



**TPO Board Meeting**

Marion County Commission Auditorium

601 SE 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Ocala, FL 34471

June 28, 2022

4:00 PM

**MINUTES**

**Members Present:**

Councilman Ire Bethea

Councilmember Kristen Dryer

Mayor Kent Guinn

Councilmember James Hilty

Commissioner Ronald Livsey

Commissioner Michelle Stone

Mayor Bill White

**Members Not Present:**

Commissioner Kathy Bryant

Commissioner Craig Curry

Commissioner Jeff Gold

Councilmember Barry Mansfield

Commissioner Carl Zalak

**Others Present:**

Rob Balmes, TPO

Shakayla Irby, TPO

Liz Mitchell, TPO

Rakinya Hinson, FDOT

Mike McCammon, FDOT

Sean Lanier, City of Ocala

Peter Lee, City of Ocala

Darren Park, City of Ocala

Tracy Straub, Marion County

Elton Holland, Marion County Office of County Engineer

Ken Odom, Marion County Growth Services

Jeff Shrum, Marion County Growth Services

Other members of the public not signed in.

### **Item 1. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance**

Chairman Ire Bethea called the meeting to order at 4:01pm and led the board in the Pledge of Allegiance.

### **Item 2. Roll Call**

Shakayla Irby, Administrative Assistant called the roll and a quorum was present.

### **Item 3. Proof of Publication**

Shakayla Irby, Administrative Assistant stated the meeting was published online at the TPO website and the City of Ocala, Belleview and Dunnellon and Marion County meeting calendars on June 21, 2022. The meeting was also published to the TPO's Facebook and Twitter pages.

### **Item 4. Consent Agenda**

*Ms. Stone made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Ms. Dreyer seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.*

### **Item 5A. General Planning Consultant (GPC) Contract Modifications**

Mr. Balmes presented and said that in May 2022, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Model Development Transit Office conducted a review of the TPO's Procurement packages and three current General Planning Consultant (GPC) contracts.

Based upon their review, the Transit Office requested the inclusion of specific Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Clauses associated with Professional Services/Architectural Engineering Services in all updated contracts and new procurement packages.

As a sub-recipient of FTA funding through the FDOT, the TPO had been federally required to include all applicable FTA Clauses.

On June 8, TPO staff met with the Marion County Procurement Services Department, and determined the most appropriate action is to amend the three current GPC contracts to include the applicable FTA Clauses. In addition, an internal procurement policy had been developed to ensure all future TPO procurements and contracts include applicable FTA Clauses and other federally required contract language. Three proposed contract amendment documents were included in the meeting packet with the required FTA Clauses for the TPO's current GPC's: Alfred Benesch and Company, Kittelson and Associates, and Kimley Horn and Associates.

Upon Board review and approval, the Contract Amendments would update the master contracts. Alfred Benesch and Company had been on its second Contract Amendment due to a recent name change; Kittelson and Associates and Kimley Horn would be on their first Contract Amendment.

Marion County Procurement Services had also asked the TPO to use the Amendment as an opportunity to include additional revised state Statutes for the latter two firms. Procurement

Services would also follow their contract approval process through the Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) on July 7, 2022.

Ms. Stone made a motion to approve the GPC Contract Modifications. Mr. Hilty seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

#### **Item 5B. Draft Fiscal Years (FY) 2023 to 2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)**

Mr. Balmes presented and the draft Fiscal Years 2023 to 2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was made available for public and partner agency review on May 3, 2022. As a follow up to the draft TIP presentation at the Board meeting on May 24, Mr. Balmes shared comments received from partner agencies and the public at the June 28 meeting.

To date, the TPO had received feedback from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and three comments from the public.

The following provides comments and updates made to the draft the FY 2023 to 2027 draft TIP since presented to the Board on May 28.

- **Public Comments:** The review period was from May 3 to June 24, 2022. *A summary of public comments are attached to page 10 of this set of minutes for reference.*
- **Partner Comments:** FDOT performed a review of the draft TIP document. *A summary of public comments are attached to pages 11-14 of this set of minutes for reference.*
- **TIP Document Project Update:** FDOT requested the addition of one project to the draft TIP. The project summary page is included with this memo and in the revised draft TIP document.
  - **FM 449764-1:** I-75 Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Communication System
    - TIP Funding: \$954,356 (Total project cost is \$1,140,212)
    - Phase: Construction
    - Year: Fiscal Year 2023
    - Description: The installation of ITS communication on 24.07 miles of I-75 from mile marker 325 to 349.

Ms. Stone made a motion to approve the FY 2023 to 2027 TIP. Ms. Dreyer seconded, a roll-call vote was called and the motion passed unanimously.

#### **Item 5C. Annual Lists of Priority Projects (LOPP)**

Mr. Balmes presented and said that at the Board meeting in May, the initial **draft 2022 List of Priority Projects (LOPP)** lists were shared and discussed. At the meeting, the Board received walk-on comments regarding project rankings from Commissioner Michelle Stone. These comments supported a discussion regarding the current draft lists. As outlined at the meeting by staff, the initial draft lists were based solely on the raw scores tied to the Board-adopted LOPP Policies and Procedures guidance document in April.

Per the Board to staff, further follow up was requested to obtain comments on the draft rankings from Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) members.

As part of the review process of draft project rankings, TPO staff received an additional set of comments from Councilmember Dreyer. Staff also received direct feedback from Mayor Guinn.

Mr. Balmes shared with the Board three recommendations based on feedback received at the June 14 Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) and Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) regularly scheduled meetings.

