	33.3%	15	35.7%
Other	4	8.9%	0	0%	4	9.5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	6.7%	1	33.3%	2	4.8%
Head On	2	4.4%	1	33.3%	1	2.4%
Unknown	1	2.2%	0	0%	1	2.4%
Rollover	1	2.2%	0	0%	1	2.4%
Total	45	100%	3	100%	42	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview




8. SE Hwy 42, S Hwy 25 to County Line

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Marion County	17.523	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	2	4,100 – 10,600
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Collector	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
36	12	12	24	29

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Off Road	14	38.9%	5	41.7%	9	37.5%
Angle/Left Turn	6	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	25.0%
Head On	4	11.1%	3	25.0%	1	4.2%
Rear End	4	11.1%	0	0.0%	4	16.7%
Rollover	4	11.1%	2	16.7%	2	8.3%
Other	2	5.6%	1	8.3%	1	4.2%
Pedestrian	1	2.8%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%
Sideswipe	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%
Total	36	100%	12	100%	24	100%

High Injury Network



COMMITMENT TO ZERO



An Action Plan  for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion




Image Source: Google Streetview




9. US 441, NE 35th St to N. of 77th St

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	3.153	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	4	16,300 - 22,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
34	5	5	29	42

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	12	35.3%	1	20%	11	37.9%
Angle/Left Turn	10	29.4%	1	20%	9	31%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	4	11.8%	2	40%	2	6.9%
Unknown	2	5.9%	0	0%	2	6.9%
Off Road	2	5.9%	0	0%	2	6.9%
Head On	1	2.9%	0	0%	1	3.4%
Other	1	2.9%	0	0%	1	3.4%
Right Turn	1	2.9%	1	20%	0	0%
Rollover	1	2.9%	0	0%	1	3.4%
Total	34	100%	5	100%	29	100%

High Injury Network


**COMMITMENT
TO ZERO**

An Action Plan  for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion




Image Source: Google Streetview


10. SR 464/Maircamp Rd, SE 58th Ave to Emerald Rd

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	4.145	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
50	4	35,900
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
32	3	3	29	34

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	15	46.9%	1	33.3%	14	48.3%
Rear End	10	31.3%	0	0%	10	34.5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	9.4%	1	33.3%	2	6.9%
Rollover	3	9.4%	1	33.3%	2	6.9%
Off Road	1	3.1%	0	0%	1	3.4%
Total	32	100%	3	100%	29	100%

High Injury Network


**COMMITMENT
TO ZERO**



An Action Plan  for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion




Image Source: Google Streetview




11. US 27/Blitchton Rd, W. of NW 60th Ave to NW 34th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.718	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45-55	4	21,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	Yes	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
32	7	7	25	26

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	10	31.3%	2	28.6%	8	32%
Angle/Left Turn	6	18.8%	0	0%	6	24%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	4	12.5%	2	28.6%	2	8%
Off Road	4	12.5%	1	14.3%	3	12%
Other	3	9.4%	0	0%	3	12%
Rollover	3	9.4%	1	14.3%	2	8%
Head On	1	3.1%	1	14.3%	0	0%
Sideswipe	1	3.1%	0	0%	1	4%
Total	32	100%	7	100%	25	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


12. SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, I-75 to NW Martin L King Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	1.941	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45	4	23,000 - 33,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
33	2	2	31	34

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	12	36.4%	1	50%	11	35.5%
Rear End	12	36.4%	0	0%	12	38.7%
Other	4	12.1%	0	0%	4	12.9%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	9.1%	1	50%	2	6.5%
Head On	1	3%	0	0%	1	3.2%
Unknown	1	3%	0	0%	1	3.2%
Total	33	100%	2	100%	31	100%

High Injury Network


**COMMITMENT
TO ZERO**



An Action Plan  for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion




Image Source: Google Streetview




13. SR 464/Maircamp Rd, SE 25th Ave to SE 58th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	1.941	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45	4	23,000 - 33,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
33	2	2	31	34

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	13	41.9%	1	20%	12	46.2%
Angle/Left Turn	5	16.1%	0	0%	5	19.2%
Other	4	12.9%	2	40%	2	7.7%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	4	12.9%	1	20%	3	11.5%
Off Road	2	6.5%	0	0%	2	7.7%
Rollover	2	6.5%	1	20%	1	3.8%
Head On	1	3.2%	0	0%	1	3.8%
Total	31	100%	5	100%	26	100%

High Injury Network





Image Source: Google Streetview




14. US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 32nd St to SE 17th St

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	1.214	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35 - 50	4 to 6	25,500 - 30,300
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
30	3	3	27	32

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	14	46.7%	0	0%	14	51.9%
Rear End	7	23.3%	0	0%	7	25.9%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	5	16.7%	1	33.3%	4	14.8%
Other	3	10%	2	66.7%	1	3.7%
Right Turn	1	3.3%	0	0%	1	3.7%
Total	30	100%	3	100%	27	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


15. SR 200/College Rd, SW Hwy 484 to SW 80th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.838	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
50	6	21,000 - 30,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	Yes	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
27	5	6	22	26

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	10	37%	2	40%	8	36.4%
Rear End	10	37%	0	0%	10	45.5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	5	18.5%	3	60%	2	9.1%
Other	1	3.7%	0	0%	1	4.5%
Sideswipe	1	3.7%	0	0%	1	4.5%
Total	27	100%	5	100%	22	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview


16. SR 464/SW 17th St, SR 200/College Rd to S Pine Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	1.228	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35 45	4	25,500 - 31,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	Yes (Gaps)


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
27	1	1	26	32

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	10	37%	0	0%	10	38.5%
Rear End	10	37%	0	0%	10	38.5%
Other	3	11.1%	1	100%	2	7.7%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	11.1%	0	0%	3	11.5%
Off Road	1	3.7%	0	0%	1	3.8%
Total	27	100%	1	100%	26	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




17. SR 326/NE 70th St, US 441 to NE 36th Avenue

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	4.823	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45 - 55	2	11,400 - 12,300
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
25	6	8	19	28

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	6	24%	2	33.3%	4	21.1%
Rear End	6	24%	0	0%	6	31.6%
Off Road	4	16%	2	33.3%	2	10.5%
Other	3	12%	0	0%	3	15.8%
Rollover	3	12%	1	16.7%	2	10.5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	2	8%	1	16.7%	1	5.3%
Head On	1	4%	0	0%	1	5.3%
Total	25	100%	6	100%	19	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


18. US 27/301/441/N Pine Ave, SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd to NW 10th St

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	0.698	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35 -45	4 to 6	28,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
28	1	1	27	36

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
Angle/Left Turn	10	35.7%	1	100%	9	33.3%
Rear End	8	28.6%	0	0%	8	29.6%
Other	3	10.7%	0	0%	3	11.1%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	2	7.1%	0	0%	2	7.4%
Unknown	2	7.1%	0	0%	2	7.4%
Off Road	2	7.1%	0	0%	2	7.4%
Sideswipe	1	3.6%	0	0%	1	3.7%
Total	28	100%	1	100%	27	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




19. SE Hwy 42, US 441 to S Hwy 25

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Marion County	3.814	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	2	10,700 to 9,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Collector	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
25	8	8	17	31

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	9	36%	2	25%	7	41.2%
Rear End	6	24%	2	25%	4	23.5%
Off Road	3	12%	2	25%	1	5.9%
Rollover	3	12%	1	12.5%	2	11.8%
Head On	1	4%	1	12.5%	0	0%
Other	1	4%	0	0%	1	5.9%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1	4%	0	0%	1	5.9%
Right Turn	1	4%	0	0%	1	5.9%
Total	25	100%	8	100%	17	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview


20. SE Hwy 484/SE 132nd Street Rd, SE 36th Ave to US 301

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Marion County	2.572	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45 to 55	4	11,200 to 18,300
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
24	7	11	17	23

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	14	58.3%	6	85.7%	8	47.1%
Rear End	5	20.8%	0	0%	5	29.4%
Other	3	12.5%	0	0%	3	17.6%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1	4.2%	1	14.3%	0	0%
Rollover	1	4.2%	0	0%	1	5.9%
Total	24	100%	7	100%	17	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


21. US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 92nd Place Rd to SE 52nd St

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	3.664	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	4	28,500 to 29,800
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
26	8	10	18	29

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	8	30.8%	3	37.5%	5	27.8%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	4	15.4%	3	37.5%	1	5.6%
Off Road	4	15.4%	1	12.5%	3	16.7%
Rear End	3	11.5%	0	0%	3	16.7%
Rollover	3	11.5%	0	0%	3	16.7%
Unknown	2	7.7%	1	12.5%	1	5.6%
Other	1	3.8%	0	0%	1	5.6%
Sideswipe	1	3.8%	0	0%	1	5.6%
Total	26	100%	8	100%	18	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview


22. US 301, S. of 151st St to SE 132 Street Rd

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.076	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	2 to 4	Unknown
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
21	4	4	17	23

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	11	47.8%	4	57.1%	7	43.8%
Head On	3	13.0%	1	14.3%	2	12.5%
Other	2	8.7%	0	0%	2	12.5%
Sideswipe	2	8.7%	0	0%	2	12.5%
Rollover	2	8.7%	1	14.3%	1	6.3%
Rear End	1	4.3%	0	0%	1	6.3%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1	4.3%	1	14.3%	0	0%
Off Road	1	4.3%	0	0%	1	6.3%
Total	23	100%	7	100%	16	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


23. US 441, Marion/Sumter County Line to SE Hwy 42

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.025	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	4	37,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
21	4	4	17	23

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	7	33.3%	1	25%	6	35.3%
Rear End	6	28.6%	1	25%	5	29.4%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	5	23.8%	2	50%	3	17.6%
Rollover	2	9.5%	0	0%	2	11.8%
Off Road	1	4.8%	0	0%	1	5.9%
Total	21	100%	4	100%	17	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


24. SR 40, S Hwy 314A to 196th Ter

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	4.265	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	2	8,100
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
22	7	7	15	19

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	10	45.5%	4	57.1%	6	40%
Rear End	4	18.2%	1	14.3%	3	20%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	4	18.2%	1	14.3%	3	20%
Other	2	9.1%	0	0%	2	13.3%
Head On	1	4.5%	1	14.3%	0	0%
Off Road	1	4.5%	0	0%	1	6.7%
Total	22	100%	7	100%	15	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview




25. NE 35th St, US 441 to NE 36th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Marion County	3.65	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35	2 to 4	7,900 to 9,800
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Collector	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
22	2	2	20	36

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	10	45.5%	2	100%	8	40%
Rear End	6	27.3%	0	0%	6	30%
Off Road	2	9.1%	0	0%	2	10%
Head On	1	4.5%	0	0%	1	5%
Other	1	4.5%	0	0%	1	5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1	4.5%	0	0%	1	5%
Unknown	1	4.5%	0	0%	1	5%
Total	22	100%	2	100%	20	100%

High Injury Network


**COMMITMENT
TO ZERO**



An Action Plan  for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion




Image Source: Google Streetview




26. US 27/301/441/SE Abshier Blvd, SE 62nd Ave to SE 92nd Place Rd

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	3.135	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	4	28,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
20	4	5	16	21

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Angle/Left Turn	8	40%	2	50%	6	37.5%
Rear End	4	20%	0	0%	4	25%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	2	10%	2	50%	0	0%
Off Road	2	10%	0	0%	2	12.5%
Sideswipe	2	10%	0	0%	2	12.5%
Head On	1	5%	0	0%	1	6.3%
Other	1	5%	0	0%	1	6.3%
Total	20	100%	4	100%	16	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




27. SR 200/College Rd, SW 80th Ave to SW 60th Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	3.075	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
50	6	27,600
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	Yes	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
22	3	3	19	25