CAC Recommendations:

1. Defer to the TAC and Board regarding any adjustments to the rankings. CAC requested to receive a presentation by staff in August to view the final set of project lists and rankings.
2. One exception – Trails List. Rank the Pruitt Trail projects as #4 (from SR 200 to Trailhead) and #5 (Trailhead to Bridges Road) respectfully. Revised rankings reflect the importance of continuity and funding both projects in a timely manner.

TAC Recommendation:

1. Maintain original draft scored rankings for all project lists based on the Board adopted LOPP Policies and Procedures Guidance. TAC defers to Board regarding any project ranking adjustments through the Strategic Refinement process.

TPO Staff Recommendation:

1. Per the TPO LOPP Policies and Procedures Guidance (*Strategic Refinement*), request Board approval of a revised set of draft LOPP project rankings and lists based upon the incorporation of Board member comments. Board member comments are included as reference.
  - a. Commissioner Stone (*attached to this set of minutes on pages 15-16 for reference*)
  - b. Councilmember Dreyer (*attached to this set of minutes on pages 17-18 for reference*)
  - c. Mayor Guinn (no attachment – Emphasis on ranking 44th Street projects as highest priorities)

Based upon the three sets of Board comments/feedback, staff discussed the comments on June 14 with the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) and TAC at their regularly scheduled meetings. Part of the discussion involved making modifications to the LOPP project rankings. The end goal was to make formal recommendations to the Board.

Ms. Stone inquired about the details of project 449443-1 ranked #17: NE 8<sup>th</sup> Ave from SR 40 to SR 492.

Mr. Sean Lanier, City Engineer for the City of Ocala addressed the board and said on NE 8<sup>th</sup> Ave from SR 40 to SR 492 there had been a road diet reduction down to one lane and a roundabout would be placed at the intersection along with replaced street lights. In the future, there was also plans of a couple of other roundabouts at intersections on NE 8<sup>th</sup> Ave. The roundabouts would be placed before reaching the railroad.

Mayor Guinn made a comment that he was not a fan of roundabouts and did not see a need for roundabouts as the roadway had seemed to be working well without them.

Mayor Guinn asked for clarification on funding for the North/South 44<sup>th</sup> Ave project.

Mr. Lanier said that the Governor had signed off on the bill that would provide \$8 million and would be effective in the FDOT budget on July 1<sup>st</sup>. Also, an agreement had been executed with FDOT for \$1 million that had been approved in the last legislative session and the City had received the funds to do the phase one of 44<sup>th</sup> Ave from 42<sup>nd</sup> St flyover.

Ms. Stone asked about the specifics of the resurfacing and operational improvements Safety and Operation project 431935-1 ranked #7: SR 40 from US 441 to NE 8<sup>th</sup> Ave.

Mr. Balmes said that Noel Cooper with the City of Ocala had informed that the project was “on the shelf” for the time being and that FDOT planned on doing rehab and resurfacing from 8<sup>th</sup> into the Ocala Forest on SR 40 over the next few years.

Mr. Lanier said that the project was on hold and was a FDOT project. There would have been some lane width reduction to reduce speed downtown and some median changes and pedestrian crossings. The downtown area was trying to get a left turn lane for east bound travelers turning onto 1<sup>st</sup> Ave. A lot of the project was esthetic enhancements.

Ms. Stone had some corrections to the 2022 Top 20 Priorities list. *The corrections are provided on page 19 of this set of minutes for reference.*

Ms. Stone added a project #21 SR 35 and SR 40 Intersection Flyover: Flyover of SR 35 to SR 464.

Mayor White asked about the re-rankings of projects were re-ranked.

Mr. Balmes said that the ranking and scoring process was a new methodology and added into the policy was a “Strategic Refinement” that allows for movement on the list based on community needs.

Mayor White asked who viewed the comments and feedback from the TPO board members.

Mr. Balmes explained that the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) received proposed changes from the TPO board and deferred to the board for modifications. At the staff level, board member comments and combined them to together to reflect all comments from the board members.

Mayor White asked about the background of the Pruitt Trailhead project.

Mr. Elton Holland, Marion County Engineer addressed the board and said that SW 49<sup>th</sup> from Marion Oaks Trail to SW 95<sup>th</sup> and said it was an active project and that it had been programmed for engineering, design, right-of-way, and construction. Pruitt had been programmed for a Local Agency Program (LAP) project from SR 200 out to the Pruitt Trailhead and was upcoming with the five year time rising.

Ms. Stone made a motion to accept the seven lists of priority projects with the modification to the top 20 list to top 21 list and the adjustments. Mr. Hilty seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

### **Item 5D. 2022 Regional Priorities**

Mr. Balmes presented and said that in collaboration with the Central Florida MPO Alliance (CFMPOA), the TPO is annually required to submit a list of regionally significant transportation priority projects. The following three (3) lists summarize the regional lists that require Board approval.

#### **Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP)**

The purpose of TRIP is to encourage partnerships for transportation projects that are regionally significant. TRIP funds are awarded by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and are used to match local or regional funds up to 50% of the total project costs. To be eligible, there must be a 50% local match commitment and endorsement of the project by three contiguous counties to receive consideration (two in addition to Marion).

In 2021, the TPO submitted two projects for submission to the CFMPOA TRIP Priority List.

- Marion Oaks Manor Extension – Marion Oaks Manor to CR 42 Flyover at I-75
- County Road 484 – SW 49th Avenue to CR 475A

#### **Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) Needs**

The current SIS Needs list is based on existing unfunded needs to support improvements in Marion County. Currently, four projects are listed in Marion County.

- I-75 Interchange at SR 40 from SW 40th to SW 27th
- SR 40 from end of Four Lanes to CR 314
- SR 40 from CR 314 to CR 314A
- SR 40 from CR 314A to Levy Hammock Road

#### **Tier 3 SunTrail Projects**

The current Tier 3 SunTrail regional projects list contains four projects in Marion County.