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	9	40.9%	2	66.7%	7	36.8%
Rear End	7	31.8%	0	0%	7	36.8%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	2	9.1%	1	33.3%	1	5.3%
Off Road	2	9.1%	0	0%	2	10.5%
Unknown	1	4.5%	0	0%	1	5.3%
Rollover	1	4.5%	0	0%	1	5.3%
Total	22	100%	3	100%	19	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




28. US 41/Williams St, Marion/Citrus County Line to SR 40

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	4.825	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35 to 55	2 to 4	21,000 to 26,000
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
21	3	3	18	25

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	7	33.3%	0	0%	7	38.9%
Angle/Left Turn	5	23.8%	1	33.3%	4	22.2%
Head On	3	14.3%	1	33.3%	2	11.1%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	14.3%	0	0%	3	16.7%
Off Road	2	9.5%	1	33.3%	1	5.6%
Rollover	1	4.8%	0	0%	1	5.6%
Total	21	100%	3	100%	18	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




29. SW Hwy 484, SW 104th Ave to SR 200/College Rd

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Marion County	4.174	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	2	11,300
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
18	3	7	15	20

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	5	27.8%	2	66.7%	3	20%
Head On	3	16.7%	1	33.3%	2	13.3%
Off Road	3	16.7%	0	0%	3	20%
Sideswipe	3	16.7%	0	0%	3	20%
Rear End	2	11.1%	0	0%	2	13.3%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	2	11.1%	0	0%	2	13.3%
Total	18	100%	3	100%	15	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




30. SW 27th Ave, SW 42nd St to SR 200/College Rd

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Ocala	1.382	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
45	4	17,200 to 18,800
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
17	0	0	17	19

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	10	58.8%	0	0%	10	58.8%
Other	3	17.6%	0	0%	3	17.6%
Angle/Left Turn	2	11.8%	0	0%	2	11.8%
Head On	1	5.9%	0	0%	1	5.9%
Unknown	1	5.9%	0	0%	1	5.9%
Total	17	100%	0	0%	17	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




31. US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 52nd St to SE 32nd St

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.05	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	4	30,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
16	5	5	11	13

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	7	43.8%	0	0%	7	63.6%
Angle/Left Turn	3	18.8%	1	20%	2	18.2%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	18.8%	2	40%	1	9.1%
Off Road	2	12.5%	2	40%	0	0%
Other	1	6.3%	0	0%	1	9.1%
Total	16	100%	5	100%	11	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview




32. NE 25th Ave, NE 14th St to NE 35th St

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Ocala	1.601	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35	2	8,800 to 11,400
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Collector	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
16	1	1	15	20

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	5	31.3%	0	0%	5	33.3%
Rear End	3	18.8%	0	0%	3	20%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	18.8%	1	100%	2	13.3%
Head On	2	12.5%	0	0%	2	13.3%
Other	2	12.5%	0	0%	2	13.3%
Unknown	1	6.3%	0	0%	1	6.7%
Total	16	100%	1	100%	15	100%

High Injury Network





Image Source: Google Streetview




33. SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, NE 35th Ave to E Hwy 326

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	1.516	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
40 to 55	2 to 4	12,800 to 22,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes (Gaps)


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
14	2	3	12	19

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI	Fatal	Serious Injury
Angle/Left Turn	4 (28.6%)	1 (50%)	3 (25%)
Other	3 (21.4%)	0 (0%)	3 (25%)
Rear End	3 (21.4%)	0 (0%)	3 (25%)
Off Road	2 (14.3%)	1 (50%)	1 (8.3%)
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (8.3%)
Rollover	1 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	1 (8.3%)
Total	14 (100%)	2 (100%)	12 (100%)

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview


34. 20th St/Jacksonville Rd/Hwy 200A and NE 24th St, US 441/301/N Pine Ave to NE 10th Ct

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Marion County/Ocala	1.079	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35	4	4,300 to 9,200
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	Yes	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
12	3	3	9	10

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
Angle/Left Turn	5	41.7%	1	33.3%	4	44.4%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	3	25%	1	33.3%	2	22.2%
Other	1	8.3%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Rear End	1	8.3%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Unknown	1	8.3%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Off Road	1	8.3%	1	33.3%	0	0%
Total	12	100%	3	100%	9	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview


35. US 441, NW 214th Ln to NW 230th St

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
FDOT	2.132	Rural
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
55	4	5,300
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
No	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
11	2	3	9	10

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Rear End	3	27.3%	0	0%	3	33.3%
Off Road	2	18.2%	2	100%	0	0%
Angle/Left Turn	1	9.1%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Other	1	9.1%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1	9.1%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Sideswipe	1	9.1%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Animal	1	9.1%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Rollover	1	9.1%	0	0%	1	11.1%
Total	11	100%	2	100%	9	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


36. NE 28th St, US 441/301/N Pine Ave to Jacksonville Rd

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Ocala	1.131	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
30	2	3,300 to 16,500
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Collector	Yes	Yes
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes (Gaps)	No	No


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
10	2	2	8	10

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	5	50%	1	50%	4	50%
Rear End	2	20%	0	0%	2	25%
Other	1	10%	0	0%	1	12.5%
Unknown	1	10%	0	0%	1	12.5%
Off Road	1	10%	1	50%	0	0%
Total	10	100%	2	100%	8	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview


37. SW 32nd St, SW 7th Ave to SE Lake Weir Ave

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Ocala	1.537	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
35 to 40	4	19,200 to 20,600
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Arterial	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	Yes	Yes


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
11	0	0	11	17

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
Angle/Left Turn	3	27.3%	0	0%	3	27.3%
Off Road	3	27.3%	0	0%	3	27.3%
Other	2	18.2%	0	0%	2	18.2%
Rear End	2	18.2%	0	0%	2	18.2%
Unknown	1	9.1%	0	0%	1	9.1%
Total	11	100%	0	0%	11	100%




Image Source: Google Streetview




38. NW 7th St, NW Old Blitchton Rd to NW 6th Ter

Maintaining Jurisdiction	Segment Length	Location Type
Ocala	0.734	Urban
Posted Speed Limit	Number of Travel Lanes	AADT (2020)
30	2	Unknown
Functional Class	Within Equity Area	Near School, Park, etc.
Collector	Yes	No
Existing Sidewalks	Existing Bicycle Facilities	Street Lighting
Yes	No	Yes (Gaps)


Crash History (2015 to 2020)

Total KSI Crashes	Fatal Crashes	Fatalities	Serious Injury Crashes	Serious Injuries
8	0	0	8	8

Annual Crashes



Crashes by Lighting Condition



Crash Type	KSI		Fatal		Serious Injury	
Other	5	62.5%	0	0%	5	62.5%
Angle/Left Turn	1	12.5%	0	0%	1	12.5%
Bicycle/Pedestrian	1	12.5%	0	0%	1	12.5%
Off Road	1	12.5%	0	0%	1	12.5%
Total	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%

High Injury Network




Image Source: Google Streetview

HIN Segment Detailed Overview

ID	Segment	Jurisdiction	Location	Length (Miles)	Lanes	Speed Limit	Max AADT	Class	Equity Area	School/Park	Sidewalk	Bike Facility	Lighting	SI Crashes	K Crashes	KSI Crashes	Serious Injuries	Fatalities	SI per Mile	K per Mile	KSI per Mile	SI Rate	K Rate	KSI Rate
1	SR 200/College Rd, I-75 to S Pine Ave	FDOT	Urban	3.511	6	45	22,000 - 42,500	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes	62	5	67	73	5	17.66	1.42	19.08	1.138	0.092	1.230
2	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, 25 th Ave to NE 35 th Ave	FDOT	Urban	3.432	4	45	24,500	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	49	5	54	52	5	14.28	1.46	15.73	1.597	0.163	1.759
3	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, Pine Ave to 25 th Ave	FDOT	Urban	2.248	4	30-40	27,000 - 31,000	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	46	8	54	58	9	20.46	3.56	24.02	1.808	0.315	2.123
4	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 17 th St to SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd	FDOT	Urban	1.064	6	35	26,000 - 34,500	Arterial	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	47	4	51	55	4	44.17	3.76	47.93	3.508	0.299	3.806
5	SR 200/College Rd, SE 60 th Ave to I-75	FDOT	Urban	3.044	6	45-50	41,000 - 49,900	Arterial	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	38	11	49	52	11	12.48	3.61	16.10	0.685	0.198	0.884
6	SR 40, NW 113 th Cir to I-75	FDOT	Urban	7.414	4	50	21,700 - 30,000	Arterial	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	39	6	45	46	6	5.26	0.81	6.07	0.480	0.074	0.554
7	SR 464/SE 17 th St, S Pine Ave to SE 25 th Ave	FDOT	Urban	2.234	4	40-50	29,000	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes (Gaps)	42	3	45	55	4	18.80	1.34	20.14	1.776	0.127	1.903
8	SE Hwy 42, S Hwy 25 to County Line	County	Rural	17.523	2	55	10,600	Collector	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	24	12	36	29	12	1.37	0.68	2.05	0.354	0.177	0.531
9	US 441, NE 35 th St to N of 77 th St	FDOT	Urban	3.153	4	55	16,300 - 22,000	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	No	29	5	34	42	5	9.20	1.59	10.78	1.145	0.197	1.343
10	SR 464/Maircamp Rd, SE 58 th Ave to Emerald Rd	FDOT	Urban	4.145	4	50	35,900	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes (Gaps)	No	No	29	3	32	34	3	7.00	0.72	7.72	0.534	0.055	0.589
11	US 27/Blitchton Rd, W of NW 60 th Ave to NW 34 th Ave	FDOT	Urban	2.718	4	45-55	21,000	Arterial	Yes	No	Yes (Gaps)	Yes	No	25	7	32	26	7	9.20	2.58	11.77	1.200	0.336	1.536
12	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, I-75 to NW Martin L King Ave	FDOT	Urban	1.941	4	45	23,000 - 33,000	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	31	2	33	34	2	15.97	1.03	17.00	1.326	0.086	1.412
13	SR 464/Maircamp Rd, SE 25 th Ave to SE 58 th Ave	FDOT	Urban	3.742	4	50-55	29,000 - 34,500	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes (Gaps)	No	No	26	5	31	35	5	6.95	1.34	8.28	0.552	0.106	0.658
14	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 32 nd St to SE 17 th St	FDOT	Urban	1.214	4 - 6	35-50	25,500 - 30,300	Arterial	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	27	3	30	32	3	22.24	2.47	24.71	2.011	0.223	2.234
15	SR 200/College Rd, SE Hwy 484 to SW 80 th Ave	FDOT	Urban	2.838	6	50	21,000 - 30,000	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	22	5	27	26	6	7.75	1.76	9.51	0.708	0.161	0.869
16	SR 464/SW 17th St, SR 200/College Rd to S Pine Ave	FDOT	Urban	1.228	4	35-45	25,500 - 31,000	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	Yes (Gaps)	26	1	27	32	1	21.17	0.81	21.99	1.871	0.072	1.943
17	SR 326/NE 70 th St, US 441 to NE 36 th Avenue Rd	FDOT	Rural	4.823	2	45-55	11,400 - 12,300	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	No	19	6	25	28	8	3.94	1.24	5.18	0.877	0.277	1.155
18	US 27/301/441/N Pine Ave, SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd to NW 10 th St	FDOT	Urban	0.698	4 - 6	35-45	28,000	Arterial	Yes	No	Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes	27	1	28	36	1	38.68	1.43	40.11	3.785	0.140	3.925
19	SE Hwy 42, US 441 to S Hwy 25	County	Rural	3.814	2	55	9,500 - 10,700	Collector	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	17	8	25	31	8	4.46	2.10	6.55	1.141	0.537	1.678
20	SE Hwy 484/SE 132 nd Street Rd, SE 36 th Ave to US 301	County	Rural	2.572	4	45-55	11,200 - 18,300	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	No	17	7	24	23	11	6.61	2.72	9.33	0.990	0.407	1.397
21	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 92 nd Place Rd to SE 52 nd St	FDOT	Rural	3.664	4	55	28,500 - 29,800	Arterial	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	18	8	26	29	10	4.91	2.18	7.10	0.452	0.201	0.652
22	US 301, S of 151 st St to SE 132 Street Rd	FDOT	Rural	2.076	2 - 4	55	13,300 - 17,100	Arterial	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	16	7	23	23	9	7.71	3.37	11.08	1.235	0.540	1.775
23	US 441, Marion/Sumter County Line to SE Hwy 42	FDOT	Urban	2.025	4	55	37,500	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	No	17	4	21	23	4	8.40	1.98	10.37	0.613	0.144	0.758
24	SR 40, S Hwy 314A to 196 th Ter	FDOT	Rural	4.265	2	55	8,100	Arterial	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	15	7	22	19	7	3.52	1.64	5.16	1.190	0.555	1.745