- Silver Springs to Mount Dora – Part of Heart of Florida Trail
- Santos to Baseline Trail – Santos Trailhead – Part of Heart of Florida Trail
- Pruitt Trail – Pruitt Trailhead to Bridges Road Trailhead – Part of Heart of Florida Trail
- Nature Coast Connector – Dunnellon to Chiefland – Part of the Nature Coast Trail

Committee and TPO Staff Recommendations:

#### **Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP)**

- The Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) recommends the following three projects to the 2022 TRIP list.
  - Marion Oaks Manor Extension: Marion Oaks Manor to CR 42 Flyover at I-75
  - County Road 484: SW 49th Avenue to CR 475A
  - **Add** – NW/SW 44th Avenue: SR 200 to US 27

#### **Strategic Intermodal System (SIS)**

- TPO Staff recommends the following 2022 SIS list.
  - I-75 Interchange at SR 40 from SW 40th to SW 27<sup>th</sup>
  - SR 40 from end of Four Lanes to CR 314

- SR 40 from CR 314 to CR 314A
- SR 40 from CR 314A to Levy Hammock Road
- **Add** – I-75 at US 27 Interchange Operations (new)
- **Add** – I-75 from SR 200 to CR 234 Alachua County (new)
- **Add** – I-75 from SR 91 (Turnpike) to SR 200 (new)
- **Add** – I-75 at SR 326 Interchange Operations (new)

### **Tier 3 SunTrail**

- The TAC and Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) recommend the following four projects to the 2022 SunTrail list.
  - Silver Springs to Mount Dora, Part of Heart of Florida Trail
  - Santos to Baseline Trail: Santos Trailhead, Part of Heart of Florida Trail
  - Pruitt Trail: Pruitt Trailhead to Bridges Road Trailhead, Part of Heart of Florida Trail
  - Nature Coast Connector: Dunnellon to Chiefland, Part of Nature Coast Trail

Mr. Hilty made a motion to accept the 2022 Regional Priorities as presented. Ms. Stone seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

### **6. Comments by FDOT**

Ms. Rakinya Hinson with the FDOT provided the board with the most current construction report.

Ms. Hinson also commended the TPO on their work on the close out for the end of the fiscal year June 30<sup>th</sup>.

Mayor White asked about the construction project to widen US 41 north of Dunnellon.

Mr. Michael McCammon, Operations Engineer for FDOT addressed the board and said that the project had been scheduled several times and pushed out due to budgetary issues. As construction cost increase the budget has to be balanced and the project had been moved out of the five year work program. The clearing done on the corridor was completed by Duke Energy in anticipation of the project. The project was tentative in the future but not currently in the five year work program

Mayor White commented that the area was located where Rainbow Springs State Park was located and was a very heavily congested area and the people that live in the Rainbow Springs subdivision often had problems getting onto US 41 due to traffic. Knowing that the project would not be addressed for at least five years was problematic because it was a real issue happening in the present and disappointing because the project had been delayed before.

### **Item 7. Comments by TPO Staff**

Mr. Balmes gave comments on the following:

- The Commitment to Zero Safety Action Plan: Working Group meeting on July 14 and release of a draft Action Plan by August. The TPO would continue to solicit feedback to develop safety improvement strategies through conversations and meetings. The public survey and interactive comment map would also remain open through July 1. This

information be may be accessed via the project website:

<https://ocalamariontpo.org/safety-plan>.

- The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Florida Division, recently provided an update regarding the estimated timeframe for activities involving the 2020 Census. Some of the major activities of notable interest to Ocala/Marion County included:
  - By the fall of 2022, the Census Bureau is expected to publish a Federal Registrar notice announcing the official urbanized areas tied to the new methodology.
  - By winter/spring 2023, FHWA/FTA are expected to publish a notice regarding the designation of Transportation Management Areas (TMA) for urban areas over 200,000.
  - Prior to October 2023, states are expected to revisit distribution formula funds for MPOs (CPG-PL) tied to the 2020 census population.

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) had requested to deliver presentations to the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) in August regarding their process to update roadway Functional Classifications and Urban Boundary adjustments. Further information will be provided to the Board in August.

- On June 1, 2022, the TPO published the 2022 Traffic Counts Report and Online Map to serve as a resource to citizens, elected leaders and professionals in Marion County. This report is a compilation of traffic counts taken and administered by professionals at Marion County, City of Ocala and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). Included with the meeting packet is the 2022 Traffic Counts report. Please also find below a web-link to the companion Online Map and Story Map. The information may also be accessed at the TPO's Website Transportation Statistics Page:  
<https://ocalamariontpo.org/transportation-statistics>  
<https://marioncountyfl.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=684f763711d742f893a1271ab346c28c>  
Traffic Counts Online Map  
<https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/6190ad2ad11c4e99a0d149c9dff71488>  
Traffic Counts Story Map

### **Item 8. Comments by TPO Board Members**

Ms. Stone inquired if a study had been done on US 41 that would show the activity where the residents were unable to get out.

Mr. Balmes said that US 41 was identified as a congested corridor in the Congestion Management Plan (CMP) that needed operational and future widening improvements and had also been identified on the high injury network on the Safety Plan.

Mayor White expressed that improvements were needed and that is why it was disappointing to see the project moved off the list again.

Ms. Stone said that at some point there was a need for conversation about a plan for US 41.

### **Item 9. Public Comments**

*There were no public comments.*



TPO Board Meeting Minutes – June 28, 2022  
Approved – August 23, 2022

**Item 10. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned by Chairman Bethea at 4:59pm.

Respectfully Submitted By:

Shakayla Irby, Administrative Assistant



## Draft FY 2023 to 2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

### Public Comments

---

#### Summary

The draft Fiscal Years 2023 to 2027 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) was made available for public and partner agency review on May 3, 2022. The following public comments were received by the TPO.

- **Comment:** “Please expand I-75 and existing roads before destroying farms, nature, and the springs to build new ones. Once these resources are gone they can never be replaced.”
  - **TPO Response:** Noted for public record. The citizen requested to not receive follow up from the TPO. The comment is part of public record and included in the TIP document for future planning considerations.
- **Comment:** “As a member of the TPO Board who works at Central Florida Community Action Agency and live in Alachua County, one of the things that I've noticed for some of the clients that we serve in Marion County, they have transportation needs to get back and forth to clinical sites that are often located in Belleview, Orlando, etc. I'm not sure if there is some sort of shuttle services that can assist students who are residents and need to travel outside of Marion County for clinicals, work, etc.”
  - **TPO Response:** Noted for public record. The citizen was thanked for the comment and informed it will become part of public record, and included in the TIP document for future planning considerations.
- **Comment:** “The back and forth regarding opening Ocala Int'l Airport to commercial airlines needs to be resolved in two ways: The designation "International Airport" is misleading. That needs to be changed to something else to reflect the actual air traffic and constituent travel: Regional Airport? Regional Business Airpark? Dump the word "International". Second, a lot of frustration of air travelers having to drive to Orlando or Gainesville could be reduced by a highspeed railway system.”
  - **TPO Response:** Noted for public record. The citizen was thanked for the comment and informed it will become part of public record, and included in the TIP document for future planning considerations.

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

### Review Checklist

---

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) REVIEW CHECKLIST

---

The following TIP Review Checklist is provided to assist in the review of the TIP. This Review Checklist is to be completed and included in the MPO's final TIP Document.

Comments should be categorized as:

**Editorial:** Comments may be addressed by MPO, but would not affect approval of the document, i.e., grammatical, spelling and other related errors.

**Enhancement:** Comments may be addressed by MPO, but would not affect approval of the document, i.e., improve the quality of the document and the understanding for the public (improving graphics, re-packaging of the document, use of plain language, reformatting for clarity, removing redundant language).

**Critical:** Comment MUST be addressed to meet minimum state and federal requirements to obtain approval. The reviewer must clearly identify the applicable state or federal policies, regulations, guidance, procedures or statutes that the document does not conform with.

If a question is categorized, a comment must be included. In addition, if a question is answered with "no", a comment must also be included. Once the checklist is finalized, please share as a PDF.

MPO: **Ocala Marion TPO**

Fiscal Years  
included: **FY 2023-2027**

Review #: **1 (Draft)**

Date of Review: **5/10/22**

Reviewed by: **LLH**

---

### TIP Format & Content

Does the cover page include the MPO name, address correct fiscal years, and provide a location to add the date of adoption?

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 1

[Page numbers referenced are page numbers of pdf](#)

Does the Table of Contents show the title of each section with correct page number?

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 4

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Does TIP include an endorsement that it was developed following state and federal requirements and include date of official MPO approval? This would be an MPO resolution or signed signature block on cover.

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 2

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Does TIP include a list of definitions, abbreviations, funding and phase codes and acronyms?

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 21  
and 138

[List of fund codes starts on page 21. Glossary of Terms and Acronyms begins on page 138.](#)

---

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

### Review Checklist

---

#### TIP Narrative

Does the TIP begin with a statement of purpose (provide a prioritization of projects covering a five-year period that is consistent with LRTP, contains all transportation projects MPA funded with FHWA & FTA funds and regionally significant projects regardless of funding source)? [23 C.F.R. 450.326(a)]; [49 U.S.C. Chapter 53]

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 5

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Was the TIP developed by MPO in cooperation with the state and public transit operator, who provided the MPO with estimates of available Federal and State funds for the MPO to develop the financial plan? [s. 339.175(8) F.S.]; [23 C.F.R. 450.326(a)]

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 5

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Does the TIP demonstrate that there are sufficient funds (federal, state, local and private) to implement proposed transportation system improvements, identifies any innovative financing techniques through comparison of revenues and costs for each year? It is recommended that the TIP include a table(s) that compares the funding sources and amounts, by year to the total project costs. [23 C.F.R. 450.326(k)]; [23 C.F.R. 450.326(j)]; [s. 339.175(8)(c)(3) F.S.].

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments.](#)

Page Numbers: 20

[Click her to enter notes](#)

Does the TIP describe project selection process and state that it is consistent with the federal requirements in 23 C.F.R 450.332(b) and for non-TMA MPOs 23 C.F.R. 450.332(c)?

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 24

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Does the TIP identify the MPO's criteria and process for prioritizing implementation of the transportation plan elements (including multimodal tradeoffs) for inclusion in the TIP and explain any changes in priorities from the previous TIP? The MPO's TIP project priorities must be consistent with the LRTP. [23 C.F.R 450.326(n)(1)]

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 24

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Does the TIP describe how projects are consistent with MPO's LRTP and to the extent feasible, with port and aviation masterplans, public transit development plans, and approved local government comprehensive plans for those local governments located within the MPO area? [s. 339.175(8)(a) F.S.] **For consistency guidance, see Section 1. Florida LRTP Amendment Thresholds.**

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 8

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Does the TIP cross reference projects with corresponding LRTP projects, when appropriate? [s. 339.175(8)(c)(7) F.S.]

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 32

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Does the TIP include the FDOT Annual List of Obligated Projects or a link? The annual listing is located for download **HERE**. [23 C.F.R. 450.334]; [s.339.175(8)(h), F.S.]