High Injury Network



ID	Segment	Jurisdiction	Location	Length (Miles)	Lanes	Speed Limit	Max AADT	Class	Equity Area	School/Park	Sidewalk	Bike Facility	Lighting	SI Crashes	K Crashes	KSI Crashes	Serious Injuries	Fatalities	SI per Mile	K per Mile	KSI per Mile	SI Rate	K Rate	KSI Rate
25	NE 35 th St, US 441 to NE 36 th Ave	County	Rural	3.650	2 - 4	35	7,900 - 9,800	Collector	Yes	No	No	No	No	20	2	22	36	2	5.48	0.55	6.03	1.532	0.153	1.685
26	US 27/301/441/SE Abshier Blvd, SE 62 nd Ave to SE 92 nd Place Rd	FDOT	Rural	3.135	4	55	28,500	Arterial	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	16	4	20	21	5	5.10	1.28	6.38	0.491	0.123	0.613
27	SR 200/College Rd, SW 80 th Ave to SW 60 th Ave	FDOT	Urban	3.075	6	50	27,600	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	19	3	22	25	3	6.18	0.98	7.15	0.613	0.097	0.710
28	US 41/Williams St, Marion/Citrus County Line to SR 40	FDOT	Rural	4.825	2 - 4	35-55	21,000 - 26,000	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes (Gaps)	No	No	18	3	21	25	3	3.73	0.62	4.35	0.393	0.066	0.459
29	SW Hwy 484, SW 104 th Ave to SR 200/College Rd	County	Rural	4.174	2	55	11,300	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	No	15	3	18	20	7	3.59	0.72	4.31	0.871	0.174	1.046
30	SW 27 th Ave, SW 42 nd St to SR 200/College Rd	Ocala	Urban	1.382	4	45	17,200 - 18,800	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	17	0	17	19	0	12.30	0.00	12.30	1.793	0.000	1.793
31	US 27/301/441/S Pine Ave, SE 52 nd St to SE 32 nd St	FDOT	Urban	2.050	4	55	30,500	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	No	11	5	16	13	5	5.37	2.44	7.80	0.482	0.219	0.701
32	NE 25 th Ave, NE 14 th St to NE 35 th St	Ocala	Rural	1.601	2	35	8,800 - 11,400	Collector	Yes	No	No	No	No	15	1	16	20	1	9.37	0.62	9.99	2.252	0.150	2.402
33	SR 40/Silver Springs Blvd, NE 35 th Ave to E Hwy 326	FDOT	Urban	1.516	2 - 4	40-55	12,800 - 22,500	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes (Gaps)	No	Yes (Gaps)	12	2	14	19	3	7.92	1.32	9.23	0.964	0.161	1.124
34	20th St/Jacksonville Rd/Hwy 200A and NE 24 th St, US 441/301/N Pine Ave to NE 10 th Ct	County/Ocala	Urban	1.079	4	35	4,300 - 9,200	Arterial	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	9	3	12	10	3	8.34	2.78	11.12	2.484	0.828	3.312
35	US 441, NW 214 th Ln to NW 230 th St	FDOT	Rural	2.132	4	55	5,300	Arterial	Yes	No	No	No	No	9	2	11	10	3	4.22	0.94	5.16	2.182	0.485	2.667
36	NE 28 th St, US 441/301/N Pine Ave to Jacksonville Rd	Ocala	Urban	1.131	2	30	3,300 - 16,500	Collector	Yes	Yes	Yes (Gaps)	No	No	8	2	10	10	2	7.07	1.77	8.84	1.174	0.294	1.468
37	SW 32 nd St, SW 7 th Ave to SE Lake Weir Ave	Ocala	Urban	1.537	4	35-40	19,200 - 20,600	Arterial	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	11	0	11	17	0	7.16	0.00	7.16	0.952	0.000	0.952
38	NW 7 th St, NW Old Blitchton Rd to NW 6 th Ter	Ocala	Urban	0.734	2	30	NA	Collector	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes (Gaps)	8	0	8	8	0	10.90	0.00	10.90	NA	NA	NA


Crash rates are represented at the number of crashes per 1,000,000 vehicle miles traveled (VMT); VMT was calculated using the segment length and AADTs.



An Action Plan >>> for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion

Appendix D Engagement Summary

November 2022





Introduction

A series of public involvement activities were established to better gauge the opinions of residents, practitioners, and other stakeholders and guide the development of Commitment to Zero. These activities were generally placed into in-person meetings targeting the public and those who specialize in an aspect of transportation safety, and in the form of online public engagement. This document describes these activities in detail.

Public Kick-Off Meeting

A public kick-off event was held on January 12, 2022, to observe the start of the Commitment to Zero effort and generate enthusiasm and knowledge amongst members of the public. Approximately 40 attendees were welcomed by Commissioner Michelle Stone and then provided a broad overview of Commitment to Zero and current safety-focused efforts from County staff, law enforcement, fire rescue, and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT).

The Kick-Off Meeting focused on what makes the Commitment to Zero and the Safe System approach framework different from past traffic safety approaches and punctuated the unnecessary loss of life to traffic deaths in the region. Attendees were provided a fact sheet explaining the project and had the opportunity to browse meeting boards that explained the project timeline and the principles of the Safe System approach.





Working Group Meetings

A series of three Commitment to Zero Working Group meetings were facilitated throughout the development of the Action Plan. The Working Group was hosted by the Marion County Community Traffic Safety Team (CTST). The CTST is made up of a group of interdisciplinary individuals who are focused on discussing issues related to crashes and potential solutions to mitigate those crashes. The Working Group was provided with background information on the goals and principles of Commitment to Zero, including an overview of the Safe Systems approach, participated in a brainstorming session on potential strategies and actions, and provided general input and guidance towards developing the Action Plan and future implementation of the Plan. As mentioned, the Working Group met three times, a summary of those meetings is provided below:



- **Working Group Meeting #1, February 10, 2022:** The first Working Group meeting provided an overview of the TPO's Commitment to Zero effort and the development of an Action Plan to support Commitment to Zero. A review of the Safe Systems approach to transportation safety was provided, an overview of crash data and crash factors was provided, and a discussion on observed issues and behaviors and discussions on what the Action Plan is looking to specifically address were held.
- **Working Group Meeting #2, April 14, 2022:** The second Working Group meeting looked at the crash history data in greater detail and included a more in-depth discussion on factors and behavioral trends that may influence the occurrence and severity of crashes. An introduction to the High Injury Network (HIN) was provided and a discussion ensued on how the HIN could be used to focus efforts and prioritize future projects. The meeting concluded with a group brainstorming session to discuss and identify potential actions and strategies that could be developed into the Action Plan to address fatal and serious injury crashes.



- **Working Group Meeting #3, July 14, 2022:** The third and final meeting included a review of the public engagement and summary of received input. A large portion of the meeting was dedicated to reviewing the proposed strategies and actions and on identifying potential emphasis areas that should be highlighted in the Action Plan. Finally, the meeting concluded with a discussion on performance measures and how the success of the Commitment to Zero effort should be measured.

Public Workshop

A public workshop was held on the evening of April 14, 2022, at the College of Central Florida’s Klein Center. The workshop was opened by the TPO Board Chair, Councilmember Ire Bethea. Following Councilmember Bethea’s opening statements, Commissioner Michelle Stone spoke to the audience about the importance of Commitment to Zero and the TPO’s commitment to eliminating traffic-related deaths and serious injuries. TPO Director Rob Balmes introduced the consultant team which provided an overview of the Commitment to Zero and Action Plan process and background information. Following the brief presentation, the meeting shifted to an open house style event where participants could engage with TPO, agency, and consultant staff to express their thoughts and ideas on areas, both traffic-related and geographical, that should be reviewed as part of the Action Plan development. Participants were also encouraged to complete the online survey, comment on the online map, and were provided with information that they could share with others.





Stakeholder Meeting

On May 12, 2022, a Stakeholder Group Meeting was held to inform a mix of government agency staff, law enforcement, fire rescue, and public participants on the status of Commitment to Zero and to solicit input on the formation to actionable strategies. The meeting covered the Safe System approach and how it differs from the traditional transportation safety approach, a summary of the crash evaluation and key take-aways related to crash types and factors, and a discussion on potential strategies for the Action Plan.



Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordinating Board Workshop

On June 16, 2022, following the Transportation Disadvantaged Local Coordinating Board (TDLCB) meeting a workshop was held to inform the TDLCB members about the efforts related to Commitment to Zero and the development of the Action Plan. Input and feedback were solicited through discussions focused on how Commitment to Zero could benefit transportation disadvantaged persons and the community in general.





Online Survey


An online survey was developed and hosted on the TPO’s Safety Action Plan webpage. Open from January 12, 2022, through July 1, 2022, the survey was used to solicit feedback from the public on issues associated with crashes and traffic safety concerns in the community. 196 participants completed the survey by answering questions and providing comments. The following provides a summary of the results of the survey by question.

Relationship to Crashes

Respondents were asked a pair of questions to understand how traffic crashes have impacted them personally.


Have you ever been involved in a traffic crash?

Of 196 total responses, a wide 77% of respondents indicated that they had been involved in a traffic crash.



Has someone you know been seriously injured or killed in a traffic crash?

Of 195 total responses, 64% indicated that someone they know had been killed or seriously injured in a traffic crash, demonstrating a clear personal connection between most respondents and the core concept of Commitment to Zero.



Crash Factors


Respondents were asked a series of questions about their sentiments towards the contributing factors to crashes, and how to best counteract these factors.

How much do you think the following factors contribute to fatal and serious injury traffic crashes in our community?

For this question, respondents were asked to rank the following items from 1 to 10, with items ranked 1 as not contributing at all, and items ranked 10 as contributing very much. All 197 respondents chose to answer this question. The following choices were provided:

- Aggressive driving behaviors (e.g., following too close or changing lanes without signaling)
- Alcohol, drug, or other impairment
- Design of streets and intersections
- Distraction/inattention while driving (e.g., texting and driving)
- Drivers not following the law
- Lack of enforcement
- Lack of knowledge/education about traffic safety and laws
- Motorists driving too fast, over the speed limit
- Roads are too dark, not enough street lighting
- Too many vehicles/traffic congestion
- Walkers and bicyclists not following the law

Next, a weighted average was applied to the results, yielding that most respondents felt that crashes were caused by aggressive driving, drivers disobeying the law generally, drivers exceeding the speed limit, and road users acting under the influence of drugs or alcohol. The fewest respondents ranked the design of roads as a contributing factor.



Respondents were also given the opportunity to specify their own cause with an “Other, please specify” choice. Those who did so generally described options offered above or suggested location-based design interventions. The full free responses can be found in the full survey detail section.




What do you think would be most effective in reducing fatal and serious injury traffic crashes in our community?

Similar to the previous question, respondents were asked to rank the following items from 1 to 10, with items ranked 1 as not contributing at all, and items ranked 10 as contributing very much. All 197 respondents chose to answer this question. The following choices were provided:

- Better designed streets and intersections
- Education of safe behavior
- Enforcement of unsafe behavior (i.e., speeding tickets)
- Improved roadway and intersection lighting
- Increasing the number of travel lanes
- Innovative intersection treatments (i.e., roundabouts, diverging diamonds, median U-turns, etc.)
- More protected (signalized) pedestrian crossings
- More sidewalks
- Reducing speed limits
- Reducing the number of travel lanes
- Roadside design improvements, e.g., shoulders, rumble strips, barriers, signage, etc.
- Separated bike lanes and paths
- Wider paved shoulders on roadways
- Other (please specify)

Using a weighted average, respondents rated separated bike lanes, roadway design improvements, more sidewalks, and better designed streets and intersections as the most effective ways to reduce fatal and serious injury crashes. The design approach of reducing the total number of travel lanes was ranked as the most ineffective, followed by reducing speed limits.



Respondents were also given the opportunity to specify their own cause with an “Other, please specify” choice. The perception that the rapid growth of Marion County is outpacing the capacity of its roads was a major theme of these comments. The other free response comments generally described options offered above or suggested location-based design interventions. The full free responses can be found in the full survey detail section.



Sentiments on Achieving Zero


Respondents were asked a pair of questions to better understand their feelings on the need and probability of the goal to achieve zero traffic-related serious injuries and deaths.

How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

To gauge their sentiments towards whether serious injuries and deaths on Ocala / Marion roads are preventable, respondents were asked to rank the following statements from 1 to 10. Items ranked 1 were considered strong disagreements, and items ranked 10 were considered strong agreement. All 197 respondents chose to answer this question.

- Deaths and serious injuries while traveling in our community are preventable.
- Human life should always take priority over moving motor vehicles faster.
- It is unacceptable for anyone to be killed or seriously injured while traveling on streets in our community.
- Streets should be designed to be safe for all people of all ages and abilities, regardless of chosen transportation mode.

Based on the weighted average of responses, most respondents agreed with all statements, with the statement that human life should always take priority over moving cars quickly having the strongest support.






The primary goal of the Commitment to Zero Safety Action Plan is to eliminate all traffic-related deaths and serious injuries countywide. Which of the following statements best describes your perspective of this goal?

To understand to what degree respondents, support the concept of Commitment to Zero, they were asked to select which of the following statements most closely represented their view:

- The goal is achievable, and we should do everything we can now to realize it.
- The goal is achievable, but it should be pursued over time.
- The goal is admirable and should be pursued through a determined effort, but it is unlikely to be achieved.
- The goal is admirable, but it is unachievable.
- The goal is unrealistic, unachievable, and should not be pursued.

Based on these responses, most respondents (76%) feel that the goal is achievable and should be pursued either immediately (41%) or over time (35%). A smaller share of respondents (15%) feel that the goal is admirable, and just one respondent of 196 total respondents felt that the goal is unrealistic, unachievable, and should not be pursued.






Free Response

Respondents were asked: *Do you have any further comments on how to improve transportation safety and reduce the number of traffic-related deaths and serious injuries in Marion County?*

Of the 79 responses, several dominant themes arose including Design and Maintenance, Enforcement, Behavior and Education, and Non-Specific comments. The following are examples representative of each category:

- Design and Maintenance: *“We need a crosswalk in Ocala on SR 40 between 27th Ave and MLK.”*
- Enforcement: *“More enforcement and increased citation penalties for driving infractions directly related to dangerous driving”*
- Behavior and Education: *“Educate drivers on how to safely pass cyclists and pedestrians.”*
- Non-Specific: *“I thought I was going to be able to comment on a specific intersection issue.”*

35% of the total comments received related to Behavior and Education or Design and Maintenance, while 19% of responses were related to Behavior and Education, and 10% were considered non-specific.



The full free responses can be found at the end of this document.

■ Design/ Maintenance ■ Enforcement
■ Behavior/ Education ■ Non-Specific




Demographics

Age

Respondents were asked to share their age, with 196 responses received. Most of the respondents, 64%, reported being above the age of 50, with 50-59 being the largest age group, at 28% of respondents.

The reported age of respondents was then compared to US Census data related to the age of residents of Marion County. As shown in the chart below, younger people, those under 29 years old, were significantly underrepresented, while the views of people in their middle age, between 40 and 69, were overrepresented.




Source: ACS 2019

Full survey results, including the complete responses to the free response prompts, are available at the end of this document.



Race & Ethnicity

To understand how the reported race and ethnicity of respondents matches the demographics of the county, US Census data were reviewed. 169 total respondents chose to answer this question while 28 chose to skip this question, including 22 who elected “I prefer not to answer.” When compared to the total population, those who identify as Black or Hispanic were underrepresented, while people who identified as White Alone were slightly overrepresented.




Source: US Census 2020 QuickFacts



Home Zip Code



Respondents were asked to share their home zip code to better understand how that may shape their view of transportation safety. 193 total respondents submitted a response. The zip codes comprising the City of Ocala and its immediate vicinity made up most responses. A small number of responses were also received from each county adjacent to Marion County. The results of this question are shown in the map below.





Interactive Comment Map

At the same time as the online survey, an interactive map was made available for residents and visitors to comment on. The map provides users with the ability to comment on any road anywhere in the county, offering concerns about existing designs. It also allows other users to comment on and to “like” or “dislike” existing comments. 33 initial comments were received, with 9 of those comments being responses, likes, or dislikes.





Full Survey Results

1. Have you ever been involved in a traffic crash?

Answered: 196; Skipped: 1

Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	77%	150
No	23%	46

2. Has someone you know been seriously injured or killed in a traffic crash?

Answered: 195; Skipped: 2

Answer Choices	Responses	Count
Yes	64%	124
No	36%	71



3. How much do you think the following factors contribute to fatal and serious injury traffic crashes in our community? (Scale of 1 – 10, where 1 is “Not at All” and 10 is “Very Much”)

Answered: 197; Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Weighted Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Design of streets and intersections	6.5	10	5	19	10	28	17	31	24	14	36
Distraction/inattention while driving (e.g., texting and driving)	9.1	3	0	1	0	4	3	5	34	36	109
Alcohol, drug, or other impairment	8.3	4	1	3	2	11	10	16	39	31	79
Lack of knowledge /education about traffic safety and laws	6.6	4	8	14	12	30	24	22	28	14	38
Lack of enforcement	6.6	13	12	7	9	32	13	26	23	13	47
Drivers not following the law	8.6	3	0	3	2	5	9	25	24	38	86
Walkers and bicyclists not following the law	6.6	10	8	15	8	32	16	17	34	24	32
Roads are too dark, not enough street lighting	6.7	3	9	13	16	29	18	20	30	14	43
Motorists driving too fast, over the speed limit	8.5	4	2	1	2	8	10	20	28	29	92
Aggressive driving behaviors (e.g., following too close or changing lanes without signaling)	8.7	3	0	4	3	6	8	15	19	39	99
Too many vehicles/traffic congestion	7.3	4	4	9	8	26	16	29	33	13	54
Other, see 35 responses below.											



Other Responses
Tailgating, racing
Safe bike ing and walking sidewalks and paved paths would reduce traffic congestion. I would ride my bike to work, but there is no safe route.
For impairment, age of drivers needs to have its own category, not bulked in with booze and drugs.
Intentional run downs
Hello, cyclist here 🙋 drivers in Ocala are the worst.
Sidewalks, sidewalks, sidewalks... so needed everywhere
Need to lower speed limit on 441 from 326 to split at 329. 65 is too fast
2 lane roads should be designed with wider shoulders for safety or maybe bike lanes if feasible.
Poor design/implementation of traffic control devices
Officers staking out low speed areas in retirement communities. Get out on the public road ways with lots more cars.
For goodness sake, PLEASE enforce the speed limits
Motorists fall sleep because long wait time before traffic move. Construction workers and equipment roads work doesn't have safety measures in place and clearly marked or far enough warning for drivers. Police officers do an excellent in keeping unsafe areas safe.
lack of safe areas for people to walk or use bicycle
People are selfish and do not consider others.
I just moved to Ocala and the police 🚔 can't be everywhere, install cameras, start giving out fines. There is no walkways or bike 🚲 line's.
Not clear intersection or road signage
Reactionary planning lacks vision and follows poor choices. We are always fixing, not anticipating. There is little room for all these drivers who can't maintain a lane, point and drive as if wearing blinders. A media campaign filming bad drivers and blasted might help. More traffic cops, as well.
Poor road conditions causing the need to try to avoid potholes resulting in bad driving by people (on the wrong side of the road often) NE 42nd Place
To much growth to fast. Road system not able to handle growth. Stop approving growth until road system is brought up tp a reasonable standard to handle traffic. Really simple fix. Safety before dollars.
poor signal timings contribute to impatience by drivers and need to be addressed and pick better company to do studies and recommendations that just big national company that has a poor reputation.
Intersection at SW 66th St and SW 27th Ave. SW 27th Ave is way to congested year around. The back up on SW 27th Ave is getting longer and longer. SW 27th Ave needs expanded from SW 42nd Street to SW Co Hwy 484. Keep in mind that this gets even worse during times when 75 Hwy is backed up, which is happening more and more.
484 and 75 NEEDS TO BE REWORKED! 484 is a disaster and too many people crashing or like the 17 year old girl, killed. Thanks to Dollar Tree, WAY TO MUCH traffic now.



Other Responses
We need to start addressing traffic congestion aggressive driving and other fatality contributions
Obstruction of view at enter sections.
There is a dire need to educate drivers of Marion County. Notifications about litter on tv etc. are telling the public information they already know but proper lane usage on multi lane highways is something that many don't know. It is frustrating for people that have places to go only to be held up by people that impede the traffic flow.
Too many 18 wheelers in left lanes. RV drivers and pulling trailers , should be more in slow lanes . People who drive under speed limit in left lane impeding flow of traffic.
Double lefts at an intersection should be designed as a round about. Let go of the stigma and imbrace new design standards
People on the roadways not paying attention, being distracted, not being stopped and punished for lack of driving atte speeders, and traffic-law breakersntion on the road.
Uninsured motorist
More roads could eliminate congestion when drivers have greater choices with alternative routes
Unclear traffic patterns
Our leadership in this county has done a very poor job of managing infrastructure. There are way too many projects being approved without prior traffic studies. We have a huge influx of people to this area but improvements have not been made fast enough to accomodate the growth. Traffic has become an absolute nightmare. No wonder there are so many accidents.
Older people going 30 mph in the left and middle lane on hwy 200. Causing bottle necks and cars having to pass on the right. I see this every day! Ticket the people sitting in the left lane going below the speed limit
Drivers fail to realize key features (turn signals, headlights, ect) are on the vehicle as a form of communication. Failure to communicate with turn signals is the biggest issue I see. Secondly, there are drivers that drive the speed limit but aggressive drivers are tailgating and flashing lights for the lawful driver to move out of the way or drive faster. Every driver should have to go through refresher (non-fee) course. Finally, with the increase of drivers on the streets, I'm surprised adequate sidewalks, lighting, bicycle lanes are not available. Time to keep up with infrastructure - you can't want a community to grow (jobs and people) but ignore the infrastructure needs that should come with growth. Planners needs to know how to design and elected officials need to listen to the needs. This meeting is overdue but, nevertheless, I commend Commissioner Stone and others for this call of action. Moving forward a proactive SMART approach is needed.
SPEED AND HEAVILY CONGESTED ROADWAYS EQUAL ACCIDENTS.