Yes ☒ No ☐

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

### Review Checklist

---

No comment

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 102

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

Was the TIP developed with input from the public? [23 C.F.R. 450.316]; [23 C.F.R. 450.326(b)]; The document should outline techniques used to reach citizens (flyers, websites, meeting notices, billboards, etc.)

Yes ☒ No ☐

No comment

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 7

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

If applicable, does the TIP discuss the MPO's current FDOT annual certification and past FHWA/FTA quadrennial certification? MPO should include anticipated date of next FHWA/FTA quadrennial certification.

Yes ☒ No ☐

N/A ☐

No comment

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 8

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

Does the TIP discuss of the congestion management process? All MPOs are required to have a congestion management process that provides for the effective management process that provides for the effective management and operation of new and existing facilities using travel demand reduction and operational management strategies. S 339.175(6)(c)(1), F.S.

Yes ☒ No ☐

No comment

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 10

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

Does the TIP discuss Transportation Disadvantaged (TD) services developed and a description of costs and revenues from TD services, as well as a list of improvements funded with TD funds? [s.427.015(1) F.S. AND 41-2.009(2) F.A.C.

Yes ☒ No ☐

No comment

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 11

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

Does the TIP discuss how, once implemented, the MPO will make progress toward achieving the performance targets for:

- ✓ Safety performance measures
- ✓ System performance measures
- ✓ Bridge performance measures
- ✓ Pavement performance measures
- ✓ State asset management plan
  - Including risk to off-system facilities during emergency events (if applicable)
- ✓ State freight plan

Yes ☒ No ☐

If the MPO incorporated the Performance Measures Template directly or adapted it to suit their need, they will have met requirements. [23.C.F.R 450.326(c)]

No comment

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 12

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

Does the TIP discuss anticipated effect of achieving the performance targets identified in the LRTP, linking investment priorities to those performance targets for:

- ✓ Safety performance measures
- ✓ System performance measures
- ✓ Bridge performance measures

Yes ☒ No ☐

## TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

### Review Checklist

---

- ✓ Pavement performance measures
- ✓ State asset management plan
- ✓ State freight plan

If the MPO incorporated the Performance Measures Template directly or adapted it to suit their need, they will have met requirements. [23.C.F.R 450.326(d)]

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 12

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

### Detail Project Listing for Five Fiscal Years

---

Does each project in the TIP document shall include the following information?

- ✓ Sufficient description of project (type of work, termini, and length)
- ✓ Financial Project Number (FPN)
- ✓ Estimated total project cost and year anticipated funding
- ✓ **Page number or identification number where project can be found in LRTP (spot check)**
- ✓ Category of Federal Funds and source(s) of non-Federal Funds
- ✓ FTA section number included in project title or description

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers: 31

[Click here to enter notes](#)

---

### TIP Review

---

Did the MPO upload the document into the MPO Document Portal for review by District staff, Office of Policy Planning, Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged, **Department of Economic Opportunity**, FTA, & FHWA?

Yes ☒ No ☐

[No comment](#)

[Click here to enter comments](#)

Page Numbers:

[Click here to enter notes](#)

Commissioner Michelle Stone Comments (May 24, 2022)

2022 Top 20 Project Priorities

Top 20 Priorities	Prior Year Rank	Project Cycle	Local Funding	Connectivity & Partnership	Safety	Congestion Management	Multimodal	Transportation Resilience	Economic Dev & Logistics	Equity	Total Points	*Estimated Project Cost	2021 Rank	2022 Rank
I-75 at NW 49th Street Interchange	10	10	7.5	10	0	7.5	0	10	10	10	75	\$60,467,240	1	1
SR 40/I-75 Interchange Operational Improvements (SW 40th Ave to SW 27th Ave)	8	8	0	0	10	10	0	10	10	7.5	63.5	\$10,100,000	7	2
NW 44th Avenue (SR 40 to NW 11th Street), four new lanes	10	8	10	5	0	5	0	5	10	7.5	60.5	\$14,000,000	3	4
SR 40 Downtown Operational Improvements (US 441 to NE 8th Ave)	10	8	0	5	10	7.5	0	10	5	5	60.5	\$4,613,800	5	3
US 41 (SW 110th Street to SR 40), Widening	8	8	0	5	10	7.5	0	10	7	5	60.5	\$43,806,800	8	17
CR 484 - Pennsylvania Avenue Multi-Modal Improvements and Trail, Phase A	0	6	5	5	5	10	10	10	5	0	56	TBD	21	6
NW 80th/70th (.19 miles N/O SR 40 to 1/2 mile N/O US 27), Widening	0	8	10	5	5	5	0	5	8.5	7.5	54	\$30,194,464	25	45
Emerald Road Extension (SE 92nd Loop to FN Railroad), New 2-lane roadway	8	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	5.5	10	53.5	\$9,650,000	9	8
SW 44th Avenue (SR 200 to SW 20th Street), 2-lane extension <del>extension</del> <i>Const.</i>	10	8	10	5	5	0	0	5	8.5	0	51.5	\$7,000,000	2	6
US 441 Intersection Operation Improvements at SR 464/SW 17th St	10	10	0	0	5	10	0	5	6	5	51	\$4,783,636	4	11
SW 44th Avenue (from SW 20th Street to SR 40), Add 2 lanes to complete 4-lane roadway	0	8	10	5	0	5	0	5	10	7.5	50.5	\$5,000,000	NR	7
SR 200 (CR 484 to Citrus County Line), Widening	4	8	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	5	5	49.5	\$124,491,000	19	12
US 27/I-75 Interchange Operational Improvements (NW 44th Avenue to NW 35th)	0	2	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	10	10	49.5	\$29,341,000	59	3
I-75 from SR 200 to CR 234 in Alachua County, Widening, Modernization, Interchanges	0	4	0	5	5	10	0	10	5.5	10	49.5	TBD	NR	12
SR 40 (US 41 to CR 328), Widening	0	6	0	0	10	10	0	10	6	7.5	49.5	\$100,000,000	55	12
I-75 from SR 91 (Turnpike) to SR 200, Widening, Modernization, Interchanges	0	4	0	5	5	7.5	0	10	10	7.5	49	TBD	NR	16
SR 40 (End of 4 Lanes to East of CR 314), Widening, Multi-use Trail	6	8	0	5	5	10	0	10	4	0	48	\$120,000,000	15	14
SW 44th Avenue (SR 200 to SW 20th Street), 2-new lanes to complete 4-lane corridor	6	8	10	5	5	0	0	5	8.5	0	47.5	\$7,000,000	13	18
CR 484 (Marion Oaks Pass to SR 200), Widening	0	6	5	0	5	10	0	10	6	5	47	\$35,360,000	42	15
SR 35/Baseline Road at SR 464/Maricamp Road, Intersection Flyover	0	2	0	0	10	10	0	10	7	7.5	46.5	TBD	NR	16
NW/NE 35th Street (W Anthony Rd to 200A), Widening	4	6	10	0	5	0	0	5	6	10	46	\$9,368,352	17	21
NE 8th Avenue (SR 40 to SR 492)	0	10	5	10	5	0	0	5	5	5	45	\$4,452,800	31	22

SW 80th Ave from 38th St. to SR 40



### 2022 Top 20 Project Priorities

Top 20 Priorities	Prior Year Rank	Project Cycle	Local Funding	Connectivity & Partnership	Safety	Congestion Management	Multimodal	Transportation Resilience	Economic Dev & Logistics	Equity	Total Points	*Estimated Project Cost	2021 Rank	2022 Rank
I-75/SR 326 Interchange Operational Improvements	0	2	0	0	5	7.5	0	10	10	10	44.5	TBD	NR	23 <sup>19</sup>
SR 40 (SW 60th Ave to I-75), Widening	0	2	0	0	10	5	0	10	10	7.5	44.5	\$25,800,000	57	23
SR 40/SR 35 Intersection Improvement (Roundabout)	0	4	0	0	10	5	0	10	7	7.5	43.5	\$1,560,000	46	45 <sup>9</sup>
SW 20th Interchange (new interchange at I-75)	0	2	0	0	5	10	0	10	8.5	7.5	43	TBD	65	26
US 441 (Sumter County Line to CR 42), Widening	0	2	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	7	5	41.5	TBD	70	27
SW 49th (Marion Oaks Trail to SW 95th), 4-lane divided	0	8	10	5	5	0	0	0	8.5	5	41.5	\$27,990,164	26	27 <sup>10</sup>
SW 80th Ave (SW 90th to SW 80th), Widening	6	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	7	5	41	\$6,150,000	11	29
CR 484 (SW 49th Ave to CR 475A) Widening to 6 lanes, bridge replacement at I-75	0	2	0	0	5	10	0	10	9	5	41	\$55,000,000	64	29 <sup>13</sup>
Citywide Sidewalk Improvements (Ocala)	6	10	5	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	41	\$2,104,713	14	29
SR 40 (CR 314A to Levy Hammock Road), Widening	0	4	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	4	5	40.5	\$17,900,000	39	32
NE 35th St (200A to NE 25th), Widening	0	6	10	0	5	0	0	5	4	10	40	\$13,394,683	29	33
SR 40 (CR 314 to CR 314A), Widening	0	6	0	0	5	10	0	10	4	5	40	\$98,500,000	38	33
US 27 (I-75 to NW 27th), Widening	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	10	7.5	10	39.5	\$48,731,000	56	35
SW 80th Ave (SW 80th St to 1/2 mile N/O SW 38th St), Widening	4	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	7	5	39	\$19,459,582	16	36
SW 49th (South Segment, Marion Oaks Manor to 0.7 mi S/O CR 484), 4-lane divided	6	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	9	5	38	\$5,919,449	12	37
US 301 South (SE 143 Place to US 441), ITS Boxed Fund	0	8	0	0	10	0	0	10	5	5	38	TBD	48	37
US 441 (CR 42 to SE 132nd Street) Widening to 6 lanes	0	6	0	0	10	0	0	10	7	5	38	\$118,000,000	22	37
NW 49th/35th Street (CR 225A to 1.1 mile W/O NW 44th), New 2-lane	0	8	10	5	0	0	0	0	7	7.5	37.5	\$20,119,862	24	40 <sup>20</sup>
NW 49th/35th (1.1 mile W/O NW 44th to NW 44th), New 2 lane	0	8	10	5	0	0	0	0	7	7.5	37.5	\$2,650,000	27	40
SW 38th/40th St (SW 80th to SW 60th), Widening	0	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	8.5	5	36.5	\$14,940,000	28	42
SW 38th Avenue (SW 60th Ave to SW 43rd Court), Widening	0	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	8.5	5	36.5	\$12,810,000	43	42
Belleview to Greenway Trail (Lake Lillian to Cross Florida Greenway)	0	10	0	5	5	0	10	0	0	5	35	\$4,673,028	32	44