4. What do you think would be most effective in reducing fatal and serious injury traffic crashes in our community? (Where 1 is “Least Effective” and 10 is “Most Effective”)

Answered: 197; Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Weighted Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Improved roadway and intersection lighting	6.7	6	12	8	13	28	16	29	25	14	40
Reducing speed limits	4.9	23	7	26	27	45	17	18	12	6	13
Separated bike lanes and paths	7.5	5	6	4	6	28	13	20	26	21	67
More sidewalks	7.4	4	7	2	9	28	18	18	29	24	55
More protected (signalized) pedestrian crossings	7.1	5	10	4	10	27	22	18	30	14	55
Education of safe behavior	7.0	7	11	11	15	22	13	15	23	19	59
Enforcement of unsafe behavior (i.e., speeding tickets)	8.0	6	3	5	4	18	9	21	22	20	87
Better designed streets and intersections	7.4	5	4	7	7	32	21	16	16	24	64
Wider paved shoulders on roadways	7.3	6	5	5	8	26	23	26	17	22	59
Roadside design improvements, e.g., shoulders, rumble strips, barriers, signage, etc.	7.4	3	5	3	11	22	22	28	26	25	52
Reducing the number of travel lanes	3.3	62	19	29	16	39	13	13	1	1	1
Increasing the number of travel lanes	6.4	13	12	6	9	27	20	33	27	15	32
Innovative intersection treatments (i.e., roundabouts, diverging diamonds, median U-turns, etc.)	6.1	21	11	16	9	21	19	27	18	12	40
Other, see 28 responses below.											



Other Responses
Roundabouts work great to slow traffic down and keep traffic flowing smoothly through intersections
Incorporate bike lanes & sidewalks countywide not just city limits.
We need more bike lanes and separate bike paths. Crazy that we live in this climate and there isn't better infrastructure for cycling
With the growth in Marion County we will see many more deaths without major changes.
No one knows how to use the current roundabouts correctly as it is.
City and county out grew the current traffic's laws
create more public transport options such as busses, walkable areas, safe places to ride bikes, passenger trains to reduce traffic
No round about, they are confusing. Install turning lanes. More traffic police 🚔 officers.
The shared middle turn-lanes on our major highways is a major hazard. When you have cars coming from opposite directions that have to turn at the same place using the same lane while merging out of the flow of traffic is dangerous.
Run a looper trolley on 200 from 484 to the Downtown Square and back with stops at major shopping centers. Reduce traffic.
Law enforcement needs to enforce lane changes without signaling; every 5 years after the age of 70 drivers be tested on driving skills and roadway courtesy!
better signal timings, especially for main heavily traveled state and County roadways as many intersections you have it backwards and let side ride have too much time and then traffic backs up on main roads making drivers impatient and thus be more aggressive in their driving.
Motorcycle helmet law for everyone.
Head lights required at all times on all vehicles.
NO ROUNDABOUTS! What is a diverging diamond?
I am not a supportive of round abouts.
Education
Innovative intersection treatments only if education is provided on how to use them. The new roundabout at the SW Ocala Veterans Hospital is a perfect concept for the area, but many drivers currently do not use it properly.
traffic calming through measures other than speed limit reductions and enforcement (i.e. visual or physical roadway treatments to encourage slower speeds)
embrace new designs
speed tables are effective in decreasing speeding
Speed radars to keep a closer eye on traffic and those not abiding by the speed limits would help officers stop unruly trafficker's.
More specific traffic Violation tickets.
Designated trucking routes (except local delivery), more overpasses and service roads



Other Responses

I'm not so sure that more crosswalks would be helpful without education and enforcement. You can see people on a daily basis walk a few feet past a crosswalk signal and walk out into traffic. SW 27th Ave & SW 10th St can be one of the worst with the apartment complexes being right there.

Ticketing slow driving people

I think the biggest thing to help is enforcement of speed laws! Motorists drive too fast in many areas of the county and they do so because they know they will not be caught.

Speed traps are not problem solvers to this issue. Giving more tickets that most citizens cannot afford should be the final item to address. However, giving out warning tickets should be enforced.

ROAD DESIGNE AND TECHNOLOGY CAN IMPROVE SAFETY.



5. How much do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Where 1 is “Strongly Disagree” and 10 is “Strongly Agree”)

Answered: 197; Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Weighted Average	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Streets should be designed to be safe for all people of all ages and abilities, regardless of chosen transportation mode.	8.6	2	5	3	1	13	9	12	16	22	114
It is unacceptable for anyone to be killed or seriously injured while traveling on streets in our community.	8.8	4	4	3	0	12	4	10	12	15	131
Deaths and serious injuries while traveling in our community are preventable.	8.2	4	2	1	6	19	6	26	25	21	86
Human life should always take priority over moving motor vehicles faster.	9.0	3	2	2	1	7	3	9	18	19	131



6. The primary goal of the Commitment to Zero Safety Action Plan is to eliminate all traffic-related deaths and serious injuries countywide. Which of the following statements best describes your perspective of this goal?

Answered: 196; Skipped: 1

Answer Choices	%	Number of Responses
The goal is achievable, and we should do everything we can now to realize it.	35%	69
The goal is achievable, but it should be pursued over time.	15%	30
The goal is admirable and should be pursued through a determined effort, but it is unlikely to be achieved.	41%	81
The goal is admirable, but it is unachievable.	8%	15



7. Do you have any further comments on how to improve transportation safety and reduce the number of traffic-related deaths and serious injuries in Marion County?

Answered: 79; Skipped: 118

Tag	Count
Design/ Maintenance	28
Enforcement	27
Behavior/ Education	16
Irrelevant	8

Other Responses
Vehicle inspection. Too many vehicles on the road with lights that don't work, no tags, pulling trailers with no fenders that throw debris from the road into others. Trailers with broken lights and on and on
Clear road debris from bike lanes on a schedule. Baseline road bike lanes are FULL of dangerous goass, car parts, random car parts, metals...
Design of complete streets aids in all the goals stated.
As long as people drive distracted, use their phones while driving and make aggressive and dangerous maneuvers to save seconds of their time, deaths and serious injuries will occur.
Right around 2020 se 17 street. There is an accident almost monthly. Surely something could be done
Reflectors on the shoulders/bike lanes to keep drivers aware that they are "off" the travel lanes. I see many drivers that hug or drive across the bike lane/shoulders.
Seriously, sometimes Darwin wins.
Make the community aware that bicyclists are allowed 3 ft and that needs to be enforced.
I'm a road cyclist. I ride on roads in Marion county 2-6 times a week. Bike lanes are very limited in Marion county requiring me to ride on the road with drivers often not giving me 3 feet of clearance when passing and often times not passing in proper areas (not following road markings for allowed passing areas) and bike lanes are always very littered and dangerous to cyclists. Cyclists have to avoid debris on right side of bike lanes and attempt to not swerve into the road way in front of traffic. The road debris can cause a flat tire and hurt someone and the car driving up from behind us can hurt someone. It's very scary.
Put in left turn lights at several intersections that need them. Push for the extension of the Greenway Trail going west to connect with the Dunnellon Trail.
In this day and age I regrettable don't have any suggestions. I can only say good luck and thank you for caring.
Make Marion county a cycling Mecca



Other Responses

Safer methods of travel for bicycles. More bike lanes, wider bike lanes, and cross walks in the county.

The relief on some of our major road ways that are only 2 lanes and need to be 4 ie. 66th ave. and those that are 2 and need to be 4 (ie. 484, especially from coming from the west to the east all the way up to 75. This gets backed up for over an hour in the mornings and is only a 2.5 mile stretch. Having a southern route down through Marion Oaks to highway 44 will allow better traffic flow and will also allow our first responders to move from exit 341 to exit 329 much faster and will allow that congestion to release.

More tickets for aggressive driving, road rage, and excessive speeding

Educate drivers on how to safely pass cyclists and pedestrians

Marketing our community as bicycle friendly as we move closer and closer to becoming bicycle friendly. This will keep our focus on the strategy and start to effect the way the average local responds to cyclists and pedestrians etc.

75 is death trap. Idk solution. More education. Dont pass on right laws?

Improve roadways lane counts (new or wider roadways) to meet volume demands, stop adding inadequate roundabouts... should be at least 100 ft between adjoining road exit/entry points. Jug-handle type intersections to deal with left/u-turn traffic more safely.

I thought I was going to be able to comment on a specific intersection issue.

Work to make streets go where people need to go! Example: how can you go N-S west of I-75 without using I-75 and contributing to that mess?


Have better "Pedestrian Crossings" with blinking lights. Look at what other communities and college campus are doing.

enforcement of existing laws would go a long way to improvement.

Enforce the traffic laws

Everyone being held accountable.

I feel that most of the traffic problems can be prevented starting with more enforcement of the present road signals and signs.

Add more police  officers back on the streets

Get rid of stroads (high speed streets with multiple entrances/exits - the cause of many fatal crashes). High speed streets should be only used to get from one place to another, and only low speed roads should be used to enter and exit businesses and houses. Increase the availability and convenience of public transport, walking, and safe bicycle lanes to reduce traffic on the roads. Use more mixed use development to create smaller walkable communities that you don't need to drive to get to. To reduce car fatalities we need to get away from car dependency (which will not be easy since America was designed around cars but it IS achievable).

Enforce the current laws regarding cellular devices and speed limits. Perhaps it is also time for red light cameras. Bars must stop serving alcohol beyond two drinks unless you can prove a dd or Uber. Pedestrians and cyclists must also be taught to obey traffic laws.



Other Responses

We need a crosswalk in Ocala on SR 40 between 27th Ave and MLK. Pedestrians need a safe way to cross. It's a mile between those two intersections. It is not okay to expect the residents on foot to travel farther in such an extreme way compared to go to travel by car.

To many aggressive drivers, to many semi's trucks on the main roads. You have a nightmare to address.

Slow the traffic down and pick them up! Give speeding tickets out!
Remove trees, signs, shrubs that hinder the visibility when pulling out of driveways and intersections. I appreciate all law enforcement does for the community. I don't know if they are allowed but put up cameras that give out the speeding tickets, Cedar Rapids Iowa has them as you go through there city, seems that most people realize it and do go the speed limit. We have experienced people driving 70 and above on hey 200 and 60th. It's ridiculous. Majority of drivers do not stop at stop signs.

I think they should remove or properly trim all bushes and trees that are within 100 yards of stop signs and traffic light. There are several areas that this needs to be done

Setting up speed-traps on 4-lane roads that have a speed limit of 35 is not the answer. Making speed limits more appropriate for the number of lanes and amount of traffic would improve the traffic flow. Speeding enforcement should be targeted to areas that have a higher speed limit (50 or higher) as the crashes of speeds higher than that are more serious. Someone going 40 in a 30 zone isn't the problem. We also need better pedestrian crossing points on our busier highways (441, 301, 200 and 40)

Talk to the County Commissioners about proper traffic planning when approving development, and make them drive to work on 2-lane SW 20th St behind CF, or 2-lane SW 66th St, where they opened 49th Ave w/o ensuring the the electric poles were reset and a traffic light installed. Developments just beginning and bottlenecks already. Ray Charles could have seen this. Good luck.

Stop approving multiple subdivisions and putting more vehicles on the roads. If you don't have the infrastructure, don't build!!!

Have retesting for ALL ages of drivers every 10 years

Make the current road system better, repairs the roads. More traffic lights and enforcement of laws.

Until we remove the human factor (fully autonomous vehicles), Vision Zero is likely unattainable, but we should do all we can to move in that direction. Serious injuries and fatalities on our roadways devastate tens of thousands of families every year. It's unacceptable. The safety of our emergency response partners who respond to traffic incidents should also be a top priority.

Drivers need to be held accountable for reckless driving; i.e. not using signals, swerving in and out of traffic, passing in non-passing lanes etc.

Devote more funding towards safety-related improvements. This includes redesign of roadways with more ped/bike users, such as Maricamp Rd in Silver Springs Shores.

Aggressive enforcement of driving laws; auto inspections; driver re-testing and re-education in courtesy at age 70.