# Councilmember Kristen Dreyer Comments (June 2, 2022)

## 2022 Top 20 Project Priorities

Top 20 Priorities	Prior Year Rank	Project Cycle	Local Funding	Connectivity & Partnership	Safety	Congestion Management	Multimodal	Transportation Resilience	Economic Dev & Logistics	Equity	Total Points	*Estimated Project Cost	2021 Rank	2022 Rank
I-75 at NW 49th Street Interchange	10	10	7.5	10	0	7.5	0	10	10	10	75	\$60,467,240	1	1
SR 40/I-75 Interchange Operational Improvements (SW 40th Ave to SW 27th Ave)	8	8	0	0	10	10	0	10	10	7.5	63.5	\$10,100,000	7	2
NW 44th Avenue (SR 40 to NW 11th Street), four new lanes	10	8	10	5	0	5	0	5	10	7.5	60.5	\$14,000,000	3	X 4
SR 40 Downtown Operational Improvements (US 441 to NE 8th Ave)	10	8	0	5	10	7.5	0	10	5	5	60.5	\$4,613,800	5	X 17
US 41 (SW 110th Street to SR 40), Widening	8	8	0	5	10	7.5	0	10	7	5	60.5	\$43,806,800	8	X
CR 484 - Pennsylvania Avenue Multi-Modal Improvements and Trail, Phase A	0	6	5	5	5	10	10	10	5	0	56	TBD	21	X
NW 80th/70th (.19 miles N/O SR 40 to 1/2 mile N/O US 27), Widening	0	8	10	5	5	5	0	5	8.5	7.5	54	\$30,194,464	25	7
Emerald Road Extension (SE 92nd Loop to FN Railroad), New 2-lane roadway	8	10	10	10	0	0	0	0	5.5	10	53.5	\$9,650,000	9	8
SW 44th Avenue (SR 200 to SW 20th Street), 4-lane Construction	10	8	10	5	5	0	0	5	8.5	0	51.5	\$7,000,000	2	X 5
US 441 Intersection Operation Improvements at SR 464/SW 17th St	10	10	0	0	5	10	0	5	6	5	51	\$4,783,636	4	X 11
SW 44th Avenue (from SW 20th Street to SR 40), Add 2 lanes to complete 4-lane roadway	0	8	10	5	0	5	0	5	10	7.5	50.5	\$5,000,000	NR	X 6
SR 200 (CR 484 to Citrus County Line), Widening	4	8	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	5	5	49.5	\$124,491,000	19	12
US 27/I-75 Interchange Operational Improvements (NW 44th Avenue to NW 35th)	0	2	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	10	10	49.5	\$29,341,000	59	X 3
I-75 from SR 200 to CR 234 in Alachua County, Widening, Modernization, Interchanges	0	4	0	5	5	10	0	10	5.5	10	49.5	TBD	NR	X
SR 40 (US 41 to CR 328), Widening	0	6	0	0	10	10	0	10	6	7.5	49.5	\$100,000,000	55	X
I-75 from SR 91 (Turnpike) to SR 200, Widening, Modernization, Interchanges	0	4	0	5	5	7.5	0	10	10	7.5	49	TBD	NR	X
SR 40 (End of 4 Lanes to East of CR 314), Widening, Multi-use Trail	6	8	0	5	5	10	0	10	4	0	48	\$120,000,000	15	X 14
SW 44th Avenue (SR 200 to SW 20th Street), 2-new-lanes to complete 4-lane corridor	6	8	10	5	5	0	0	5	8.5	0	47.5	\$7,000,000	13	X
CR 484 (Marion Oaks Pass to SR 200), Widening	0	6	5	0	5	10	0	10	6	5	47	\$35,360,000	42	X 15
SR 35/Baseline Road at SR 464/Maricamp Road, Intersection Flyover	0	2	0	0	10	10	0	10	7	7.5	46.5	TBD	NR	X 16
NW/NE 35th Street (W Anthony Rd to 200A), Widening	4	6	10	0	5	0	0	5	6	10	46	\$9,368,352	17	21
NE 8th Avenue (SR 40 to SR 492)	0	10	5	10	5	0	0	5	5	5	45	\$4,452,800	31	X 18

SW 80th Avenue from 38th Street to SR 40

22

### 2022 Top 20 Project Priorities

Top 20 Priorities	Prior Year Rank	Project Cycle	Local Funding	Connectivity & Partnership	Safety	Congestion Management	Multimodal	Transportation Resilience	Economic Dev & Logistics	Equity	Total Points	*Estimated Project Cost	2021 Rank	2022 Rank	
I-75/SR 326 Interchange Operational Improvements	0	2	0	0	5	7.5	0	10	10	10	44.5	TBD	NR		<del>2</del> 19
SR 40 (SW 60th Ave to I-75), Widening	0	2	0	0	10	5	0	10	10	7.5	44.5	\$25,800,000	57	23	
SR 40/SR 35 Intersection Improvement	0	4	0	0	10	5	0	10	7	7.5	43.5	\$1,560,000	46		<del>2</del> 9
SW 20th Interchange (new interchange at I-75)	0	2	0	0	5	10	0	10	8.5	7.5	43	TBD	65	26	
US 441 (Sumter County Line to CR 42), Widening	0	2	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	7	5	41.5	TBD	70	27	
SW 49th (Marion Oaks Trail to SW 95th), 4-lane divided	0	8	10	5	5	0	0	0	8.5	5	41.5	\$27,990,164	26		<del>2</del> 10
SW 80th Ave (SW 90th to SW 80th), Widening	6	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	7	5	41	\$6,150,000	11	29	
CR 484 (SW 49th Ave to CR 475A) Widening to 6 lanes, bridge replacement at I-75	0	2	0	0	5	10	0	10	9	5	41	\$55,000,000	64		<del>2</del> 13
Citywide Sidewalk Improvements (Ocala)	6	10	5	10	0	0	10	0	0	0	41	\$2,104,713	14	29	
SR 40 (CR 314A to Levy Hammock Road), Widening	0	4	0	0	10	7.5	0	10	4	5	40.5	\$17,900,000	39	32	
NE 35th St (200A to NE 25th), Widening	0	6	10	0	5	0	0	5	4	10	40	\$13,394,683	29	33	
SR 40 (CR 314 to CR 314A), Widening	0	6	0	0	5	10	0	10	4	5	40	\$98,500,000	38	33	
US 27 (I-75 to NW 27th), Widening	0	2	0	0	10	0	0	10	7.5	10	39.5	\$48,731,000	56	35	
SW 80th Ave (SW 80th St to 1/2 mile N/O SW 38th St), Widening	4	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	7	5	39	\$19,459,582	16	36	
SW 49th (South Segment, Marion Oaks Manor to 0.7 mi S/O CR 484), 4-lane divided	6	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	9	5	38	\$5,919,449	12	37	
US 301 South (SE 143 Place to US 441), ITS Boxed Fund	0	8	0	0	10	0	0	10	5	5	38	TBD	48	37	
US 441 (CR 42 to SE 132nd Street) Widening to 6 lanes	0	6	0	0	10	0	0	10	7	5	38	\$118,000,000	22	37	
NW 49th/35th Street (CR 225A to 1.1 mile W/O NW 44th), New 2-lane	0	8	10	5	0	0	0	0	7	7.5	37.5	\$20,119,862	24		<del>2</del> 20
NW 49th/35th (1.1 mile W/O NW 44th to NW 44th), New 2 lane	0	8	10	5	0	0	0	0	7	7.5	37.5	\$2,650,000	27	40	
SW 38th/40th St (SW 80th to SW 60th), Widening	0	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	8.5	5	36.5	\$14,940,000	28	42	
SW 38th Avenue (SW 60th Ave to SW 43rd Court), Widening	0	8	10	0	5	0	0	0	8.5	5	36.5	\$12,810,000	43	42	
Bellevue to Greenway Trail (Lake Lillian to Cross Florida Greenway)	0	10	0	5	5	0	10	0	0	5	35	\$4,673,028	32	44	

2022 Top 20 Priorities

Rank	FDOT Project Number	Project List	Project Name/Limits	Description	FY 23 to 27 TIP Programmed Phase(s)	FY 23 to 27 TIP Programmed Funding	Proposed Phase(s)	Funding Requested
1	435209-1	Top 20	I-75 at NW 49th Street Interchange	Construction of a new interchange and roadway extension of NW 49th from NW 44th to NW 35th	CST	\$42,379,864		
2	433652-1	Top 20	SR 40 Intersections at SW 40th Avenue and SW 27th Avenue	Traffic operations, turn lanes at I-75 interchange and SW 40th and SW 27th intersections	ROW	\$1,399,654	CST	\$5,500,000
3		Top 20	NW 44th Avenue, SR 40 to NW 11th St	Construction of four new roadway lanes			CST	\$14,000,000
4		Top 20	S/O NW 80th/70th from N/O SR 40 to <del>N/O</del> US 27	Widening to four lanes			CST	<del>\$11,488,960</del> \$30,000,000
5		Top 20	SW 44th Avenue from SR 200 to SW 20th	Four-Lane roadway construction	*CST	\$9,000,000		
6		Top 20	SW 44th Avenue from SW 20th to SR 40	Addition of two lanes to complete four lane roadway			CST	\$5,000,000
7		Top 20	CR 484 Penn Avenue Multimodal	Roadway reconstruction, shared use path connection from downtown Dunnellon to Blue Run Park	CST	\$2,537,000		
8		Top 20	US 27/I-75 Interchange Operations, NW 44th to NW 35th	Safety and operational improvements at interchange area			PE, CST	\$29,341,000
9	450340-1	Top 20	Emerald Road Extension	92nd Loop to FN Railroad Connection	ROW, CST	\$9,650,000		<del>\$4,700,000</del>
10	237988-1	Top 20	SR 40 at SR 35 intersection	Construction of a roundabout at the intersection			PE, ROW, CST	\$6,000,000
11		Top 20	SW 49th from Marion Oaks Trail to SW 95th	Construction of a four lane divided roadway			CST	<del>\$6,000,000</del> \$18,000,000
12	238651-1	Top 20	SR 200 from Citrus County to CR 484	Widening to four lanes and pedestrian/wildlife underpass connecting greenway			CST	\$37,800,000
13	433660-1	Top 20	US 441 (Pine Avenue) at SR 464 (SE 17th)	Intersection/Turn lane improvements	PE, CST	\$3,277,299		
14	238648-1	Top 20	US 41 from SW 110th to North of SR 40	Widening to four lanes, sidewalks/path, shoulders			CST	\$38,100,000
15	410674-2	Top 20	SR 40 from End of four lanes to CR 314	Reconstruction, widening to four lanes, new bridges, medians			CST	\$110,100,000
16		Top 20	CR 484 from SW 49th Ave to CR 475A	Widening to six lanes, bridge replacement at I-75			PD&E, DES, ROW, CST	\$55,000,000
17	449443-1	Top 20	NE 8th Avenue from SR 40 to SR 492	Construction of a roundabout on NE 8th Avenue	CST	\$4,452,800		
18		Top 20	CR 484 from Marion Oaks Pass to SR 200	Widening to six lanes			DES, ROW, CST	\$35,000,000
19		Top 20	I-75 at SR 326 Interchange	Interchange operational improvements			PE, DES, ROW, CST	TBD
20		Top 20	SW 80th Avenue from north of 38th Street to SR 40	Widening of roadway to four lanes			PE, DES, ROW, CST	<del>\$20,000,000</del> \$25,000,000
21			SR 35 & SR 464 Intersection Flyover	Flyover of SR 35 at SR 464	PE, DES, CST			\$35,000,000

\* Pending TIP Amendment