Other Responses
More policing of the roadways. Every single day we see speeding, improper lane change, aggressive driving, Driving used to be a pleasure, but those who do not obey the law and only think of self have ruined it.
more cops writing tickets for running red lights and speeding well over the posted limit, not stopping at redlights while making turns, more right turn lanes as aggressive drives almost run up your rear end if you turn off a major road when no turn lane, more directional left median openings as people don't know how to navigate a full median opening, address really poor signal timings throughout the County.
Create passing lanes on CR 314 & CR 316
More engagement from the public. I personally contacted FDOT because i was fed up with an intersection that my family and friends use daily. This intersection was deemed unsafe after FDOT did a study and will be putting in a traffic light.
Too many businesses on 200 causing delays, accidents etc. needs to be widened and business need to be built off 200 back from the main roadway. Example, Chick Fil A. Major traffic jams daily because of one business....unacceptable.
Push alcohol/drug influence automated testing to start vehicles.
More accessible community workshops via local libraries, schools, community centers, parks, churches, and media outlets.
More lighting on all roads.
More enforcement and increased citation penalties for driving infractions directly related to dangerous driving including speeding, improper lane changes, texting while driving, running/pushing lights, etc.
Traffic lights at known accident sites regardless of interfering with traffic flow. Safety first.
Education of lane usage. Ticket drivers driving slow and impeding traffic in the far left lane or lanes
More consideration should be taken when approving new businesses. Example: Liberty Middle School and the intersections around it are a real mess during school drop off and pickup. I fear everyday that a child will be hit by a vehicle. The amount of walkers if very high. The new 7-Eleven coming to that corner is going to make matters worse.
Enforce speeding to the actual speed limit and penalize DUI's much more severely
A thorough study of crash reports to determine the issues causing the accidents to better know how to fix the problem. Example, if speed is a major factor, posting lower speed limits won't fix the problem, but more funding to hire more law enforcement would. Many of the problems we see are human error problems not necessarily the roadway in my opinion.
Appropriate design and construction is extremely important - but many of the serious crashes are due to inappropriate driving. Education, awareness, and enforcement are also important.
I don't know how reduce transportation safety and traffic because most accidents or near misses I have seen are from distracted driving. Enforcement of the laws when an accident happens is important. I have watched officers witness at best "reckless driving" but they drove by offenders.
Yes, Get Officers out of their honey holes and be more visable on long stretches through the county. Teenagers need more mandatory classes and stop giving 16 year olds a license . Get



Other Responses

Judges to stop letting DUI's and people texting go. Use heavier fines the first time and no less than 30 days the first time and lose license for a year the first time. Take a minor's license away until 25 years old. Police Officers are out there doing their job and Judges are letting offenders go to keep their docket cleaned up. Put out more effective materials about death statistics and overall effects of the community, show real pictures while not showing faces or names of those who caused a death or died. Don't be so optimistic and nice with materials, show the reality, talk the reality.

install better lighting - do the hard work of right of way acquisition and update to roundabouts at several intersections

Enforcement of existing laws is critical. If there are no consequences, the behaviors will be repeated.

A person should have to retake the driver's test and questionnaire test every 5 years. Since we have so many people moving to Marion County, they should also be required to take the driver & questionnaire test prior to receiving a Florida DL. If they don't pass, they should have their driving privileges revoke while in Florida.

Most stop lines are accurate with the road visibility

Do not lead this effort into mandating autonomous vehicles or mandating pedestrian beacons.

Emphasize more on education at the middle school and high school levels about safety. More lighting at major intersections, especially downtown areas and other busy areas with people and bicycles and cars all interacting. Lobby Tallahassee and the Governor to better fund police and fire. They are way underfunded and not appreciated.

As long as drivers are paying attention to the road at all time while driving, it can be realized that no one be killed on the roads, However, as I have noticed, not being able to drive myself, there are many people on the road too busy looking at their phone, texting or calling through Bluetooth/smart devices and speeding drivers. It is, unfortunately, a long way away for SOME drivers.

I live on 14th/Bonnie Heath and 24 hours a day people are driving like maniacs on this road and I've never seen any of them pulled over. My daughter was about killed the other day by someone going at least 80 then skidded into a big circle at the light where she was sitting, 36th and Bonnie Heath. I have had my mailbox taken out at 5 a.m. by someone flying down the road. I feel like law enforcement is never on this road!

stop loading fl road with illegals and unassured motorists and texting and driving and drunk driving and drugs on old or is medically handicapped people drive to streets.

Better lighting. Seems a great majority of accidents happen on poorly lit roads and intersections. Fining drivers is a definite deterrent but equally necessary is fining pedestrians and cyclists who's action including no signal ineffective lighting on bikes and clothing and pedestrians sense of right of way entitlement often cause catastrophic and fatal accidents.

The education of traffic safety should begin at early ages, certainly age & content appropriate.

Until major changes happen with the way leadership handles growth in this area, traffic accidents and deaths are not likely to significantly decrease. I am third generation Marion County. I have definitely seen some changes.



Other Responses

Local and state government MUST invest more in law enforcement. Our law enforcement agencies are understaffed and justifiably must focus on more critical crimes. Additional staffing would enable more enforcement which could change behaviors. Education is not going to change behaviors. Our citizens fully understand they are not making good choices.

I'm happy to hear that there is this committee. I believe the main problem is distracted driving. we've all seen the cars next to us looking on their cellphones and driving. That will never stop. I've read a lot about pedestrian being struck and killed and it seem like the excuse was it was dark and they were wearing dark clothing. If it's dark than the car must of had their lights on. If you are actually paying attention and going with the speed limit you most likely won't hit anybody.

Do something about the light timings being off and the slow drivers blocking the left 2 lanes on w hwy 200. Below the speed limit is just as deadly

The motorists who need to adhere to this message will fall through the cracks. This information needs to be shared in a variety of ways including school aged who ride with adults who drive too fast, impaired, etc. They can impact change similar to how seat belt awareness was rolled out. My sister who was a toddler at the time had learned about seatbelts in daycare and would refuse to ride or make a lot of noise until she saw and heard your seatbelt click in place. This is serious and if we don't address it to the entire community, more fatalities will occur.

This is a lofty goal to achieve.

Zero accidents in a manufacturing environment has been proven doable. It requires consistent leadership and accountability, and cultural and behavioral change.

To me, it really boils down to enforcement. We see people running red lights (blatantly), stop signs and ignoring the stop bar at intersections and plowing through crosswalks without thinking "there could be a pedestrian crossing." How many of us see LEOs staring at their laptops while driving down the road or parked in a median. They are just as distracted from doing their job as people are texting and driving. LEOs should be setting the example instead of being so egotistical.

Lighting needs to be looked into for sure in the County. A lot of dark roadways. Reducing speed limits does no good it will not stop people from speeding at all. More lanes are needed as the community continues to grow rapidly!



8. What is your age?

Answered: 196; Skipped:1

Answer Choices	%	Total Responses
Under 15	0%	0
15-19	1%	1
20-29	1%	1
30 - 39	17%	34
40 - 49	17%	34
50 - 59	28%	55
60 - 69	23%	45
70 - 79	12%	23
80 - 89	2%	3
90 or Older	0%	0

9. What is your race/ethnicity?

Answered: 191; Skipped:6


Answer Choices	%	Total Responses
White	76%	146
Black or African American	6%	12
Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish	3%	6
Asian	1%	2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5%	1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.0%	0
Other	1%	2
I prefer not to answer	12%	22



10. What is your home Zip Code?

Answered 192; Skipped:5

Zip Code	Number of Responses
34471	31
34476	18
34480	18
34470	13
34472	12
34474	12
34481	12
34482	10
34491	9
34479	8
34420	7
34432	7
32664	6
34473	4
34475	4
32162	2
32779	2
32071	1
32113	1
32134	1
32177	1
32179	1
32667	1
32668	1
32669	1
32681	1
33543	1
34431	1
34434	1
34442	1
34453	1
34478	1
37741	1
34471	1
34481	1





Interactive Map Comments

Map Comments	Comment Likes	Comment Dislikes
Speed	0	0
The left hand turn lanes to turn SW on SR200 are too short and insufficient. These should be isolated to only be turn lanes all the way back to the shopping center entrance. Barriers should be placed to disallow any vehicles from SR200 to turn left into the bank.	0	1
Highway 200 should be no more than 45 mph.	4	1
I Agree	-	-
I Disagree	-	-
The speed limit should not be any slower than 55 mph.	-	-
This intersection needs safety for pedestrians crossing. Focal point of downtown but not very accessible to people.	1	0
The part of this roadway in McIntosh needs slower speed limit. Cars blast through downtown at high speeds.	0	0
This intersection has a high number of accidents. Even though this is a "newer" intersection, it should have been designed as a round about. There is enough right of way and the high incident rate at this location would warrant this intersection being redesigned. Additionally, it would move traffic through the intersection faster which would help rush hour commutes for residents.	1	2
I Disagree, as most people still do not yield in traffic circles and traffic circles themselves are annoying. They also make it very difficult to see traffic when landscaping is added.	-	-
The entire sections from Baseline to Water road needs redone. It is unsafe and cannot accomodate the new and proposed growth in this area safely. The suicide lane needs removed!! I live in this area and see cars using it as a passing lane! It is an inforcment issue, however the police have bigger fish to fry. Directionalize the area. Lighting is necessary as well as sidewalks.	1	0
Numberous children are forced to walk in the streets to access the Communtiy Center. Put in sidewalks. I know your thinking about government housing in the area. The infastructure is not currently here to accomodate that type of development.	0	1
The Sams Club gas station area backs up on to SR 200. I have witnessed this on multiple locations. People stop on the side street or at the entrance which prevents cars from behind from entering the parking lot. Make pumps pump faster to move more vehicles and have the employee that stands at the pumps move people away from the entrance. This was a horrible design and should NEVER have been approved.	1	0
Finish this extension	2	0



Map Comments	Comment Likes	Comment Dislikes
If you are from out of state, this area is a night mare. A round about needs installed at this location. It is very unsafe for individuals coming off SE Babb Rd trying to get on S 441. I accidentally went the wrong way on 301!!!! Please redo this intersection.	2	0
I Disagree, traffic circles are completely unnecessary and very annoying. Only stop signs and traffic lights should be used at an intersection.	-	-
Remove drop curb at this location. It should not have been installed according to design standards. It caused cars to almost stop and I have witnessed 2 fender benders due to the valley gutter. One at the Home Depot entrance and the other at the Lowes entrance.	0	1
Complete SW 43rd St Road and then provide access to sams from either SW 40th or the side parcel	0	0
I-75 NB EXIT 358 HWY 326 WEST TURN GREEN LIGHT IS EXCESSIVELY LONG FOR THE LACK OF VEHICLES EXITING. THIS IS CAUSING WEST BOUND HWY 326 TO QUICKLY BACK UP. PLEASE SHORTEN THIS GREEN LIGHT!!!	2	0
I Agree. WESTBOUND TRAFFIC ON HWY 326 RESULTS IN EXCESSIVE TRAFFIC BACK UP THROUGHOUT MANY HOURS OF THE DAY.	-	-
My aunt had 2 cars totaled at this intersection by people going above the speed limit who ran red lights.	1	0
Many accidents at the intersection of SR200 & CR484. How many people have to die before something is done?	1	0
People drive WAY TO FAST on SR200 between CR484 and the Citrus County line. The existing lanes are too narrow.	2	0
I Agree	-	-
Easy Street speed limit is too high. It is 40 mph. Curves and high speeds not safe.	0	2
The speed limit should be increased to 45 mph.	-	-
The west side of this intersection could use a right turn lane to alleviate traffic back ups in the morning.	1	0
The left turn lanes on 40 to turn onto the I-75 entrance ramps are too short. Only 5 or 6 cars can fit in them and then the remaining vehicles stack up in the through lanes causing congestion.	2	0
I Agree	-	-
SW 66th Street at SR 200 needs a right turn lane installed. As soon as a vehicle is stopped at the light that wants to turn left or go straight when the light turns green all the other vehicles stack up behind it. There is no room to make a right turn on red and this creates a lot of back up especially during rush hour.	1	0
2/18/22 took out my fencing hit and run not the first time has happened 10 times since 2016. Have replaced mail box 4 times as well. Very dangerous intersection. Marion County will not do anything until 11 people die....yup that your county working hard to protect...	1	0




Map Comments	Comment Likes	Comment Dislikes
Huge dip in the road at the stop sign needs repaired causes accidents 2/18/22 and beyond.	0	0
Marion County needs to trim the trees so people can see the stop signs too many wrecks into homeowners yards.	1	0
Major speeding on this road and nobody cares.	0	0
Narrow roadway, no sidewalks or designated waiting area for bus users. No crosswalk at bus stops. People get off the bus and walk behind and out into traffic to cross the street and oncoming traffic cannot see them.	0	1
No sidewalks. No crosswalks. Heavily used cyclist and pedestrian area.	1	0
This intersection needs a traffic light bad. There is too much confusion with the left turn lane at a 4 way stop.	0	0
This overpass is overwhelmed with the amount of traffic flowing from all directions. Traffic seems to be backed up most of the time.	0	0
Palm Cay 800 residences have only one access. Entering westbound from Ocala requires making a left turn across three lanes of traffic on FL 200. Egress from Palm Cay is only eastbound, complicated by westbound traffic making a U-turn in the same area, confusing Palm Cay egress traffic on who has right-of-way. Egress from Palm Cay to go westbound requires right turn onto FL 200 eastbound and then immediately crossing three lanes of traffic to access left-turn lane at traffic light at Pine Run entrance to make a U-turn to go westbound on FL 200. This entire situation creates conditions for a serious accident just waiting to happen.	0	0
SW 17 is like a landing strip, wide open, high speeds, no shoulders for bicycling or sidewalks.	0	0



An Action Plan >>> for Safer Streets in Ocala Marion

Appendix E Best Practice Review

November 2022





Introduction

The purpose of this best practice review is to evaluate the approaches that selected advocacy groups and agencies across all levels of government are taking to attain safe streets for all roadway users. Lessons learned from real-world national, statewide, and local examples can be applied to Commitment to Zero, ensuring that the TPO’s program is on the forefront of safety planning.


National Best Practices

Highway Safety Improvement Program

According to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) is a core Federal-aid program with the purpose to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads, including non-State-owned roads and roads on tribal land. The HSIP requires a data-driven, strategic approach to improving highway safety on all public roads with a focus on safety performance.

The program consists of four main components:

1. Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP)
2. Railway-Highway Crossing Program (RHCP)
3. High-Risk Rural Roads Program (HRRR)
4. Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)



Source: Federal Highway Administration



Strategic Highway Safety Plan Program

The SHSP was originally created under the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) which helped establish HSIP as a core federal program. This has since evolved into the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act which sustains the HSIP as a core federal-aid program. The SHSP is developed and maintained by each state department of transportation and is intended to create data-driven, coordinated plans that provide the framework for the reduction of traffic-related fatalities on all public roadways in the state.

The SHSP (the Plan) serves as the building blocks to state, local, and tribal safety plans. Conversely, the Plan must also look to the results from municipalities, tribal, and state governments' safety planning outcomes. The Plan itself consists of data-driven problem identification. All data are included to identify highway safety problems and potential areas for safety improvement on all public roads.

According to the FHWA, states shall develop the SHSP in consultation with the following stakeholders identified in 23 U.S.C. 148(a)(11)(A):

- A highway safety representative of the Governor of the state;
- Regional transportation planning organizations and metropolitan planning organizations;
- Representatives of major modes of transportation;
- State and local traffic enforcement officials;
- A highway-rail grade crossing safety representative of the Governor of the State;
- Representatives conducting a motor carrier safety program under certain sections of Title 49;
- Motor vehicle administration agencies;
- County transportation officials;
- State representatives of nonmotorized users; and
- Other major Federal, State, tribal, and local safety stakeholders

The Federal Highway Administration provides a guidebook to the states that highlights best practices for the development, implementation, and evaluation of their SHSPs. Included in the book is a checklist for the development and implementation of:

- ✓ Identify one or more SHSP Champion.
- ✓ Keep SHSP leaders engaged and actively involved.
- ✓ Establish an organizational structure to oversee the SHSP process.
- ✓ Involve organizations representing engineering, education, enforcement, and EMS in developing the SHSP.
- ✓ Identify both traditional and nontraditional safety partners and enlist their support in the SHSP effort.
- ✓ Establish strategies to support ongoing collaborative efforts.
- ✓ Establish regular communication with safety partners.

The guide suggests that states partner with data improvement programs such as the Crash and Roadway Data Improvement Program, the Roadway Data Improvement Program, and the National Highway Transportation Safety Administration’s traffic records assessments. These programs ensure the accuracy and timeliness of transportation data and are designed to assess the strengths and weaknesses of State safety data systems.

Additionally, the guidebook highlights the need for emphasis areas and developing goals and objectives for these focuses. The State of Texas applied these emphasis areas in this way based on prevalent traffic safety issues. An example of a goal and objective for an emphasis area is:

- **Emphasis Area:** Lane and Roadway Departure
 - **Goal:** Reduce the occurrence and consequence of leaving the lane/roadway.
 - **Objective:** By 2025, reduce the number of fatalities attributed to vehicles leaving the roadway by 15 percent from their 2020 level.



Source: Texas A&M Transportation Institute

Railway-Highway Grade Crossing Program

Another component of the HSIP is the Railway-Highway Grade Crossing Program. This program has the goal of reducing the number of fatalities and injuries surrounding railway grade crossings by eliminating hazards that may be present in these areas (e.g., lack of protective devices or improper markings).



All public crossings including roadways, bike trails, and pedestrian paths are eligible under this program. To prevent deaths, this program targets the installation of safety improvements such as flashing lights, audible warnings, passive treatments (signage, pavement markings, etc.), flashing signal improvements, and channelization.

High-Risk Rural Roads

The HRRR was established to aside funds for construction on various other operational improvements to any roadway functionally classified as a rural major collector, rural minor collector, or rural local road with significant safety risks, as identified by the State Strategic Highway Safety Plan. The FAST Act and Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL) requires a state to obligate a defined share of funds to the HRRR should the statewide fatality rate increase during a two-year period.

FHWA has identified key practices for implementing the HRRR program. These practices broadly address crash data collection/analysis/ use, project selection, local agency coordination, and HRRRP administration and policies. Regarding crash data, FHWA has recognized several states for using effective alternatives to data collection in the absence of a comprehensive statewide crash and roadway data system. These approaches include estimating exposure data using:

- Lane miles of roadway.
- Per capita data, including registered vehicles and/or licensed drivers.
- National data systems such as the Highway Performance Monitoring System and the FHWA's Highway Statistics.
- Alternate analyses, including basic comparisons of State vs. local fatalities and incapacitating injuries.
- Projected growth patterns identified by Metropolitan Planning Organizations, city/county planning organizations, and growth management organizations. This can help identify roads likely to have an increase in fatalities and incapacitating injuries.



Source: FHWA Implementing the High Risk Rural Roads Program

Project selection was also highlighted by FHWA as being an area to apply innovative processes. States have aligned project selection to match existing SHSP strategies and traffic safety



emphasis areas, which provides synergy for both initiatives. Moreover, in some states, the DOT has provided data to local agencies and given locals the authority to select projects based on their own priorities, thus providing local government partners a significant incentive to become involved. Additionally, states have given priority to local-level HRRRP projects that show a tie to other State safety programs.

The third and fourth emphasis areas for best practices were local agency coordination and HRRP administration and policies. State practice related to coordination with local agencies has taken the form of providing support to local government agencies' staffs as well as HRRRP-specific training and technical workshops on low-cost safety improvements and Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) processes. On the administration and policy side, the use of public forces for labor and bulk materials purchases has allowed States to effectively "multiply" the HRRRP funds and on-call contracts have decreased the amount of time that elapses between project selection and completion. Moreover, some states have augmented DOT staffing with outside resources for HRRRP data analysis, problem identification, project selection, and administration.

ITE Vision Zero

The Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) is dedicated to eliminating traffic-related deaths and injuries through its Vision Zero program. ITE's Vision Zero is framed around rethinking roadway design and target speed, human behavior, existing and advanced technology, among other factors, can achieve zero traffic-related deaths.

According to ITE, Vision Zero is different from traditional safety planning approaches for two primary reasons. The first is that Vision Zero acknowledges that deaths on our roadways are preventable, not inevitable, and that the only acceptable target within this way of thinking is zero deaths. The second difference is that Vision Zero is multidisciplinary. Although cross-discipline cooperation is precedented, it was not considered the normal way to approach traffic safety. Under Vision Zero, traffic engineers, planners, law enforcement, policymakers, and public health professionals work together to address the factors that contribute to safe mobility.

Vision Zero Toolbox

ITE also maintains the Vision Zero Toolbox, an encyclopedia for information and data on studies and resources to help aid in planning and mitigating traffic-related injuries and deaths. The toolbox is an interactive collection of practice-ready resources from across disciplines. Users of this powerful tool can tailor their search by type of resource, mode of travel, safety pillar, world region, and topic.

Safe Systems

ITE identifies Safe Systems, which approaches traffic safety differently than the traditional approach by focusing on human-centric solutions. Safe Systems accomplishes this by recognizing that human error will occur and that the human body is not tolerant of crash forces. In this recognition, Safe Systems puts into place a human-centered safety net, meaning that inevitable



mistakes will not lead to the sorts of crash forces that result in death or serious injury. By adopting this policy, practitioners are able to rethink the way transportation systems are designed to the benefit of the end user: vulnerable human beings.

Speed Management

Another ITE focus area is on Speed Management. According to ITE, one third of all roadway deaths each year are at least partially related to excess speed. One particular focus of ITE related to speed management is setting target speeds appropriate to the roadway context, which is set by the publication Noteworthy Speed Management Practices. This guide is based around eight target areas:

1. Strategic Speed Management
2. Self-Enforcing Roadways
3. Setting Credible Speed Limits
4. High Visibility Enforcement
5. Safety Cameras
6. Targeted Reporting
7. Consistent Speed Limits for Vulnerable Users
8. Network Approach to Setting Speed Limits

Urban and Suburban Street Safety

ITE has established separate focus areas for specific contexts, such as the unique difficulty of managing speed on urban and suburban streets due in part to the relatively high number of distractions and the confluence of modes sharing limited right-of-way. Additionally, there is a focus area addressing the tendency of suburban roadways to be pedestrian-hostile, with wide lanes and high posted speed limits. Safety approaches are distilled into individual emphasis areas, including:

- Unsignalized Intersection Improvement Guide
- School Site planning and Design
- Complete Streets Council
- Railroad Grade Crossing Safety
- Traffic Calming
- Roundabout Standing Committee




Vision Zero Network

The Vision Zero Network is a nonprofit aimed at helping communities reach their goals of zero traffic deaths. Among other services, the Network provides the guiding document *Vision, Strategies, Action: Planning an Effective Road Map for Action*.

Vision, Strategies, Action: Planning an Effective Road Map for Action

The Vision Zero Network, together with the Livable Streets Alliance and the Massachusetts Vision Zero Coalition, produced this guidebook aimed at aiding the development of action driven, contextual, and community-serving Action Plans. This document distills the process into three interlinked topic areas: Actionable Strategies, Evaluation, and Foundational Elements; the sum of these categories is successful implementation. Each of the four topic areas are linked to one another by Community and Equity.



Foundational Elements

Vision, Strategies, and Action sets four foundational elements as crucial to any Action Plan:

1. **Build a Robust Data Framework.** Answer questions about crashes, like where, when, and who is most likely to be involved in a crash?
2. **Set Measurable Goals with a Clear Timeline for Implementation.** Define what success looks like: What is the timeline? Who is responsible for achieving the timeline? What are the potential limitations to success? How are you addressing disparities between communities?



3. **Be Accountable.** Is the strategy achievable with available funding? Will you need additional resources, like training or staff? Who else outside of your organization will need to be involved?
4. **Ensure Transparency.** Provide regular updates on the progress on a publicly accessible website. Seek opportunities for third-party assessment, such as through partnerships with universities. Continue dialogue with residents on how they perceive the progress, and what they'd like to see done differently.

Actionable Strategies

Four broad categories of actionable strategies are addressed by the document:

1. **Prioritize Roadway Design.** Roadway design is a primary crash factor, particularly in encouraging slower speeds. The High Injury Network should be used to target capital projects, with other areas proactively identified based on apparent trends. Rapid response should be enabled, and solutions should put transit and Complete Streets first.
2. **Focus on Speed Management.** Slower speeds mean fewer deaths and serious injuries. Starting with the High Injury Network, and moving towards other streets, slower target speeds should be identified and achieved. Automated speed enforcement is an effective and equitable way to promote safer speeds, along with neighborhood traffic calming.
3. **Utilize Impactful Education Strategies.** Select strategies based on best practices from comparable areas. Look towards establishing Safe Routes programs for schoolchildren, seniors, and people with disabilities. Establish Vision Zero training programs for frequent drivers, like those who utilize government fleet vehicles.
4. **Ensure Enforcement is Equitable.** Focus on the most dangerous behaviors, such as speeding and failing to yield to people walking, instead of vehicle tint or broken taillights. Be transparent with speed enforcement, reporting where and how many stops were initiated. Support community policing techniques to build the trust of residents and provide opportunities to participate in diversion programs.

Evaluation

Evaluation is an important component of an Action Plan as it allows practitioners to understand whether their efforts are successful and inform changes to achieve future successes.

1. **Highlight and Celebrate Accomplishments, But Be Real About Challenges.** If you don't achieve an established goal, be transparent and seek to understand why – and recommend changes to meet success.
2. **Revisit the Foundational Elements Every Time You Modify a Goal or Strategy.** Any Action Plan should be a “living document” – with changes made as needed in response to real data, but in line with the established foundational elements.
3. **Utilize the Community Engagement and Equity Strategies.** Get feedback throughout the entire process, as people living within your community are those impacted most by the success of Vision Zero.



Statewide Best Practices

Florida Transportation Plan (FTP)

According to FDOT, the Florida Transportation Plan (FTP) is the single overarching plan guiding Florida’s transportation future. Updated every five years, the FTP is a collaborative effort of state, regional, and local transportation partners in the public and private sectors. The vision for the state set by the Florida Transportation Plan (FTP) is broken down into seven primary goals:

1. Safety and security for residents, visitors, and businesses;
2. Agile, resilient, and quality transportation infrastructure;
3. Connected, efficient, and reliable mobility for people and freight;
4. Transportation choices that improve accessibility and equity;
5. Solutions that strengthen Florida’s economy;
6. Transportation systems that enhance Florida’s communities; and
7. Transportation solutions that enhance Florida’s environment.

The FTP expresses a direct commitment to Vision Zero at the state level, with a focus on “4Es”: Engineering, Education, Enforcement, and Emergency Response. To achieve this, FDOT will:

- **Create safer communities** through coordinated land use, urban design, and traffic operations to create safer modes for all forms of traffic
- **Reduce disparities** among socioeconomic groups
- **Expand vision zero** fatalities to include all modes of transportation such as rail, transit, shared mobility, and micro-mobility
- **Engage** with a broad range of partners on Vision Zero goals and plans
- **Design infrastructure** to consider access needs for first responders
- **Strategically allocate and align resources** to advance Florida’s vision for zero fatalities through higher funding priority for projects with safety benefits

Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) & Target Zero

In committing to Vision Zero, the FTP set the tone of the 2025 Strategic Highway Safety Plan's approach to safety. The Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) expands this through Target to Zero. This program is built on a commitment to the Safe Systems Approach. Target Zero seeks to categorize crashes into roadway, road user, and road user behavior. Importantly, the SHSP also expands implementation strategies beyond the 4Es to include Information, Intelligence, Innovation, Insight into Communities, and Investments and Policies.



Key Strategies:

In line with the Florida Transportation Plan, the SHSP expands upon the 4Es:

- **Engineering.** The engineering topic area focuses on the built environment and direct interaction with infrastructure. This includes identifying, developing, and deploying best practices aimed at reducing deaths and strengthening FDOT's collaboration with MPOs and local governments.
- **Education.** Recognizing the importance of road users having the knowledge to interact safely with new and existing infrastructure, the SHSP seeks to develop and implement targeted outreach to raise awareness of safety topics, to educate and train new road users, and to educate new safety professionals.
- **Enforcement.** To mitigate those who are educated by choose to take risks, the SHSP envisions enforcement as providing law enforcement with the training and tools necessary to carry out their jobs, to conduct focused enforcement to target individual



behaviors, and to coordinate with the courts system to prosecute and adjudicate traffic safety cases.

- **Emergency Response.** Quick emergency response is an important tool to prevent serious injuries from progressing to deaths. The SHSP seeks to accelerate the implementation of existing and emerging best practices to enhance response times for particular crash types, keep emergency response professionals safe en route to a crash, and implement measures to more quickly clear vehicles, preventing additional crashes and mitigating traffic.

To think more broadly towards the goal of zero deaths, the SHSP also introduces the concept of the 4Is.

- **Information Intelligence.** Information intelligence involves the collection and analysis of data. The SHSP promotes the collection, analysis, and distribution of crash data to stakeholders as a means of identifying crash trends, like high-risk locations, in real-time and expanding this collection to respond to new trends like micromobility.
- **Innovation.** The SHSP seeks to engage and deploy advancements in transportation safety as they occur. This is achieved through the rapid implementation of these new technologies, the acceleration of new countermeasures, and the analysis of the safety potential for autonomous vehicle technology.
- **Insight into communities.** The SHSP recognizes that community-wide changes are needed rather than just the employment of individual countermeasures. In recognizing this, the SHSP calls for creating safer communities through enhanced public input, promoting a broader range of modal choices, and reducing risk disparities among socioeconomic groups.
- **Investments and Policies.** Investment is needed to implement change. To accomplish this, the SHSP seeks to employ flexible funding strategies, prioritize projects that demonstrate a real impact on safety, integrate safety into all levels of decision-making, pursue legislation and policies proven to reduce death and serious injury, and enhance the expertise of staff involved in safety.



Emphasis Areas

To aid in effective implementation and provide focus, the SHSP categorizes crashes into the primary emphasis areas of Roadway, Road Users, and User Behavior. Each emphasis area is complete with sub-areas which, along with the emphasis areas themselves, were selected based on a review of crash data based on which crash types make up a disproportionate share of fatal and serious injury crashes. For example, a review of crash data revealed that intersection crashes make up a large share of total fatal and serious injury crashes, justifying its inclusion as an emphasis area sub-area.

ROADWAYS



LANE DEPARTURES



INTERSECTIONS

ROAD USERS



PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLISTS



AGING ROAD USERS



MOTORCYCLISTS AND MOTOR SCOOTER RIDERS



COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATORS



TEEN DRIVERS

USER BEHAVIOR



IMPAIRED DRIVING



OCCUPANT PROTECTION



SPEEDING AND AGGRESSIVE DRIVING



DISTRACTED DRIVING

TRAFFIC RECORDS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

EVOLVING EMPHASIS AREAS

WORK ZONES

DROWSY AND ILL DRIVING

RAIL CROSSINGS

ROADWAY TRANSIT

MICROMOBILITY

CONNECTED AND AUTOMATED VEHICLES

Within each emphasis area, the SHSP addresses how each of the 4Es and 4Is work to reduce roadway deaths to zero.

Local Best Practices

City of Orlando

The City of Orlando finalized its Vision Zero Action Plan in 2021. Orlando’s plan has a timeline of 2040 to achieve zero deaths and is contemplated as being a “first edition,” leaving flexibility for future endeavors.



To guide the plan’s development, the Vision Zero Orlando Task Force was convened. The Task Force was multidisciplinary, with members representing planning, engineering, transit, public safety, higher education, the public school system, healthcare, and advocacy groups like the AARP and Bike/Walk Central Florida. The Task Force helped guide the development of the Action Plan and meets to discuss the progress and challenges of the adopted plan.

Vision Zero Core Principles and Goals

Similar to the SHSP, Orlando expanded upon the core 4Es – Engineering, Education, Evaluation, Enforcement – to a total of 6Es. The fifth and sixth Es added under Orlando’s framework are Equity, which engages historically underrepresented groups in the process, and Economics, which



examines the economic detriment to communities of fatal and serious injury crashes and the significant economic benefits that come with eliminating those types of crashes.

Orlando’s High Injury Network, which was created utilizing fatal and serious injury crash data for roadways within the city limits and excluding limited access highways, reviewed modes as an aggregate and individually. Separate maps were made demonstrating focus areas for crashes involving people walking, biking, riding motorcycles, and driving cars. Further, a Risk-Based Analysis was created to identify the root causes of crashes by mode.

Based on the findings of the data analysis, the Vision Zero Task Force helped to shape the development of six primary goals to guide the implementation of the plan:

1. Adopt a Safe Systems approach in roadway design, operation, and maintenance.
2. Increase everyone’s understanding of the leading causes of crashes resulting in fatalities or serious injuries.
3. Support law enforcement efforts to eliminate behaviors leading to fatal or serious injury crashes.
4. Demonstrate continuous progress toward Vision Zero.
5. Improve access to travel time to Level 1 Trauma Center and other hospitals.
6. Prioritize investments and programs in communities of concern.

Toolbox of Countermeasure

To streamline implementation of countermeasures, a Toolbox of Countermeasures was created:



Toolbox of Countermeasures	
<p style="text-align: center;">Engineering Countermeasures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting • Pedestrian Priority Traffic Signals • Crosswalk Enhancements • Intersection Control • Sidewalk Network • On-Street Parking Program • Crosswalk Density 	<p style="text-align: center;">Education Campaigns</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Crosswalk Use • Wrong-Way Cycling <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Enforcement Concentrations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yielding on High Injury Network • Speeding on High Injury Network




Space Coast TPO

The Space Coast Transportation Planning Organization’s (TPO) action plan for achieving zero traffic deaths aligns with the Vision Zero Network’s goals and recommendations for action plans. To guide the development of the plan, the TPO created a high injury network broken down by mode, location, and the behaviors of those involved in the crash. Using these results, the TPO developed their action plan broken down into four areas, which are highlighted below.

Action Plan:

- **Leadership.** A steering committee consisting of parties from different departments and jurisdictions charged with implementing Vision Zero was initiated. The TPO also welcomed community involvement by maintaining a list of partner safety collations in the community, sharing updates and initiatives on their website, to community traffic safety teams, and at outreach meetings.
- **Safer Speeds, Engineering, and Enforcement.** Working with FDOT and cities within the TPO’s jurisdiction, this goal focuses on implementing best practices such as setting target speeds, zoning, parking, and retrofitting roadways for ADA compliance, especially at transit stops. By investing in public transportation, the TPO hopes to achieve safer roadways with less injury and zero deaths.
- **Education.** A large emphasis was placed on the education of the community on proactive safety habits to prevent serious injury and death, as education solutions are significantly less expensive than engineering solutions. The TPO utilized a messaging campaign to foster a community that has a culture around safety, being sure to target more at-risk populations in particular. These efforts also included targeting partners like the school system, broadening the campaign’s reach. Examples of the campaign are shown below.





- **Data-Driven Approach.** Using a data-driven approach, the TPO was able to maintain transparency and accountability throughout the implementation of their Action Plan. To achieve this, the TPO maintained a safety dashboard and webpage. These resources allowed for informed decision making, evaluation of methods, and resource allocation. To further this commitment to data-driven transparency, an annual Vision Zero Report created by TPO tracks progress annually, monitoring crash trends and the progress towards implementing the Action Plan's goals and policies.